

# Sustainability Appraisal of Shepway District Council's Places and Policies Local Plan

**Preferred Options Version** 

**Appendices** 

Prepared by LUC October 2016

**Project Title**: SA of Places and Policies Local Plan

**Client**: Shepway District Council

| Version | Date       | Version Details   | Prepared by            | Checked by        | Approved by |
|---------|------------|---|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 0.1     | 19/02/2016 | Baseline & PPP Update<br>from Issues & Options<br>Version | K Norris               | J Allen           |             |
| 0.2     | 28/09/2016 | Working Draft of complete<br>SA Report                    | J Allen<br>C McGuiness | J Allen<br>J Owen | J Owen      |
| 1.0     | 06/10/2016 | Final Version   | J Allen                | J Pearson         | J Pearson   |

Last saved: 06/10/2016 11:43



www.landuse.co.uk

# Sustainability Appraisal of Shepway District Council's Places and Policies Local Plan

Preferred Options Version

**Appendices** 

Prepared by LUC October 2016



### **Contents**

#### Appendix 1

SA framework site appraisals assumptions

#### Appendix 2

Review of other policies, plans and programmes

#### Appendix 3

Consultation representations on previous SA work undertaken and LUC's responses

#### Appendix 4

SA objectives and links to sustainability issues, policy objectives and SEA Directive topics

#### Appendix 5

Detailed appraisal of policy options in previous Issues and Options version of the PPLP

#### Appendix 6

Policy-off appraisal matrices of development site alternatives

#### Appendix 7

Detailed appraisal matrices of the Preferred Options PPLP site allocations and development management policies

## Appendix 1

SA framework site appraisals assumptions

### General Assumptions for SA of site allocations

The approach to the SA of site allocations builds on the framework of sustainability objectives and supporting appraisal questions used by Shepway District Council to appraise its development management policies. The table below sets out the detailed assumptions that were made in assessing the significance of the effect of the reasonable alternative site allocations for housing and for employment / retail developments against each SA objective. The assumptions draw on relevant baseline data and reference documents where available.

#### Developable area assumption

We have assumed that most of the land area of each site allocation option would be developed, giving limited scope to avoid constraints. Accordingly, we have assumed that where 25% (typically) or more of an allocated site overlies a constraint, a significant effect in relation to the constraint is likely to occur.

#### Distance assumptions

Reference is made to 'easy walking distance' in the appraisal assumptions. There are a number of pieces of research that give a variety of recommended guidance distances for walking. For example, the Institute of Highways and Transportation found that the average length of a walk journey is one kilometre. The Institute of Highways and Transportation categorises distances depending upon location and purpose of the trip, and 'desirable', 'acceptable', and 'preferred maximum':

|                   | Town centres (m) | Commuting/School/ Sight-seeing (m) | Elsewhere (m) |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Desirable         | 200              | 500                                | 400           |
| Acceptable        | 400              | 1,000                              | 800           |
| Preferred maximum | 800              | 2,000                              | 1,200         |

For the purposes of the appraisal, distances in the appraisal will be measured as the straight line distance from the edge of the site option to existing services and facilities, and therefore actual walking distances are likely to be greater (e.g. depending on the house location within a larger site and the availability of a direct route). It is considered that this is a reasonable approach, and professional judgement will be used when applying these distances to each site option and the range of services and facilities considered by the appraisal, for example to take account of significant barriers to straight-line movement, such as railway lines. The distances used in the appraisal will vary depending upon the type of destination being accessed/the mode of transport, for example the standard distance of 800 m has been used for rail stations but a shorter distance of 400 m has been used for bus stops, reflecting the fact that individuals are likely to be prepared to walk greater distances to larger scale facilities.

Increasing cycling is also an important sustainability objective for the District and positive sustainability scores relating to development allocations being within convenient walking distance of services and facilities also reflect the fact that such allocations are also likely to increase the proportion of trips made by bike.

The SA assumptions include analysis of the proximity of residential areas to areas of employment. Major employment opportunities will be located throughout the District, not only in the areas allocated for employment, but also in the Town Centres, retail parks, hospitals, and in small scale premises around the towns as well as large scale businesses concentrated at the employment areas. Although there is no guarantee that people will find jobs at the employment areas closest to them, it is considered that provision of homes close to major sources of employment would support people in making shorter journeys to work.

#### **Direct effects**

The assessments of site allocations will only consider the direct sustainability effects of those allocations i.e. factors which vary according to the size and location of the allocated site. Effects of development which have already been assessed at a higher level in the hierarchy of Local Plan documents are not re-assessed here. For example, although all housing development is likely to give rise to positive effects on SA objective 5 in relation to meeting housing need, the scale of housing provision has already been fixed by the Shepway Core Strategy and is not the subject of the Places and Policies Local Plan. Whilst larger site allocations will make a greater contribution individually, the same total housing provision could be achieved by a larger number of smaller allocations. The SA of site allocations does not, therefore, assess the effects of providing housing per se. Instead, it restricts itself to assessing the sustainability implications of allocating housing at a particular location and particular size of site. For example, where the Core Strategy requires provision of different proportions of provision of affordable housing or housing for older people in developments of different sizes, this is reflected in the assumptions below. The type and tenure of housing to be provided, including housing to meets the needs of the elderly, will be considered in the SA of development management policies. Similarly, the positive effects of employment provision across the Plan area as a whole on SA objective 6 are not dependent on the locations of the particular sites chosen and were therefore more appropriately assessed within the SA of the Core Strategy whose policies determine the scale of employment development.

| SA Objective   | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option  | Assumptions for SA of site options  |
|--|--|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.   | lead to?  Development which supports and corresponds with the Water Framework Directive, the NPPF, Technical Guidance to the NPPF and the flood risk management policies of the EA?  | Development on greenfield land would increase the area of impermeable surfaces and could therefore increase overall flood risk, particularly where the sites are within high risk flood zones. While new development in any location may offer good opportunities to incorporate SuDS, and therefore have a positive effect on reducing flood risk, this would depend on the design of the proposed development and not on the location of the site.          |
|  | Development which has regard to the Shepway Strategic Flood Risk Assessment?  Development which incorporates SuDS (including their long-term maintenance) to reduce the rate of run-off and reduce the risk of surface water | National Planning Practice Guidance identifies residential properties as a 'more vulnerable use', which is suitable in areas of flood zone 1 and 2 but would require an exception test in flood zone 3a, and is unsuitable in flood zone 3b.  Shepway District Council have recently published a detailed Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SRFA,  |
|  | flooding and combined sewer overflows?   | 2015) which clearly maps areas of extreme, significant, moderate and low flood risk. These areas represent a more detailed picture of flood risk in the District's coastal floodplain; however, the flood risks associated with many of the streams and man-made watercourses within the District are mapped solely within the national mapping of 'Flood Zones'. Therefore, both datasets have been used in the assessment of sites against this assumption. |
|  |  | <ul> <li>Sites having a significant proportion of land (&gt;=25%) within Flood Zones 3a or 3b or an area of 'extreme' or 'significant' flood risk, and/or including flood storage areas are assumed to have a significant negative () effect.</li> </ul>  |
|  |  | • Sites having as significant proportion of land (>=25%) within an area of 'moderate' flood risk or Flood Zone 2 or that are 5-25% within an area of 'extreme' or 'significant' flood risk or Flood Zones 3a and 3b are assumed to have a minor negative (-) effect.  |
|  |  | <ul> <li>Sites with less than 5% of their land within an area of 'extreme' or 'significant' flood risk or Flood<br/>Zones 3a or 3b, less than 25% of their land within an area of 'moderate' flood risk or Flood Zone 2<br/>and do not include flood storage areas are assumed to have a negligible (0) effect.</li> </ul>  |
|  |  | The opportunity to incorporate SuDS to mitigate the risks of surface water flooding and surface water contamination is a design issue and does not form part of the SA of Site Allocations.   |
|  |  | (Sources required: EA Flood Zones; SFRA 2015; flood storage areas)  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use | Developments that are energy efficient in their design and construction and which provide opportunities for combined heat and power?   | While new housing development will inevitably lead to an increase in greenhouse gas emissions (both through emissions from properties and the increased vehicle traffic associated with population growth), the location of individual housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors would be influenced more by the specific                                      |
| from renewable sources and resilience to a   | Greater consideration of climate change adaptation within planning and design?   | design and construction methods used, and whether renewable energy infrastructure is to be incorporated in the housing development, which are influenced by detailed development management   |
| changing climate and extreme weather.  | An increase in the number of large scale renewable energy schemes  | policies.  Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible (0).   |
|  | An increase in the local/on-site renewable energy generating capacity?   |   |
|  | A decrease in fossil fuel consumption?   |   |
|  | Opportunities for modal shift away from private  |   |

| SA Objective  | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option lead to?   | Assumptions for SA of site options   |
|---|--|--|
|   | motor vehicles?  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | Well-designed, compact communities which are of a sufficient critical mass or density to support local services and public transport provision?  Opportunities to improve educational attainment, qualification levels and participation in education and training through access to existing or the provision of new educational infrastructure in relation to new residential developments?  Provision of new or enhancement of existing leisure facilities for young people at the neighbourhood level, where thresholds/standards require these?  Opportunities to lead healthier lifestyles, including development that enhances existing and /or makes provision for and maintenance towards sports and recreational facilities e.g. publicly available pitches, allotments, swimming pools, courts, etc.?  Adequate provision of health services to support new communities through the enhancement of existing facilities or through the creation of new?  Developments, especially in deprived communities, which reduce car dependence by ensuring employment opportunities, health services, educational facilities, shops and recreational opportunities are accessible by foot, cycle or public transport?  Improvements to local public transport infrastructure, especially in deprived communities?  Reintegration of physically divided or highly linear villages or neighbourhoods through, for example, provision of central social infrastructure? | The location of housing sites will not directly affect the number or range of services in a particular location (although a large scale housing development could potentially stimulate the provision of new services). However, the location of housing sites could affect this objective by influencing people's ability to access existing services and facilities, particularly by walking.  Sites that are within convenient walking distance (800 m) of three or more different types of the following service/facility/asset:  • a GP surgery or a hospital;  • a sports/recreation facility or an area of open space;  • a Parade of shops or post office;  • a primary or secondary school;  • a Major Employment Site. are assumed to have a minor positive (+) effect on this aspect of the SA objective because of the potential to use these facilities.  Where a site is within walking distance of three or more of the above but there are potential barriers to access for all ages and abilities (e.g. topography, major road), reducing the number of easily accessible facilities to below 3 facilities/services, the score is downgraded to negligible (0).  Allocations which are located in an area within one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District ('access to services' domain of English Indices of Deprivation) are assessed as having a significant positive (++) effect overall.  Other allocations are assessed as having a negligible (0) effect.  (Sources required: GIS mapping of GP surgeries; hospitals; sports/recreation facilities; open spaces; post offices;; primary schools; secondary schools; Major Employment Sites; ; shop frontages; Indices of Multiple Deprivation). |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | Reduced levels of crime, anti-social behaviour and the fear of crime through design i.e. improvements to the environment, street layout, public space provision, passive surveillance,   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites  |

| SA Objective   | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option lead to?   | Assumptions for SA of site options   |
|--|--|--|
|  | lighting etc.?   | on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible (0).   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the  | Sufficient amounts of housing to meet the needs of the community and local economy?  Development which delivers an appropriate mix of housing, including affordable housing and  | As described in the text preceding this table, the SA of site allocations does not assess the effects of housing provision per se as the effect of the Local Plan as a whole on meeting housing need has already been assessed through the SA of the Core Strategy and is not altered by the mix of site sizes or the particular locations of individual allocations in the Places and Policies Local Plan.  |
| needs of all sections of society, including the  | dwellings for older people?  | 5(a) Affordable housing  |
| elderly.   |  | Core Strategy policy CSD1 requires that, subject to viability, development proposing 15 or more dwellings or land with an area of 0.5 ha or more should provide 30% affordable dwellings. Sites of this size are assessed as having a significant positive (++) effect on this aspect of the SA objective.   |
|  |  | Sites capable of accommodating 5-14 dwellings are required by CSD1 to provide 1-2 affordable dwellings. Assuming a development density of 30 dwellings per hectare (dph) as above, this equates to sites of 0.167-0.5 ha so allocated sites in this range will be assessed as having a minor positive (+) effect.  |
|  |  | Smaller sites will be assessed as having a negligible (0) effect.  |
|  |  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  |
|  |  | Core Strategy policy CSD2 requires that, subject to viability and design restrictions, development proposing 10 or more dwellings should include 20% of market dwellings meeting Lifetime Homes standards. Assuming a development density of 30 dwellings per hectare (dph) as above, this equates to sites of >=0.33 ha so allocated sites of this size will therefore be assessed as having a significant positive (++) effect on this aspect of the SA objective. Smaller sites will be assessed as having a negligible (0) effect. |
|  |  | (Sources required: none)   |
| Support the creation     of high quality and     diverse employment     opportunities. | An adequate supply of land, skills and infrastructure (such as ICT) to meet the requirements of sectors targeted for economic growth and diversification, including those set    | Sites within convenient walking distance (800 m) of a Major Employment Site are assumed to have a significant positive (++) effect on this SA objective by minimising travel distances and enabling easier access to employment opportunities.   |
|  | out in the Shepway Economic Strategy?  | Where an allocation is within 800 m of a shopping parade a minor positive (+) effect is assumed.   |
|  | Improved access to jobs for local people from all sectors of the community?  | Where a site is within walking distance of an employment location but there are potential barriers to access for all ages and abilities (e.g. topography, major road), the score is downgraded to the next lowest score (e.g. ++ score becomes + or + becomes 0).  |
|  | Enhanced vitality and vibrancy of town centres?  | Other allocations are assessed as having a negligible effect.  |
|  | Expansion or upgrading of key visitor attractions?  Employment opportunities which address the economic consequences of the de-commissioning of Dungeness nuclear power station? | It is recognised that broadband access could have an influence on people's ability to work flexibly and from home. Information about current levels of broadband provision at the different towns is not   |
|  |  | readily available, and access will also depend heavily on the incorporation of broadband infrastructure into the new developments. Therefore, broadband access has not been taken into account in the SA.  |
|  | Provision of high quality employment sites and associated infrastructure suitable for the likely   | (Sources required: GIS mapping of Major Employment Sites and shop frontages.)  |

| SA Objective   | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option lead to?   | Assumptions for SA of site options  |
|--|--|---|
|  | continuation in a shift from manufacturing to higher skill, service industries.  |   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets. | Development that avoids negative effects on listed buildings, conservation areas, scheduled monuments, registered historic parks and gardens, and registered battlefields and their settings?  Development that is well related to the natural environment and characteristic scale, form materials and detailing of the settlement and contributes to a sense of place?  Promotes the enhancement of historic assets and other aspects of heritage, such as, parks and open spaces, and areas with a particular historical or cultural association? | The effects of development site options will depend upon the type of development proposed, current land uses, its context, and its relationship to heritage assets. This is particularly the case within urban areas. For example, effects can be minimal even where a development site is in close proximity to a heritage asset, such as where there is existing built-up area between the site and the asset. Conversely, in more open landscapes/townscape, longer distances between the development site and the heritage asset may not preclude negative effects occurring. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance, or may be neutralr <sup>1</sup> . Some development sites could result in an enhancement to the setting of a heritage asset or historic landscape/townscape.  Proximity to designated heritage assets provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect:  Where the site is within a Conservation Area an uncertain minor negative (-?) effect will be assumed likely.  Within existing built-up areas, where the site is within 100m of a listed building (all grades), or 200m of a scheduled monument or registered park or garden an uncertain significant effect (?) will be assumed likely.  Outside of existing built-up areas, where the site is within 250m of a listed building (all grades), or 500m of a scheduled monument or registered park or garden an uncertain significant effect (?) will be assumed likely.  Where the site would result in the loss of all or part of a designated heritage asset, a significant negative () effect will be assumed likely.  Sites which do not fall within the above scenarios are considered to have a negligible uncertain effect (0?).  Effects are assumed to be uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development and opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features (e.g. where sympathetic development replac |

 $<sup>^{1} \,</sup> English \, Heritage \, (now \, Historic \, England). \, The \, Setting \, of \, Heritage \, Assets \, REVISION \, NOTE \, June \, 2012.$ 

| SA Objective  | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option lead to?   | Assumptions for SA of site options   |
|---|--|--|
|   |  | designated site using Historic England's guidance on SEA:  |
|   |  | The nature and significance of the heritage asset(s) on or within the vicinity of the potential site allocation.   |
|   |  | The contribution of the site to the significance of heritage assets on or within its vicinity, taking into account setting of the heritage site.   |
|   |  | The potential impacts of development upon the significance of heritage asset.  |
|   |  | The potential to remove or reduce any harm.  |
|   |  | The potential for any enhancements.  |
|   |  | The comments of Kent County Council's heritage officer on promoted SHLAA sites have been reviewed in consideration of Historic England's guidance outlined above.  |
|   |  | Only site 113 is in the vicinity of a heritage asset on Historic England's 'at risk' register: one of the Martello Towers (number 7); however, there is no proposal to restore this in the submission. There are no other sites in the vicinity of any of the other 'at risk' heritage assets.   |
|   |  | (Sources required: GIS mapping of listed buildings; Scheduled Monuments; Registered Parks and Gardens; Conservation Areas; 'at risk' heritage assets to be brought back into use; brownfield sites)  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the                      | Areas of the highest landscape sensitivity being provided with the highest level of policy   | 8(a)Landscape  |
| quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and | protection?  Development which considers the existing  | It is not possible to precisely determine effects on the landscape during a desk-based strategic SA exercise such as this in the absence of a District-wide landscape sensitivity and capacity study. An indication of potential effects on landscape features and character will be based on the following  |
| townscape.  | character, form and pattern of the landscape, buildings and settlements?   | assumptions:   |
|   | The protection and enhancement of local distinctiveness and contribution to a sense of place?  | <ul> <li>Sites that are within the Kent Downs AONB will be assessed as having a potential significant<br/>negative (?) effect on landscape character and features unless the development site represents<br/>'infill' development and/or is screened from the wider AONB by existing built-up development, in<br/>which case the site is likely to have only a minor adverse effect (-?).</li> </ul>                                 |
|   | The provision of and maintenance towards green infrastructure assets and networks (including green open space and river/canal corridors) and ensure that this is linked into new and existing developments, to improve the connectivity of | Sites that are outside, but within the setting of the Kent Downs AONB, assumed to be within 1 km of the AONB boundary, will be assessed as having a potential minor negative (-?) effect on landscape character and features unless the development site represents 'infill' development and/or is screened from the wider AONB by existing built-up development, in which case the site is likely to have a negligible effect (0?). |
|   | green spaces and green networks?   | Sites which do not fall within the above scenarios are considered to have a negligible uncertain effect (0?).  |
|   |  | All sites will be assessed as having an uncertain (?) effect on landscape character and features.  |
|   |  | In all cases, potential effects will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |

| SA Objective                          | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option lead to?   | Assumptions for SA of site options   |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|                                       |  | 8(b)Settlement character: coalescence  |
|                                       |  | In addition to the above, sites that are judged to significantly add to the risk of future coalescence of neighbouring settlements are likely to have an adverse effect on settlement character. Sites which represent over 50% of an existing strategic gap between settlements are considered to have a significant adverse effect (?).  |
|                                       |  | Sites which represent between 10% and 50% of an existing strategic gap between settlements are considered to have a minor adverse effect (-?).   |
|                                       |  | Sites which represent less than 10% of an existing strategic gap between settlements are considered to have a negligible effect (0?).  |
|                                       |  | All these effects are uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the sites are known; however, sites which do not sit within a strategic gap, i.e. within an existing urban area or far away from neighbouring settlements, are likely to have a negligible effect with no uncertainty (0).  |
|                                       |  | 8(c)Townscape: regeneration  |
|                                       |  | In addition to the above, the Council's SHLAA site assessment identifies whether sites are on previously developed land or their redevelopment would be consistent with regeneration objectives (e.g. due to poor current condition). Where this is the case a significant positive effect (++?) has been recorded for townscape, with some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. A negligible effect for this objective will be recorded if this information is not recorded in the Council's SHLAA assessments.  |
|                                       |  | Other townscape, design and green infrastructure aspects of this SA objective are not relevant to SA of the location of housing site allocations.  |
|                                       |  | (Sources required: AONB boundary; settlement boundaries; SHLAA site assessment)  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity. | Avoidance of net loss, damage to, or fragmentation of designated and non-designated wildlife sites and habitats?  Opportunities to enhance habitats for protected species and priority species identified in the Kent BAP? | Site options that are close to an international, national or locally designated conservation site have the potential to affect the biodiversity of those sites, e.g. through habitat damage/loss, fragmentation, disturbance to species, air pollution, increased recreation pressure etc. However, some built up area may already include urban development close to nature conservation sites. There may also be opportunities to promote habitat connectivity if new developments include green infrastructure.  Proximity to designated sites provides an indication of the potential for an adverse effect: |
|                                       | Opportunities for people to come into contact with robust wildlife places whilst encouraging   | Sites within a SSSI, SAC, SPA and/or Ramsar site are likely to have a significant negative () effect.  |
|                                       | respect for and raising awareness of the sensitivity of these sites?  Development which includes the integration of  | <ul> <li>Sites that are wholly or partly within another nationally or locally designated biodiversity site, e.g. National Nature Reserve (NNR), Local Nature Reserve (LNR), Local Wildlife Site (LWS) or areas of ancient woodland are likely to have a significant negative () effect.</li> </ul>   |
|                                       | ecological conditions and contributes to improvement in ecological connectivity in rural and urban areas?  | <ul> <li>Sites that are within 250m of a SSSI, SAC, SPA and/or Ramsar site are likely to have an uncertain<br/>minor negative (-?) effect.</li> </ul>  |
|                                       | The maintenance and enhancement of the four  | Sites that are within 250m of a nationally or locally designated biodiversity site are likely to have an   |

| SA Objective  | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option lead to?  | Assumptions for SA of site options   |
|---|---|--|
|   | large scale ecological networks in the District?  | uncertain minor negative (-?) effect.  |
|   |   | Sites which do not fall within the above scenarios are considered to have a negligible uncertain effect (0?). The uncertainty attached to this effect is due to the potential for impacts on undesignated habitats and species of biodiversity interest, both on greenfield and brownfield land.   |
|   |   | Appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. The assessment scores may need to be adjusted to take into account the relationship of the development site option and the designated site. Factors that will be taken into account will include type of development site option, the current use of the site, whether there are existing barriers between the development site and the designated site (e.g. existing built development), and the potential for human disturbance and trampling (e.g. from recreation and dog walking, or from noise or light), where relevant. |
|   |   | (Sources required: boundaries of designated wildlife sites.)   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | A complementary mix of land uses within compact communities that minimises the length   | Similar assessment criteria to those listed under SA objective 2 are applicable; however, the significance of effects has the potential to be greater against this objective.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport                                   | of journeys to services and employment, increases the proportion of journeys made on  | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel  |
| modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | foot or by cycle, and are of a sufficient density to support local services and public transport provision?  Development in locations well served by public | The location of housing sites will not directly affect the number or range of services in a particular location (although a large scale housing development could potentially stimulate the provision of new services). However, the location of housing sites could affect this objective by influencing people's ability to access existing services and facilities, particularly by walking.  |
|   | transport, cycle paths and walking routes?  Support for the objectives of the Shepway Cycling Plan?   | Where an allocation is within convenient walking distance (800 m) of a Major Employment Site there is a significant positive (++) effect on this aspect of the SA objective due to minimising travel distances and enabling easier access to employment opportunities.   |
|   |   | Where an allocation is within 800 m of a shopping parade a minor positive (+) effect is assumed.   |
|   |   | <ul> <li>Where a site is within walking distance of a site or centre but there are potential barriers to access for all ages and abilities (e.g. topography, major road), the score is downgraded to the next lowest score (e.g. ++ score becomes + or + becomes 0).</li> </ul>  |
|   |   | Other allocations are assessed as having a negligible effect.  |
|   |   | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes   |
|   |   | The proximity of residential sites to public transport links will affect the extent to which residents are able to make use of non car-based modes of transport to access services, facilities and job opportunities. It is possible that new transport links such as bus routes or cycle paths may be provided as part of larger-scale housing developments but this cannot be assumed.   |
|   |   | Sites within walking distance of a rail station (800 m) or bus stop (400 m) are assumed to have a significant positive (++) effect on this aspect of the SA objective.   |
|   |   | Where a site is within walking distance of rail station or bus stop but there are potential barriers to access for all ages and abilities (e.g. topography, major road), the score is downgraded to +.   |

| SA Objective                              | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option lead to?             | Assumptions for SA of site options   |
|---|--|--|
|   |  | Other allocations are assessed as having a negligible effect.  |
|   |  | Increasing cycling is an important sustainability objective for Shepway and positive sustainability scores relating to development allocations being within convenient walking distance of services and facilities also reflect the fact that such allocations are also likely to increase the proportion of trips made by bike.   |
|   |  | (Sources required: GIS mapping of Major Employment Sites;; shop frontages; train stations; bus stops)  |
| 11. Use land efficiently                  | Development that avoids high quality agricultural              | 11(a) Efficient use of land  |
| and safeguard soils, geology and economic | land?  | Prioritising the development of previously developed (brownfield) land can help to ensure that   |
| mineral reserves.                         | The remediation of contaminated sites?                         | greenfield land is protected from unnecessary development. It represents a more efficient use of land providing that it is not of high environmental value (e.g. for biodiversity).  |
|   | Development on brownfield sites?                               | <ul> <li>Sites which are mainly brownfield land (&gt;=50%) are assumed to have a minor positive effect (+).</li> </ul>   |
|   | Development that protects soil processes and functions?        | Sites which are mainly greenfield land (>50%) are assumed to have a minor negative (-) effect.   |
|   | Development that protects sites valued for their               | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity  |
|   | geological characteristics?                                    | Sites on high quality agricultural land would result in land being lost to farming and food production.  |
|   | Development that avoids sterilising economic mineral reserves? | Therefore, site allocations containing a significant proportion of high quality (grade 1, 2 or 3) agricultural land are assumed to have negative effects on preserving soil quality:   |
|   |  | • Sites with a significant proportion (=>25%) of grade 1 or 2 agricultural land are assumed to have a significant negative () effect.  |
|   |  | <ul> <li>Sites with a significant proportion (&gt;=25%) of grade 3 agricultural land but no significant<br/>proportion at higher grades are assumed to have a minor negative (-) effect.</li> </ul>  |
|   |  | Other sites are assumed to have a negligible (0) effect.   |
|   |  | 11(c) Land contamination   |
|   |  | Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective (++); if contamination status of the land is unknown an uncertain effect (?) will be recorded; if the site allocation is not thought to be contaminated negligible effect (0) has been recorded.   |
|   |  | 11(d) Minerals safeguarding  |
|   |  | All new development will result in the increased consumption of minerals for construction but this will not be influenced by the location of development sites. However, the location of development sites can influence the efficient use of minerals by their proximity to Mineral Safeguarding Areas as defined by Kent County Council as development in those areas may sterilise mineral resources and restrict the availability of resources in the Districts. |
|   |  | Since the new Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030 has yet to be adopted, an uncertain effect (?) is identified for sites that overlap a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
|   |  | All other sites are likely to have a negligible effect (0) on this objective.  |

| SA Objective  | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option lead to?  | Assumptions for SA of site options  |
|---|---|---|
|   | load to   | Geological quality and quantity   |
|   |   | Local Geological Sites are selected for their educational, historical and/or aesthetic value. The Council has confirmed that no such sites exist within the District.   |
|   |   | (Sources required: GIS mapping of agricultural land classifications, Minerals Safeguarding Areas, contaminated and brownfield land.)  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. | Development that will not lead to the deterioration of the quality of groundwater, surface waters or coastal waters or deterioration of Water Framework Directive status?  Development where adequate foul drainage, sewage treatment facilities and surface water drainage are available?  Development which incorporates SuDS (including their long-term maintenance) to reduce and the risk of combined sewer overflows and to trap and break down pollutants? | The location of housing allocations may affect water quality due to spatial variations in the capacity of existing WwTWs and the foul and combined sewer network to accommodate additional demand from new development as well as variations in the sensitivity of groundwater and surface water receptors.  In relation to wastewater treatment capacity, the Water Cycle Study² did not identify significant constraints to planned development.  In relation to the capacity of the foul and combined sewer network to accommodate additional development, the Water Cycle Study identifies a potential capacity issue in the strategic wastewater link between the Westenhanger and Lympne area and Sellindge WwTW. Development in this area could therefore increase the risk of wastewater overflows, with adverse effects on water quality but the risk is judged minor due to the regulatory requirement for the water undertaker to provide a connection to wastewater treatment facilities at some point. It is therefore assumed that residential allocations in the parishes of Lympne, Stanford or Hooks Norton have the potential for minor adverse effects on this SA objective (-?) with uncertainty relating to whether the development is of sufficient size to require a new link to Sellindge WwTW or whether such a link will be secured, e.g. via developer contributions.  Shepway contains groundwater Source Protection Zones within which there is a risk of contamination of groundwater resources from certain activities. Housing construction within these zones is assessed as having a minor adverse effect on the SA objective but with uncertainty (-?) relating to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed.  Pollution risks to surface waters from construction of housing are assumed to be fully addressed by compliance with Environment Agency pollution prevention guidance and do not form part of the SA of Site Allocations.  All other sites are likely to have a negligible effect (0) on this objective. |
|   |   | The potential benefits to water quality of incorporating SuDS are dealt with in the SA of Development Management policies and do not form part of the SA of site allocations.   |
|   |   | (Sources required: parish boundaries; groundwater Source Protection Zones)  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | Development where adequate water supply is available?  Water efficient design and reduction in water  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to site location therefore the SA of site allocations does not assess this; potential effects of water efficient design are instead dealt with in the SA of Development Management policies.  |
|   | consumption (e.g. rainwater recycling/grey water reuse and BREEAM/ EcoHomes Excellent   | (Sources required: EA none)   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Shepway District Council (2011) Shepway Water Cycle Study

| SA Objective   | Appraisal questions. Will the plan/option   | Assumptions for SA of site options  |
|--|---|---|
|  | lead to?  |   |
|  | Standard)?  |   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | The provision of and maintenance towards green infrastructure assets and networks (including green open space and river/canal corridors) and ensure that this is linked into new and existing developments, to improve the connectivity of green spaces and green networks? | Where a significant proportion (>=25%) of an allocated site is within an existing area of open space a significant adverse (?) effect is assumed. Where a smaller part (5-25%) of an allocated site is within an existing open space a minor negative (-?) effect is assumed.  In both cases uncertainty relates to the extent to which the development will contribute to alternative provision of open space that is lost to development.  Site that are <5% open space are assumed to have a negligible (0) effect.  (Sources required: open space boundaries) |

## Appendix 2

Review of other policies, plans and programmes

| Plan, programme or policy   | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan  |
|---|---|---|
| INTERNATIONAL   |   |   |
| European  |   |   |
| EU Seventh Environmental Action Plan (2002-2012)  | The EU's objectives in implementing the programme are:  (a) to protect, conserve and enhance the Union's natural capital; (b) to turn the Union into a resource-efficient, green and competitive low-carbon economy; (c) to safeguard the Union's citizens from environment-related pressures and risks to health and wellbeing; (d) to maximise the benefits of the Union's environment legislation; (e) to improve the evidence base for environment policy; (f) to secure investment for environment and climate policy and get the prices right; (g) to improve environmental integration and policy coherence; (h) to enhance the sustainability of the Union's cities; (i) to increase the Union's effectiveness in confronting regional and global environmental challenges. | Include sustainability objectives to protect and enhance the natural environment and promote energy efficiency.   |
| SEA Directive 2001  Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment        | Provide for a high level of protection of the environment and contribute to the integration of environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans and programmes with a view to promoting sustainable development.  The Directive must be applied to plans or programmes whose formal preparation begins after 21 July 2004 and to those already in preparation by that date.  | Requirements of the Directive must be met in Sustainability Appraisals.   |
| The Industrial Emissions Directive 2010  Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions (integrated pollution prevention and control) | This Directive lays down rules on integrated prevention and control of pollution arising from industrial activities. It also lays down rules designed to prevent or, where that is not practicable, to reduce emissions into air, water and land and to prevent the generation of waste, in order to achieve a high level of protection of the environment taken as a whole.  | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.  Include SA objective for reducing pollution. |
| Energy Performance of Buildings Directive<br>2010 on the energy performance of<br>buildings 2010/31/EU                              | The Directive aims to promote the energy performance of buildings and building units.  It requests that member states adopt either national or regional   | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.   |

| Plan, programme or policy   | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan  |
|---|---|---|
|   | methodology for calculating energy performance and minimum requirements for energy performance.   | Include SA objective relating to the energy performance/efficiency of existing and proposed buildings.  |
| The Birds Directive 2009  Directive 2009/147/EC is a codified version of Directive 79/409/EEC as amended                            | The preservation, maintenance, and re-establishment of biotopes and habitats shall include the following measures:  o Creation of protected areas.  o Upkeep and management in accordance with the ecological needs of habitats inside and outside the protected zones.  o Re-establishment of destroyed biotopes.  o Creation of biotopes. | Local Plan documents should make sure that the upkeep of recognised habitats is maintained and not damaged from development.  Avoid pollution or deterioration of habitats or any other disturbances effecting birds.             |
| The Waste Framework Directive 2008  Directive 2008/98/EC on waste   | Prevention or reduction of waste production and its harmfulness. The recovery of waste by means of recycling, re-use or reclamation. Recovery or disposal of waste without endangering human health and without using processes that could harm the environment.  | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.  Include SA objectives that minimise waste production as well as promote recycling. |
| The Floods Directive 2007  Directive 2007/60/EC on the assessment and management of flood risk                                      | Establish a framework for the assessment and management of flood risk, aiming at the reduction of the adverse consequences for human health, the environment, cultural heritage and economic activity associated with floods.   | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.  Include SA objectives that relate to flood management and reduction of risk.       |
| The Water Framework Directive 2000  Directive 2000/60/EC establishing a framework for community action in the field of water policy | Protection of inland surface waters, transitional waters, coastal waters and groundwater.   | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.  Include SA objectives to protect and minimise the impact on water quality.         |
| The Environmental Noise Directive 2002<br>Directive 2002/49/EC relating to the<br>assessment and management of                      | Defines a common approach to avoid, prevent and reduce the adverse effects due to the exposure to environmental noise.  | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.   |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway<br>District Council Local Plan   |
|--|---|---|
| environmental noise  | It also provides a basis for developing European wide measures to deal with noise emitted by road and rail vehicles, infrastructure, aircraft and outdoor, industrial and mobile machinery. | Include SA objectives to manage and reduce the impacts of noise.  |
|  | Principles of the directive include:  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>Monitoring the environmental problems.</li> </ul>  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>Informing and consulting the public.</li> </ul>  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>Addressing local noise issues</li> </ul>   |   |
|  | 0   |   |
| The Landfill Directive 1999 Directive 99/31/EC on the landfill of waste                                      | Prevent or reduce negative effects on the environment from the landfilling of waste by introducing stringent technical requirements for waste and landfills.                                | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.   |
|  |   | Include SA objectives to increase recycling and reduce the amount of waste.   |
| The Drinking Water Directive 1998  Directive 98/83/EC on the quality of water intended for human consumption | Protect human health from the adverse effects of any contamination of water intended for human consumption by ensuring that it is wholesome and clean.                                      | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.   |
| The Air Quality Framework Directive 1996 Directive 96/62/EC on ambient air quality assessment and management | Avoid, prevent and reduce harmful effects of ambient air pollution on human health and the environment.   | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.   |
| Air Quality Directive 2008 Directive 2008/50/EC on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe            | This directive sets legally binding limits for:  o Lead  o Nitrogen dioxide  o Sulphur dioxide  o Benzene  o Carbon Monoxide  | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.  Include SA objectives to maintain and enhance air quality. |

| Plan, programme or policy   | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|---|--|--|
| The Packaging and Packaging Waste<br>Directive 1994<br>Directive 94/62/EC on packaging and<br>packaging waste       | o Arsenic o Cadmium o Nickel o Benzo(a) pyrene o Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons o ozone o  Harmonise the packaging waste system of Member States. Reduce the environmental impact of packaging waste.  By June 2001 at least 50% by weight of packaging waste should have been recovered, at least 25% by weight of the totality of packaging materials contained in packaging waste to be recycled with a minimum of 15% by weight for each packaging material. | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.  Include SA objectives to minimise the environmental impact of waste and promote recycling.              |
| The Habitats Directive 1992 Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora | Promote the maintenance of biodiversity taking account of economic, social, cultural and regional requirements. Conservation of natural habitats and maintain landscape features of importance to wildlife and fauna.  | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.  Include SA objectives to protect and maintain the natural environment and important landscape features. |
| The Nitrates Directive 1991 Directive 91/676/EEC on nitrates from agricultural sources.                             | Reduce water pollution caused or induced by nitrates from agricultural sources and prevent further such pollution.  Identification of vulnerable areas.  | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.  Include SA objectives to reduce water pollution.  |
| The Urban Waste Water Directive 1991 Directive 91/271/EEC concerning urban waste water treatment                    | Protect the environment from the adverse effects of urban waste water collection, treatment and discharge, and discharge from certain industrial sectors.  | Develop policies that take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF.  |

| Plan, programme or policy   | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan  |
|---|---|---|
|   |   | Include sustainability objectives to reduce water pollution.  |
| European Spatial Development<br>Perspective (1999)  | Economic and social cohesion across the community. Conservation of natural resources and cultural heritage. Balanced competitiveness between different tiers of government. | Local Plan documents should take account of the Directive as well as more detailed policies derived from the Directive contained in the NPPF. |
|   |   | Include SA objectives to conserve natural resources and cultural heritage.  |
| European Landscape Convention<br>(Florence, 2002)   | The convention promotes landscape protection, management and planning.  | Local Plan documents should take account of the Convention.   |
|   |   | Include SA objectives to protect the urban and rural landscape and identify opportunities for enhancement.                                    |
| European Convention on the Protection of<br>the Archaeological Heritage (Valletta,<br>1992) | Protection of the archaeological heritage, including any physical evidence of the human past that can be investigated archaeologically both on land and underwater.         | Local Plan documents should take account of the Convention.   |
| Revision of the 1985 Granada Convention   | Creation of archaeological reserves and conservation of excavated sites.  | Include SA objectives to protect the archaeological heritage.   |
| Other International   |   |   |
| Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development (2002)                                  | <ul> <li>Commitment to building a humane, equitable and caring<br/>global society aware of the need for human dignity for all.</li> </ul>                                   | Local Plan documents should take account of the Declaration.  |
|   | <ul> <li>Renewable energy and energy efficiency.</li> </ul>   |   |
|   | <ul> <li>Accelerate shift towards sustainable consumption and production.</li> </ul>  | Include SA objectives to enhance the natural environment, promote renewable energy and  |
|   | o Greater resource efficiency.  | energy efficiency and sustainable use of natural resources.   |
|   | <ul> <li>New technology for renewable energy.</li> </ul>  | 103041003.  |
|   | 0   |   |
| Aarhus Convention (1998)  | Established a number of rights of the public with regard to the environment.  | Local Plan documents should take account of the   |

| Plan, programme or policy                   | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|---|---|--|
|   | Local authorities should provide for:   | Convention.  |
|   | <ul> <li>The right of everyone to receive environmental information</li> <li>The right to participate from an early stage in environmental decision making</li> </ul> | Ensure that public are involved and consulted at all relevant stages of SA production.   |
|   |   |  |
| NATIONAL National Planning Policy Framework | Presumption in favour of sustainable development.   | Local Plan documents must be in conformity with  |
| (NPPF) (DCLG, 2012)                         | Delivering sustainable development by:  | the NPPF.  |
|   | Building a strong, competitive economy.   | Set out clear economic visions for that particular area.   |
|   | Ensuring vitality of town centres.  | Recognise town centres as the heart of their communities.  |
|   | Promoting sustainable transport   | To implement sustainable transport modes depending on nature/location of the site, to reduce the need for major road transport infrastructure. |
|   | Supporting high quality communications infrastructure.  | Enhance the provision of local community facilities and services by supporting the expansion of electronic communications networks.            |
|   | Delivering a wide choice of high quality homes.   | Identify size, type, tenure and range of housing that is required in particular locations.   |

| Plan, programme or policy | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway<br>District Council Local Plan   |
|---------------------------|--|---|
|                           | Requiring good design.   | Establish a strong sense of place to live, work and visit.  |
|                           | Promoting healthy communities.   | Promote safe and accessible environments with a high quality of life and community cohesion.  |
|                           | Protecting Green Belt Land.  | To prevent the coalescence of neighbouring towns.   |
|                           | Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding, and coastal change. | Use opportunities offered by new development to reduce causes/impacts of flooding.  |
|                           | Conserving and enhancing the natural environment.                      | Recognise the wider benefits of biodiversity.   |
|                           | Conserving and enhancing the historic environment                      | Sustain and enhance heritage assets and put them to viable uses consistent with their conservation.  A plan may be considered unsound if there has been no proper assessment of the significance of heritage assets in the area, and the plan does not contain a positive strategy for the conservation, enhancement and enjoyment of the historic environment. |
|                           | Facilitating the use of sustainable materials.                         | Include policies which identify and safeguard mineral resources and associated infrastructure and promote the use of recycled/secondary materials prior to the extraction of primary materials.   |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|--|---|--|
| Maile Deserve  |   |  |
| White Papers  Natural Environment White Paper, 2011  The Natural Choice: securing the value of nature (HM Government, 2011)                            | <ul> <li>o Protecting and improving our natural environment.</li> <li>o Growing a green economy.</li> <li>o Reconnecting people and nature.</li> </ul>  | Local Plan documents should protect the intrinsic value of nature and recognise the multiple benefits it could have for communities.   |
| Electricity Market Reform White Paper 2011, Planning our Electric Future: A White Paper for Secure, Affordable and Low-Carbon Electricity (DECC, 2011) | This White Paper sets out the Government's commitment to transform the UK's electricity system to ensure that our future electricity supply is secure, low-carbon and affordable.   | Local Plan documents should support renewable energy generation and encourage greater energy efficiency.   |
|  | 15 per cent renewable energy target by 2020 and 80 per cent carbon reduction target by 2050.  | Include sustainability objectives to reduce carbon emissions and increase proportion of energy generated from renewable sources.   |
| The Future of Transport White Paper 2004: A network for 2030 (DfT, 2004)   | <ul> <li>Ensure we can benefit from mobility and access while minimising the impact on other people and the environment, now and in the future.</li> <li>Get the best out of our transport system without damaging our overall quality of life.</li> </ul>  | Local Plan documents should provide for an increase in demand for travel whilst minimising impact on the environment. Policies also needed to promote public transport use rather than increasing reliance on the car. |
|  | <ul> <li>Develop strategies that recognise that demand for travel will increase in the future.</li> <li>Work towards a transport network that can meet the challenges of a growing economy and the increasing demand for travel but can also achieve the government's environmental objectives.</li> </ul>  | Include sustainability objectives to reduce the need to travel and improve choice and use of sustainable transport modes.  |
| Energy White Paper: Our Energy Future (2003)   | There are four key aims in this document:  To put ourselves on a path to cut the United Kingdom carbon dioxide emissions- the main contributor to global warming- by some 60 % by about 2050, with real progress by 2020;  To maintain the reliability of energy supplies;  To promote competitive markets in the United Kingdom and beyond, helping to raise the rate of sustainable economic growth and to improve our productivity; and  To make sure that every home is adequately and affordably heated. | The Local Plan and its policies need to promote development that is energy efficient and increases the use and/ or availability or renewable energy.   |

| Plan, programme or policy   | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|---|---|--|
| Water White Paper, 2011   | Objectives of the White Paper are to:   | Include sustainability objectives that relate to water   |
| Water for Life  | Paint a clear vision of the future and create the conditions which enable the water sector and water users to prepare for it;   | quality and quantity.  |
|   | Deliver benefits across society through an ambitious agenda for improving water quality, working with local communities to make early improvements in the health of our rivers by reducing pollution and tackling unsustainable abstraction;                                  |  |
|   | Keep short and longer term affordability for customers at the centre of decision making in the water sector;  |  |
|   | Protect the interests of taxpayers in the policy decisions that we take;  |  |
|   | Ensure a stable framework for the water sector which remains attractive to investors;   |  |
|   | Stimulate cultural change in the water sector by removing barriers to competition, fostering innovation and efficiency, and encouraging new entrants to the market to help improve the range and quality of services offered to customers and cut business costs;             |  |
|   | Work with water companies, regulators and other stakeholders to build understanding of the impact personal choices have on the water environment, water resources and costs; and  |  |
|   | Set out roles and responsibilities – including where Government will take a stronger role in strategic direction setting and assessing resilience to future challenges, as well as clear expectations on the regulators.  |  |
| Urban White Paper 2000, Our Towns and<br>Cities: The Future – delivering an urban<br>renaissance (ODPM, 2000) | Provide for new sustainable homes that are attractive, safe and practical. Retain people in urban areas by, for example, making them more desirable places to live. Improve quality of life, opportunity and economic success through tailored solutions in towns and cities. | Local Plan documents should seek to deliver better towns and cities taking into account the key aims of the White Paper.   |
|   | 3.8 million more homes needed by 2021. Local strategies needed to meet the needs of local people developed through partnerships. Sets targets for development on brownfield sites and through conversion of existing buildings now superseded by the NPPF.                    | Include sustainability objectives to ensure that the majority of new development is built on brownfield sites and aim to improve the quality of life of residents in towns and cities. |
| Heritage Protection for the 21st Century:<br>White Paper (2007)   | The proposals in this White Paper reflect the importance of the heritage protection system in preserving our heritage for people to enjoy now and in the future. They are based around three core principles:   | The new Shepway Local Plan policies will need to ensure that they protect the Borough's heritage assets.   |
|   | <ul> <li>Developing a unified approach to the historic environment;</li> </ul>  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Maximising opportunities for inclusion and involvement;</li> </ul>   |  |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway<br>District Council Local Plan  |
|--|--|--|
|  | and o Supporting sustainable communities by putting the historic   |  |
| D 1041'1 D 2000 O 1 1 11   | environment at the heart of an effective planning system   |  |
| Rural White Paper 2000, Our Countryside:<br>The Future – a fair deal for rural England | <ul> <li>Facilitate the development of dynamic, competitive and<br/>sustainable economies in the countryside.</li> </ul>   | Local Plan documents should help increase employment and services in the rural parts of the  |
| (ODPM, 2000)   | <ul> <li>Maintain and stimulate communities and secure access to<br/>services for those who live and work in the countryside.</li> </ul>   | District whilst conserving the landscape.  |
|  | o Conserve and enhance rural landscapes.   |  |
| Delicies and Streetswice   |  |  |
| Policies and Strategies National Planning Practice Guidance,                           | On a range of topics that link to the promotion  | The NPPG sets out a range of social, economic and  |
| DCLG, 2014   | Of sustainable development including:  | environmental considerations for the preparation of the Local Plan. It also includes information on  |
|  | o Air quality  | undertaking sustainability appraisals which can be taken into consideration.   |
|  | o Climate change   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Conserving and enhancing the historic environment</li> </ul>  |  |
|  | o Flood risk   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Health and well being</li> </ul>  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Housing and economic development</li> </ul>   |  |
|  | o Natural environment  |  |
|  | o Minerals   |  |
|  | o Rural housing  |  |
|  | o Open space   |  |
|  | o Transport  |  |
|  | o Waste  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Water supply, wastewater and water quality</li> </ul>   |  |
| National Planning Practice Guidance (2014)   | The National Planning Practice Guidance provides technical guidance on topic areas in order to support policies set out within the NPPF. It aims to allow for sustainable development as guided by the NPPF.                 | The new Shepway Local plan will need to reflect the guidance set out within the NPPG   |
| Localism Act (2011)  | The Localism Act introduces a number of measures to decentralise decision making process to the local level, creating space for Local Authorities to lead and innovate, and giving people the opportunity to take control of | The Local Plan will need to reflect the principles of Localism as identified in the document. The Local Plan will need to incorporate the concept of |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|--|--|--|
|  | decisions that matter to them. The Localism Act includes a number of important packages.   | Neighbourhood Planning, with the intention of giving neighbourhoods far more ability to determine the                                      |
|  | The new act makes it easier for local people to take over the amenities they love and keep them part of local life;  | shape of the places in which people live.  |
|  | The act makes sure that local social enterprises, volunteers and community groups with a bright idea for improving local services get a chance to change how things are done.  |  |
|  | The act places significantly more influence in the hands of local people over issues that make a big difference to their lives.  |  |
|  | The act provides appropriate support and recognition to communities who welcome new development.   |  |
|  | The act reduces red tape, making it easier for authorities to get on with the job of working with local people to draw up a vision for their area's future.  |  |
|  | The act reinforces the democratic nature of the planning system passing power from bodies not directly to the public, to democratically accountable ministers.   |  |
|  | The act enables Local Authorities to make their own decisions to adapt housing provision to local needs, and make the system fairer and more effective.  |  |
|  | The act gives Local Authorities more control over the funding of social housing, helping them plan for the long-term.  |  |
|  | In relation to planning, the Localism Act enables the Government to abolish regional spatial strategies, introduce Neighbourhood Plans and Local Referendums.  |  |
| National Policy Statement EN1:<br>Overarching Energy Policy Statement<br>(2011)                              | This policy document sets out government policy for the delivery of major planning applications for energy development. The document also specifies the criteria for waste management, traffic and transport, water quality and quantity, noise and vibration, open spaces and green infrastructure, the landscape, visual impact, dust flood risk, historic environment, odour, light, smoke/steam, insects, coastal change, aviation, biodiversity and geodiversity. | The new Local Plan will need to be consistent with the National Policy Statement.  |
| National Policy Statement EN3:<br>Renewable Energy Infrastructure (2011)                                     | The statement provides the primary basis for decisions on major applications for nationally significant renewable energy infrastructure; this includes biomass ad waste combustion and onshore and offshore wind.  | The new Local Plan will need to be consistent with the National Policy Statement   |
| National Policy Statement EN4: National Policy Statement for Gas Supply Infrastructure and Gas Oil pipelines | Taken with the overarching national policy statement for energy, the statement provides the primary basis for decisions on major applications for gas supply infrastructure and gas and oil pipelines.   | The Local Plan will need to consider and be consistent with the policies and objectives of the National Policy Statement where applicable. |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan  |
|--|---|---|
| (2011)   |   |   |
| EN5: National Policy Statement for<br>Electricity for Electricity Networks<br>(2011)Infrastructure | This statement provides the primary basis for decisions on major applications for electricity networks infrastructure.  | The Local Plan will need to consider and be consistent with the policies and objectives of the National Policy Statement where applicable.  |
| National Policy Statement EN6: Nuclear<br>Power Generation (2011)                                  | This statement provides the primary basis for decisions on major planning applications for Nuclear Power infrastructure.  | The Local Plan will need to consider and be consistent with the policies and objectives of the National Policy Statement where applicable.  |
| Energy Act (2008)  | The Act works towards a number of policy objectives including carbon emissions reduction, security of supply, and competitive energy markets. Objectives: Electricity from Renewable Sources: changes to Renewables Obligation  (RO), designed to increase renewables generation, as well as the effectiveness of the RO.           | Policies and Site Allocations will have to ensure a positive contribution in meeting the climate change challenge by capitalising on renewable and low carbon energy opportunities and adaptation measures which reduce the threat of climate change. |
|  | Feed in tariffs for small scale, low carbon generators of electricity. Smart meters: the Act mandates a roll-out of smart meters to medium sized businesses over the next five years.   |   |
|  | Renewable heat incentives: the establishment of a financial support mechanism for those generating heat from renewable sources.   |   |
| National Planning Policy for Waste (2014)  | Key planning objectives are identified within National Planning Policy for Waste, requiring panning authorities to:   | Include sustainability objective that relates to waste reduction.   |
|  | <ul> <li>help deliver sustainable development through driving<br/>waste management up the waste hierarchy</li> </ul>  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>Ensure waste management is considered alongside other<br/>spatial planning concerns</li> </ul>   |   |
|  | <ul> <li>provide a framework in which communities take more<br/>responsibility for their own waste</li> </ul>   |   |
|  | <ul> <li>help secure the recovery or disposal of waste without<br/>endangering human health and without harming the<br/>environment,</li> </ul>   |   |
|  | <ul> <li>ensure the design and layout of new development<br/>supports sustainable waste management</li> </ul>   |   |
| National Policy Statement: Waste Water (2012)  | The National Policy Statement sets out Government Policy for the provision of major waste water infrastructure. It will be used by the decision makers as the primary basis for deciding development consent that fall within the definition of Nationally Significant Infrastructure projects as defined in the Planning Act 2008. | The Local Plan will need to consider and be consistent with the policies and objectives of the National Policy Statement where applicable.  |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway<br>District Council Local Plan  |
|--|---|--|
| Door to Door: A Strategy for Improving Sustainable Transport Integration (DfT, 2013) | The strategy focuses on four core areas which need to be addressed so that people can be confident in choosing greener modes of transport:  O Accurate, accessible and reliable information about different transport options  O Convenient and affordable tickets  O Regular and straightforward connections at all stages of the journey and between different modes of transport  O Safe and comfortable transport facilities  | Local Plan documents should take into account their role in addressing the four core areas outlined in the Strategy.  Include SA objectives relating to high quality, efficient sustainable transport systems.   |
| Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (DCLG, 2012)                                     | This document sets out the Government's planning policy for traveller sites, replacing ODPM Circular 01/2006: Planning for Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Sites and Circular 04/2007: Planning for Travelling Showpeople. It sets out the Government's aims in respect of Traveller's sites, including:  o To ensure that local planning authorities, working collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites.  o To encourage local planning authorities to plan for sites over a reasonable timescale.  o That plan-making and decision-taking should protect Green Belt from inappropriate development.  o For local planning authorities to ensure that their Local Plan includes fair, realistic and inclusive policies.  o To increase the number of traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply.  o To reduce tensions between settled and traveller communities in plan making and planning decisions.  o To enable provision of suitable accommodation from which travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure.  o For local planning authorities to have due regard to the protection of local amenity and local environment. | The Core Strategy and Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocation Policies will need to be in conformity with this document which sets out national policy for planning for Traveller sites.  Include a sustainability objective relative to supply of housing available to all. |
| DECC (2011) UK Renewable Energy  | o Make the UK more energy secure  | Include objectives relating to renewable energy  |

| Plan, programme or policy   | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway   |
|---|--|--|
| Roadmap   | <ul> <li>Help protect consumers from fossil fuel price fluctuations.</li> </ul>  | District Council Local Plan generation and energy efficiency.  |
| '   | ···  | generationand energy eniciency.  |
| (updates setting out progress and changes to the strategy dated 2013 and 2013)            | <ul> <li>Help drive investment in new jobs and businesses in the<br/>renewable energy sector.</li> </ul>   |  |
|   | 0  |  |
| Biodiversity 2020: A Strategy for England's Wildlife and Ecosystem Services (DEFRA, 2011) | The aim of the Strategy is to guide conservation efforts in England up to 2020. Moving further on from 2020, the ambition is to move from a net biodiversity loss to gain.   | Local Plan documents should take into account their role in seeking to prevent the degradation of biodiversity and ecosystem services within Shepway. Local Plan documents should also |
|   | The strategy includes 22 priorities which include actions for the following sectors:   | recognise their contribution to securing a net gain in biodiversity.   |
|   | o Agriculture  | Include SA objective relating to the protection and  |
|   | o Forestry   | enhancement of the natural environment.  |
|   | <ul> <li>Planning and Development</li> </ul>   |  |
|   | o Water Management   |  |
|   | o Marine Management  |  |
|   | o Fisheries  |  |
|   | o Air Pollution  |  |
|   | 0  |  |
| Safeguarding our Soils: A Strategy for England (DEFRA, 2009)                              | The vision is "by 2030, all England's soils will be managed sustainability and degradation threats tackled successfully. This will improve the quality of England's soils and safeguard their ability to provide essential services for future generations". | Local Plan documents should help protect and enhance the quality of soils and seek to sustainably manage their quality for future generations.   |
|   | The Strategy highlights the areas for priority including:  | Include SA objective which seeks to safeguard and enhance the quality of soil.   |
|   | <ul> <li>Better protection for agricultural soils.</li> </ul>  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Protecting and enhancing stores of soil carbon.</li> </ul>  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Building the resilience of soils to a changing climate.</li> </ul>  |  |
|   | o Preventing soil pollution.   |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Effective soil protection during construction and<br/>development.</li> </ul>   |  |
|   | 0  |  |
| The Code for Sustainable Homes: Setting   | The Code is a standard designed to improve the sustainability of new   | Local Plan documents should take into account their  |

| Plan, programme or policy   | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|---|--|--|
| the standard in sustainability for new homes (DCLG, 2008)                               | homes. This sets out the assessment process and performance standards required for the Code for Sustainable Homes.  In January 2014 the Government responded to the Environmental Audit Committee's report on the Housing Standards Review consultation, stating | roles in promoting the implementation of the Code<br>(or corresponding sustainability requirements in the<br>Building Regulations) for all residential<br>development. |
| Laying the Foundations: A Housing<br>Strategy for England (DCLG, 2011)                  | Aims to provide support to deliver new homes and improve social mobility.  | Local Plan documents should encourage development of residential properties.   |
| Securing the Future: Delivering UK<br>Sustainable Development Strategy<br>(DEFRA, 2005) | Enable all people throughout the world to satisfy their basic needs and enjoy a better quality of life without compromising the quality of life for future generations. There are 4 shared priorities:   | Local Plan documents should meet the aims of the Sustainable Development Strategy.   |
|   | o sustainable consumption and production;  | Include SA objectives to cover the shared priorities.  |
|   | o climate change and energy;   | monute on experience to economic on a comprison to economic of   |
|   | <ul> <li>natural resource protection and environmental<br/>enhancement; and</li> </ul>   |  |
|   | o sustainable communities.   |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Sets out indicators to give an overview of sustainable<br/>development and priority areas in the UK. They include 20<br/>of the UK Framework indicators and a further 48 indicators<br/>related to the priority areas.</li> </ul>                       |  |
| Building a Greener Future: Policy<br>Statement (DCLG, 2007)                             | This Statement confirms the government's intention to achieve 25% more energy efficient homes by 2010, 44% more efficient homes by 2013 and zero carbon (net carbon emissions should be zero per annum) homes by 2016.   | Local Plan documents should ensure residential development is zero carbon by 2016.   |
| The UK Renewable Energy Strategy (DECC, 2009)   | <ul> <li>Increase our use of renewable electricity, heat and transport, and help tackle climate change.</li> <li>Build the UK low-carbon economy, promote energy security and take action against climate change.</li> </ul>                                     | Local Plan documents should encourage developments that would support renewable energy provision including electricity, heat and transport.                            |
|   | o 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020.  | Include SA objectives relating to increasing energy  |
|   | o 2 emissions by 750 million tonnes by 2030.   | provided from renewable sources.   |

| Plan, programme or policy                                | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|--|--|--|
| The Climate Change Act (2008)                            | The Climate Change Act was passed in 2008 and established a framework to develop an economically credible emissions reduction path. It also strengthened the UK's leadership internationally by highlighting the role it would take in contributing to urgent collective action to tackle climate change under the Kyoto Protocol.     | The new Shepway Local Plan policies must reflect the objectives of The Climate Change Act, in order to contribute to reducing UK carbon emissions.                       |
|  | The Climate Change Act includes the following:   |  |
|  | 2050 target. The act commits the UK to reducing emissions by at least 80% in 2050 from 1990 levels. This target was based on advice from the CCC report: Building a Low- carbon Economy. The 80% target includes GHG emissions from the devolved administrations, which currently accounts for around 20% of the UK's total emissions. |  |
|  | Carbon Budgets. The Act requires the Government to set legally binding 'carbon budgets'. A carbon budget is a cap on the amount of greenhouse gases emitted in the UK over a five-year period. The first four carbon budgets have been put into legislation and run up to 2027.  |  |
| The Energy Efficiency Opportunity in the UK (DECC, 2012) | This is an Energy Efficiency Strategy aiming to realise the wider energy efficiency potential that is available in the UK economy.   | Local Plan documents should seek to address the barriers identified within the Strategy and improve the existing building stock through appropriate adaptation measures. |
|  | The Strategy identifies four barriers to energy efficiency which need to be overcome which include:  | adaptation measures.   |
|  | o Embryonic markets. o Information.  | Include SA objectives relating to energy efficiency and adaptation of the existing building stock.   |
|  | <ul><li>o Information.</li><li>o Misaligned financial incentives.</li></ul>  |  |
|  | Undervaluing energy efficiency.  |  |
|  | The Strategy draws attention to maximising the potential of existing dwellings by implementing 21 <sup>st</sup> century energy management initiatives on 19 <sup>th</sup> century homes.   |  |
| UK Bioenergy Strategy (2012)                             | The UK Government has a responsibility to ensure that its policies only support bioenergy use in the right circumstances. This strategy is based on four principles which will act as a framework for future government policy on bioenergy.   | The principles of the Bioenergy Strategy 2012 will need to be reflected within the new Shepway Local Plan policies.  |
|  | In summary the four principles state that:   |  |
|  | Policies that support bioenergy should deliver genuine carbon reductions that help meet UK carbon emissions objectives to 2050 and beyond.   |  |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|--|--|--|
|  | Support for bioenergy should make a cost effective contribution to UK carbon emission objectives in the context of the overall energy goals.   |  |
|  | Support for bioenergy should aim to maximise the overall benefits and minimise costs (quantifiable and non-quantifiable) across the economy.   |  |
|  | At regular time intervals and when policies promote significant additional demand for bioenergy in the UK, beyond that envisaged by current use, policy makers should assess and respond to the impacts of this increased deployment on other areas, such as food security and biodiversity.   |  |
| The National Adaptation Programme –<br>Making the Country Resilient to a<br>Changing Climate (Defra, 2013) | The report sets out visions for the following sectors:  o Built Environment – "buildings and places and the people who live and work in them are resilient to a changing climate and extreme weather and organisations in the built environment sector have an increased capacity to address the risks and take the opportunities from climate change".  | Local Plan documents should take account of the visions set out in the Programme.  Include SA objectives which seek to promote the implementation of adaptation measures to make Shepway more resilient to a changing climate. |
|  | <ul> <li>Infrastructure – "an infrastructure network that is resilient<br/>to today's natural hazards and prepared for the future<br/>changing climate".</li> </ul>  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Healthy and resilient communities – "a health service, a<br/>public health and social care system which are resilient<br/>and adapted to a changing climate. Communities and<br/>individuals, including the most vulnerable, are better<br/>prepared to cope with severe weather events and other<br/>impacts of climate change. Emergency services and local<br/>resilience capability take account of and are resilient to, a<br/>changing climate".</li> </ul> |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Agriculture and Forestry – "profitable and productive<br/>agriculture and forestry sectors that take the opportunities<br/>from climate change, are resilient to its threats and<br/>contribute to the resilience of the natural environment by<br/>helping maintain ecosystem services and protect and<br/>enhance biodiversity".</li> </ul>   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Natural Environment – "the natural environment, with<br/>diverse and healthy ecosystems, is resilient to climate<br/>change, able to accommodate change and valued for the<br/>adaptation services it provides".</li> </ul>   |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Business – "UK businesses are resilient to extreme<br/>weather and prepared for future risks and opportunities<br/>from climate change".</li> </ul>   |  |

| Plan, programme or policy   | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan                                     |
|---|---|--|
|   | <ul> <li>"Local government plays a central in leading and<br/>supporting local places to become more resilient to a<br/>range of future risk and to be prepared for the<br/>opportunities from a changing climate".</li> </ul>              |  |
| Healthy Lives, Healthy People: our<br>Strategy for public health in England<br>(Department of Health, 2010)           | Protect the population from serious health threats; helping people live longer, healthier and more fulfilling lives; and improving the health of the poorest, fastest. Prioritise public health funding from within the overall NHS budget. | Policies within the Local Plan documents should reflect the objectives of the strategy where relevant. |
| The Air Quality Strategy for England,<br>Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland<br>(DEFRA, 2007)                        | <ul> <li>Make sure that everyone can enjoy a level of ambient air<br/>quality in public spaces, which poses no significant risk to<br/>health or quality of life.</li> </ul>  | Local Plan documents should take account of the likely impact on air quality from development.         |
|   | <ul><li>Render polluting emissions harmless.</li></ul>  | Include SA objectives to protect and improve air quality.  |
| Future Water: The Government's Water<br>Strategy for England (DEFRA, 2008)  | Sets out how the Government want the water sector to look by 2030 and an outline of the steps which need to be taken to get there.  | Local Plan documents should aim to contribute to the vision set out in this Strategy.                  |
|   | The vision for 2030 is one where we, as a country have:   | Include SA objectives which seek to protect,   |
|   | <ul> <li>"improved the quality of our water environment and the<br/>ecology it supports, and continue to maintain high<br/>standards of drinking water quality from taps;</li> </ul>  | manage and enhance the water environment.  |
|   | <ul> <li>Sustainably managed risks from flooding and coastal<br/>erosion, with greater understanding and more effective<br/>management of surface water;</li> </ul>   |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Ensure a sustainable use of water resources, and<br/>implement fair, affordable and cost-reflective water<br/>charges;</li> </ul>  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Cut greenhouse gas emissions; and</li> </ul>   |  |
|   | 0   |  |
| Water for People and the Environment:<br>Water Resources Strategy for England and<br>Wales (Environment Agency, 2009) | The Strategy vision for water resource "is for there to be enough water for people and the environment, meeting legitimate needs".  | Policies within the Local Plan should reflect the aims of the strategy where relevant.                 |
|   | Its aims include:   | Include SA objective which seeks to promote water  |
|   | <ul> <li>To manage water resource and protect the water<br/>environment from climate change.</li> </ul>   | management and efficiency.   |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan  |
|--|--|---|
|  | <ul> <li>Restore, protect, improve and value species and habitats<br/>that depend on water.</li> </ul>   |   |
|  | <ul> <li>To contribute to sustainable development through good<br/>water management.</li> </ul>  |   |
|  | <ul> <li>People to understand how water and the water<br/>environment contribute to their quality of life.</li> </ul>  |   |
| The National Flood and Coastal Erosion<br>Risk Management Strategy for England<br>(Environment Agency, 2011) | This Strategy sets out the national framework for managing the risk of flooding and coastal erosion. It sets out the roles for risk management authorities and communities to help them understand their responsibilities.   | Local Plan documents should seek to reduce and manage the risk of all type of flooding.   |
|  | The strategic aims and objectives of the Strategy are to:  | The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which seek to reduce the risk   |
|  | <ul><li>o "manage the risk to people and their property;</li></ul>   | and manage flooding sustainably.  |
|  | <ul> <li>Facilitate decision-making and action at the appropriate<br/>level – individual, community or local authority, river<br/>catchment, coastal cell or national;</li> </ul>  |   |
|  | o  |   |
| Waste Strategy for England 2007 (DEFRA,  | Future of waste management – the government commitment.  | Local Plan documents should encourage the minimisation of waste production and the  |
| 2007)  | <ul> <li>Tackle the amount of waste produced, by breaking the link<br/>between economic growth and waste production.</li> </ul>  | maximisation of recycling and re-use of materials.  |
|  | <ul> <li>Put waste which is produced to good use through<br/>substantial increases in re-use, recycling, composting, and<br/>recovery of energy.</li> </ul>  |   |
| Waste Management Plan for England (2013)   | The Waste Management Plan follows the EU principal of waste hierarchy. This requires that prevention of waste, preparing for reuse and recycling should be given priority order in any waste legislation and policy. From this principal a key objective of The Plan is to reduce the level of waste going to landfill and to encourage recycling. The Plan also requires that larger amounts of hazardous waste should be disposed of at specially managed waste facilities.  | The new Shepway Local Plan policies will be required to incorporate the objectives of the national waste policy. In order to encourage the reduction of waste and the reuse of materials. |
| National Policy Statement: Hazardous<br>Waste (2013)   | Without sustainable management, hazardous waste may pose a risk to human health and the environment. We also need to manage our hazardous waste in a more sustainable way and ensure that where possible, we recycle and recover hazardous waste rather than sending it for disposal. The NPS was published by the Secretary of State and sets out the strategic need justification of government policy for the provision of such infrastructure. It will be used to guide decisions made by the planning inspectorate. | The Local Plan will need to consider and be consistent with policies and objectives of the National Policy Statement.   |

| Plan, programme or policy   | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|---|---|--|
| The Carbon Plan: Delivery our Local Carbon Future (2011)                | The Carbon Plan sets out the government's plans for achieving the emissions reductions it committed to in the first four carbon budgets.  | The new Shepway Local Plan will need to include policies that reflect the targets within the Carbon                      |
|   | Emissions in the UK must, by law, be cut by at least 80% of 1990 by 2050. The UK was first to set its ambition in law and the Plan sets out progress to date.   | Plan.  |
| National Infrastructure Plan 2014                                       | The Infrastructure Plan allows for long term public funding certainty for key infrastructure areas such as: roads, rail, flood defences and science. All elements highlighted in the Plan represent firm commitment by government to supply the funding levels stipulated. The Plan also highlights what steps the government will take to ensure effective delivery of its key projects  | The Shepway Local Plan objectives and policies should support the delivery of infrastructure to support new development. |
| English Heritage Historic England<br>Corporate Plan 2015 to 2018 (2015) | The plan sets out its three purposes as to:  Secure the preservation of ancient monuments and historic buildings;   | The local plan should contain a planning framework which safeguards the historic environment.                            |
|   | Promote the preservation and enhancement of the character and appearance of conservation areas; and   |  |
|   | Promote the public's enjoyment of, and advance their knowledge of, ancient monuments and historic buildings.  |  |
| Safeguarding our Soils- A Strategy for England (2011)                   | Current practices focus on protecting English soils and the important ecosystem services they provide. Research is focused on addressing evidence gaps to adapt and refine these policies in order to strengthen protection and their resilience as the climate changes. A Soil Strategy for England sets out the current policy context on soils and a number of core objectives for policy and research.  | The new Shepway Local Plan will need to include policies on the safeguarding of soils.                                   |
| Lifetime Neighbourhoods (2011)  | This document is a national strategy for housing in an ageing society. It seeks to support residents to develop lifetime neighbourhoods in terms of resident empowerment, access, services and amenities, built and natural environment, social networks/well-being and housing.  | The policies and site allocations will need to reflect the requirements set out within the national strategy.            |
| The Plan for Growth implementation update (2013)                        | The plan for growth, published alongside Budget 2011, and as part of the Autumn Statement 2011, announced a programme of structured reforms to remove barriers to growth for businesses and equip the UK to compete in the global race. These reforms span a range of policies including improving UK infrastructure, cutting red tape, root and branch reform of the planning system and boosting trade and inward investment, to achieve the governments four ambitions for growth: | The new Shepway Local Plan policies will need to reflect the ambitions set out within the Plan for Growth 2013.          |
|   | Creating the most competitive tax system in the G20   |  |
|   | Encouraging investment and exports as a route to a m ore balanced economy   |  |
|   | Making the UK the best place in Europe to start, finance and grow a   |  |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|--|--|--|
|  | business Creating a more educated workforce that is the most flexible in Europe.   |  |
| Green Infrastructure and the Urban Fringe (Natural England, 2009)                                    | Promotes the concept of multifunctionality – the integration and interaction of different activities on the same parcel of land. The Countryside In and Around Towns programme acknowledges Green Infrastructure as a key mechanism for delivering regional and local change. The strategy promotes regional coalitions to pool resources, regional stocktakes to examine the extent, state and potential of the GI, influencing RSS and LDFs, putting forward exemplar projects as examples of good practice to learn from. | Polices and Site Allocations to deliver new green infrastructure and enhancement of existing assets in and around new developments to contribute to better quality, multifunctional environments.  |
| Working with the grain of nature – A<br>Biodiversity Strategy for England (2011))                    | This Strategy seeks to protect and improve the rural, urban, marine and global environment and lead on the integration of these with other policies across Government and internationally.   | Reversing the long-term decline in the number of farmland birds by 2020, as measured annually against underlying trends.  Minimise loss of biodiversity when allocating sites for development.   |
| Water for Life and Livelihoods: A Strategy<br>for River Basin Planning (Environment<br>Agency, 2006) | This document set out the Environment Agency's strategy to implement the European Water Framework Directive (WFD) by managing water based on river basin planning. The document aims to reduce pollution, prevent deterioration and improve the condition of aquatic ecosystems including wetlands.  | Shepway Local Plan policies should promote efficient use of water in new developments and good management of water resources   |
| SUB-NATIONAL/REGIONAL  |  |  |
| Kent Minerals and Waste Plans  | <ul> <li>Set out the vision and strategy for mineral provision and waste management in Kent</li> <li>Contain a number of development management policies for evaluating minerals and waste planning applications</li> <li>Consider strategic site provision for all minerals and waste management facilities, and</li> </ul>   | Local Plan documents should take into the mineral supply and waste hierarchies and ensure mineral supply is not compromised. Take account of mineral safeguarding areas, new primary extraction facilities and safeguarded existing facilities during the selection of land for development. |
|  | Plan to the year 2030.   | The SA framework should include objectives which consider the safeguarded sites and areas for future minerals supply.  |
| Local Transport Plan for Kent 2011-2016  | <ul> <li>The Plan explains how the County will prioritise planned measures<br/>under five Themes based on the previous Government's five National<br/>Transport Goals as set out in the LTP3 Guidance, but made relevant to<br/>Kent:</li> </ul>   | The Shepway Places and polices Local Plan should take into account the five themes of the Plan and ensure they are not compromised.  |
|  | <ul><li>o Growth Without Gridlock</li><li>o A Safer and Healthier County</li></ul>   | SA objectives/indicators should seek to improve access to sustainable high quality modes of transport, ensure safety on the network is enhanced  |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway   |
|--|--|--|
|  |  | District Council Local Plan  |
|  | o Supporting Independence  | and reduce congestion.   |
|  | o Tackling a Changing Climate  |  |
|  | o Enjoying Life in Kent  |  |
| East Kent Local Investment Plan 2011-<br>2026                                | <ul> <li>The Local Investment Plan (LIP) family of publications present the East Kent Local Strategic Partnership's (LSP) proposals to deliver its vision:</li> <li>By 2030, East Kent will have blended the best of its coastal location, landscape, culture and heritage to build a lasting beacon of success for the benefit of all its communities.</li> </ul> | Local Plan documents should take into account their role in addressing the key themes and priorities identified within the Investment Plan.  The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which consider a range of social, economic and environmental matters. |
| Lighting the way to success  The EKLSP Sustainable Community Strategy (2009) | "Lighting the Way to Success" is a long-term vision – it looks forward more than 20 years to 2030. By 2030, East Kent will have blended the best of its coastal location, landscape, culture and heritage to build a lasting beacon of success for the benefit of all its communities.   | The Shepway Places and policies Local Plan should take into account its role in achieving the objectives set out in the East Kent Community Strategy.  |
|  |  | The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which consider a range of social, economic and environmental matters.  |
| A Living Landscape for the South  East                                       | Vision for the South East ecological network.  | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should take into account its role in promoting the green network across the area.   |
|  |  | The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which seek to maintain and enhance the green network.  |
| East Kent Gypsy and Traveller<br>Accommodation Assessment Report<br>(2014)   | Assess the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers in the sub-<br>region from 2013 – 2027.   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should take into account its role in allocating and safeguarding sites for Gypsy and traveller accommodation.   |
|  |  | The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which seek to preserve the rights of gypsy and travellers and improve their integration into the local community.  |
| Growing the Garden of England: A strategy for environment and economy in     | <ul> <li>The 2011 strategy has three themes:</li> <li>Living well within our environmental limits.</li> </ul>  | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should take into account its role in protecting, managing and maximising the benefits of the District's   |

| Plan, programme or policy                              | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway  |
|--|--|---|
|  |  | District Council Local Plan   |
| Kent (2011)  | Rising to the climate change challenge.  | Ecosystem Services without compromising the   |
|  | Valuing our natural, historic and living environment.  | environment.  |
|  | It is one of a suite of strategies, developed in 2010, that supports a revised Vision for Kent to deliver social, economic and environmental wellbeing for Kent's communities over the next 20 years. The 2011 strategy sets out how we will achieve a high-quality Kent environment, low carbon, resilient to climate change, and that has a thriving 'green economy' at its heart. | The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which seek to maximising the benefits of the District's Ecosystem Services without compromising the environment.      |
| Kent Biodiversity Action Plan &                        | Action plans for the 85 UK BAP priority species and 28 BAP habitats  | The Shepway Places and Polices Local Plan should  |
| Securing the value of nature in Kent (2011)            |  | protect the intrinsic value of the identified habitats and seek to improve them where possible.   |
|  |  | The SA framework should include an objective/indicator which seeks to conserve and enhance the identified habitats and species.   |
| Kent Design Initiative (and Guide)                     | Initiative to create a showcase of great buildings, memorable and attractive new places that reinforce Kent's distinctive character.   | The Shepway Places and Polices Local Plan should contain development management policies that are consistent with the content of the Kent Design Guide.                     |
|  |  | The SA framework should include an objective/indicator which seeks to retain the character of the District built environment and promote its qualities in new developments. |
| Kent Health and Affordable Warmth<br>Strategy          | Fuel poverty is linked to general poverty. Strategy aimed at leading to<br>the eradication of fuel poverty in Kent.  | Local Plan documents should take into account their role in providing local communities with more opportunities to improve their health and wellbeing                       |
| Active Lives: 2007-2016, Kent Adult<br>Social Services | A picture of how Kent Adult Social Services will look in 10 years' time.   | and reduced inequality.   |
|  |  | The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which consider a range of social and environmental matters, including health and wellbeing and affordable warmth.     |
| Kent Downs AONB management plan<br>(2009-2014)         | The ultimate goal of the Management Plan is to ensure that the natural beauty and special character of the landscape and vitality of the communities are recognised, maintained and strengthened well into the future  | Local Plan should take account of the special qualities of the Kent Downs AONB and ensure that its policies are compatible with those outlined within the management plan.  |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway<br>District Council Local Plan   |
|--|---|---|
|  |   | The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which consider landscape and ecological matters.  |
| Strategic Housing Market Assessment for<br>the East Kent Sub-region (SHMAA) (2009) | The study has identified twenty-one local housing markets, some of which straddle district boundaries, and some of which cross into areas administered by other local authorities. The proximity of East Kent to Kent Thames Gateway (which includes part of Swale), Ashford, and the London housing markets all exert influence on the housing and employment markets in the sub-region. There are different degrees of isolation and interaction with, for example, the Thanet markets being self-contained, while others, such as local markets based within Swale and Canterbury, exhibiting broader horizons. All told, however, compared to the rest of Kent and the rest of the South East, East Kent is relatively isolated and self-contained. | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should provide sites for high quality, affordable homes with an appropriate range of tenures, layouts and designs and encourage the refurbishment of empty homes in the District.  SA objectives should improve access to good quality and affordable housing. |
| East Kent Homelessness Strategy (2010-2013) Action Plan                            | Major considerations include homelessness prevention, temporary accommodation and long-term housing solutions   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should take into account its role in supplying and safeguarding accommodation for the homeless.  |
|  |   | SA should consider use of district wide homelessness data as an indicator.  |
| LOCAL  |   |   |
| Shepway Rural Services Study (2011)  | This study aims to review the current position of rural areas within Shepway by combining existing data sources and officer field studies to aid in the assessment of Local Plan policies and inform the direction of future policy.  | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should take into account the needs and opportunities of the Districts rural economy.   |
|  |   | The SA framework should consider the needs and opportunities of the District's rural economy and communities.   |
| Shepway District Local Brownfield<br>Strategy (2011)                               | The key aim of Shepway's Brownfield Land Strategy is to develop an understanding of the brownfield land in the area and to establish how this can be brought back into productive and beneficial use.   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should maximise the use of brownfield before allocating land on greenfield sites.  |
|  |   | The SA framework should consider the need to maximise the efficiency of land use in the District by using brownfield land before greenfield land.   |
| Population Forecasts 2006 - 2026   | Forecasts/ projections have been produced for the district as a whole and   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should   |

| Plan, programme or policy                             | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway   |
|---|--|--|
|   | for individual wards within the district.  | District Council Local Plan take into account its role in supplying accommodation and employment opportunities for its growing population.   |
|   |  | SA should consider the use of accurate and up-to-<br>date population data as an indicator.   |
| Shepway Employment Land Review (2011)                 | Employment land review to inform the preparation of the District's planning policies.  | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should provide sites for a range of high quality employment sites with an appropriate range of use classes.   |
|   |  | SA objectives should improve access to good quality, easily accessible employment opportunities.   |
| Retail Need Assessment Study (2010 Update)            | The study identifies a lower expenditure growth to 2026 compared with the 2007 study, and consequently identifies lower need for retail floor space compared to figures given in the 2008 update.  | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should provide sites for a range of retail sites.   |
|   | This reflects the impact of the current recession on retail expenditure growth forecasts for the next ten year period.   | SA objectives should improve access to good quality and a good range of easily accessible retail services.   |
| Folkestone Town Centre Spatial Strategy (2011)        | <ul> <li>Key objective of the study is to improve the quality and functionality of the public realm through identifying:</li> <li>opportunities to re-model the highway network to reduce its dominance and improve legibility;</li> </ul> | The Shepway Places and policies Local Plan should take into account the existing visions for Shepway's town centres and contribute to the regeneration of Folkestone town centre.                                  |
|   | <ul> <li>improvements to accessibility and connectivity for pedestrians, particularly from the station to the town centre and seafront;</li> <li>opportunities to enhance the character and public realm of the town centre.</li> </ul>    | The SA framework should consider regeneration of key areas within Shepway District.  |
| Windfalls, Housing Supply and Policy<br>Update (2012) | Evidence supporting modifications put forward by Shepway District Council to its Core Strategy Local Plan [G15] in October 2012.   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should provide high quality, affordable homes with an appropriate range of tenures, layouts and designs and encourage the refurbishment of empty homes in the District. |
|   |  | SA objectives should improve access to good quality and affordable housing.  |
| Economic Viability Assessment (2011)                  | A district-wide affordable housing viability assessment for housing delivery over the lifetime of the Core Strategy.   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should provide high quality, affordable homes and   |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway   |
|--|---|--|
|  |   | District Council Local Plan encourage the refurbishment of empty homes in the District.  |
|  |   | SA objectives should improve access to good quality and affordable housing.  |
| Strategic Housing Land Availability<br>Assessment (SHLAA) (2009-2010) &<br>Update (2011-2012)                      | The Shepway SHLAA has produced a very large amount of information. However the conclusions are clear: the identified pool of possible housing sites is more than sufficient to allow a genuine range of strategic options to be considered.   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should provide sites for high quality, affordable homes with an appropriate range of tenures, layouts and designs and encourage the refurbishment of empty homes in the District. |
|  |   | SA objectives should improve access to good quality and affordable housing.  |
| Open Spaces, Sports and Recreation Study (2011)  | The purpose of this report is to inform the Shepway planning policies for planning, especially the Core Strategy. It does this by bringing together findings of two primary research studies undertaken by Shepway District Council on open space topics; and is complemented by other evidence base studies. | The Shepway Places and Polices Local Plan should take into account its role in protecting formal playing pitches from inappropriate development and ensuring the supply of formal playing pitches meets the demand.          |
|  |   | Include a sustainability objective relating to the protection, enhancement, increasing provision of, increasing participation in and improving access to the District's sports pitches and facilities.                       |
| Shepway Green Infrastructure Report (2011)   | This report comprises the evidence base in respect of Green Infrastructure (GI), which is essentially the network of multi-functional green space which supports natural and ecological processes and is integral to the health and quality of life of sustainable communities.                               | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should take into account its role in promoting the green network across the area.   |
|  |   | The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which seek to maintain and enhance the green network.  |
| Shepway Strategic Flood Risk Assessment<br>(SFRA) (2009) & Strategic Flood Risk<br>Assessment (SFRA) Update (2015) | This study provides an analysis of the main sources of flood risk to the District, together with a detailed means of appraising development allocations and existing planning policies against the risks posed by coastal flooding over this coming century.  | Policies within the Local Plan should reflect the actions identified within the assessment where relevant.   |
|  |   | Include SA objective which seeks to promote flood risk management.   |

| Plan, programme or policy  | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan  |
|--|--|---|
| Shepway Water Cycle Study (2011)   | This report examines the issues relating to water within the context of the district and the physical characteristics of its hydrology. One of the primary reasons for producing this report was to investigate the potential impact of new growth proposed under the Core Strategy. The report provides a simple analysis of the hydrology of the district in the context of the South East of England, a résumé of existing planning legislation and an overview of the Water Framework Directive, the primary piece of legislation that exists to protect the quantity and quality of water in the natural environment. | Policies within the Local Plan should reflect the actions identified within the study where relevant.  Include SA objective which seeks to promote water management and efficiency.   |
| Shepway Transport Strategy (2011) Appendices and Update Highways Impact Report (2012) – to be updated. | The remit of the Transport Strategy is to include and consider both transport matters which relate to the existing district area, as well as those relating to the potential Strategic Site allocations which have been made for future development.   | The Shepway Places and polices Local Plan should consider transport matters relating to its strategic site allocations for future domestic, economic and mixed-use developments.  SA objectives/indicators should seek to improve access to sustainable high quality modes of transport, ensure safety on the network is enhanced and reduce congestion.                |
| Shepway Economic Development Strategy (2012-2017)  | This strategy provides a framework for the economic development of Shepway over the coming years and followed the Council's Corporate Plan 2012-2017 which sets out to support the growth and sustainability of the economy to increase prosperity.  | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should seek to promote economic growth through an appropriate allocation of mixed-use developments and town centre regeneration in line with the vision and priorities set out in the strategy.  The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which consider a range of social, economic and environmental matters. |
| Shepway Housing Strategy 2011-2016   | There are approximately 48,200 residential dwellings in the Shepway District. Overall the housing market within Shepway is essentially similar to other district housing markets across South East England. Owner-occupation is the dominant form of tenure. The key differences for the Shepway District are the relatively high proportion of private rented accommodation and the relatively low proportion of council and housing association rented accommodation.  | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should provide high quality, affordable homes and encourage the refurbishment of empty homes in the District.  SA objectives should improve access to good quality and affordable housing.   |
| Shepway Core Strategy (2013)   | Key part of the Local Plan, containing the spatial strategy for the area and strategic planning policies.  | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should build on the spatial and strategic planning policies outlined in the Shepway Core Strategy.   |

| Plan, programme or policy                                    | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan   |
|--|---|--|
|  |   | The SA framework should include objectives/indicators which consider a range spatial and strategic policies coving the spectrum of sustainable development issues.   |
| Shepway Crime and Disorder Reduction<br>Strategy (2005-2008) | Aims to build safer communities by addressing key causal factors of crime by fostering good partnerships between the voluntary, private and public sectors and the local community.   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should ensure measures are secured which enhance community safety and reduce the fear of crime.   |
|  |   | Include a sustainability objective which seeks to make Shepway a safer place.  |
| Shepway Contaminated Land Strategy (2002)                    | Requirement of Environmental Protection Act 1990. Sets out the approach to identification of contaminated land across Shepway and how certain sites will be designated special sites.   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should ensure that contaminated land is taken into account when selecting sites for development and in consideration of appropriate mitigation.                           |
|  |   | The SA framework should include an objective/indicator which considers soils, including the potential for pollution of soils (e.g. from sources of contaminated land).   |
|  |   | The SA framework should include an objective/indicator which considers the benefits of sport and recreation facilities (particularly open space) in improving the health and wellbeing of the District's residents.  |
| Shepway Sports and Physical Activity<br>Strategy (2004)      | Encourage residents to participate in sporting activity, to improve the health and well-being of local residents in line with key national targets/initiatives, to ensure access to sports facilities for all and develop a wide variety of sport in Shepway. | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should ensure new developments provide or enhance an appropriate sport and recreation facilities in close proximity.  |
| Shepway Playing Pitch Strategy (2004)                        | Ensuring future provision of pitches is balanced, meets the needs of the community and is protected for future generations.   | The Shepway Places and Policies Local Plan should take into account its role in protecting formal playing pitches from inappropriate development and ensuring the supply of formal playing pitches meets the demand. |
|  |   | Include a sustainability objective relating to the protection, enhancement, increasing provision of, increasing participation in and improving access to   |

| Plan, programme or policy                                     | Objectives or requirements  | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan  |
|---|---|---|
|   |   | the District's sports pitches and facilities.   |
| Beachy Head to south Foreland SMP (2006)                      | Coastal defence management plan for Beachy Head to south Foreland to provide a 'route map' for decision makers to move from the present situation towards a more sustainable future.  | Policies within the Local Plan should reflect the actions identified within the management plan.  |
| Folkestone to Cliff End Flood and Erosion<br>Management Plan  | The Folkestone to Cliff End Flood and Erosion Management Strategy sets out our plan to manage flood and erosion risks along this coastline.   | Include SA objective which seeks to promote flood and erosion risk management.  |
| Shepway CIL and Local Plan Viability<br>Study                 | Analyses what level of requirements the Local Plan can place on developers (e.g. for sustainable building design) without threatening financial viability.  | A residential CIL range of between £0 and £125/m² has been recommended/proposed over four CIL charging rate zones:  |
| Shepway CIL Charging Schedule                                 | The consultation on the CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule (PDCS) closed on the 13th October 2014. The document sets out:  o The background and regulations governing CIL;  o It's relationship to the delivery of the Local Plan;  o The viability methodology used to arrive at the proposed CIL rates;  o The proposed rates that developers will need to pay when undertaking different types of development in different parts of the District, once a CIL charging schedule is adopted; and  o An indication of how CIL receipts will be allocated in support of the infrastructure required to support development of the area.  o The Council is currently reviewing all responses received. | <ul> <li>a) Folkestone (lower end values) &amp; Lydd area (viability scope – A);</li> <li>b) Romney Marsh (rural and coastal) and north Folkestone fringe / Hawkinge (B);</li> <li>c) West of Folkestone (Sandgate) and Hythe (C);</li> <li>d) North Downs rural area settlements (D). No geographic differentiation has been proposed for non-residential development. Only larger format retail – retail warehousing and supermarkets – have a proposed rate of not more than £100/m2. All other non-residential development would not be charged.</li> </ul> |
| Commissioned Reports Pending Pub                              | lication  |   |
| Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) Update | Subject to an annual update in line with NPPF requirements.   | To be published as part of the 'Preferred Options' PPLP Consultation.   |
| Town Centre Study   | To focus on sites in Folkestone, Hythe and New Romney Town Centres, but will also cover other centres.  | To be published as part of the 'Preferred Options' PPLP Consultation.   |
| Shepway Energy Study  | Energy Capacity, renewable and low carbon energy opportunities and constraints, energy efficiency of building stock.  | To be established post publication.   |
| Shepway Heritage Study  | Discussing scope and nature of required evidence base with English Heritage.  | To be established post publication.   |
| Shepway Transport Study                                       | Update completed which identifies road junctions requiring improvement, for example Shorncliffe Garrison.   | To be established post publication.   |
| Shepway Air Quality Study                                     | Air quality across the district is usually quite good and there is no need  | To be established post publication as part of the   |

| Plan, programme or policy                          | Objectives or requirements   | Implications for the SA and/or Shepway District Council Local Plan    |
|--|--|---|
|  | to take action in respect of introducing any air quality management areas (AQMAs) although potential air quality issues may arise in proximity to the A20 and M20. | Core Strategy Review.   |
| Shepway Employment Study                           | Significant over-supply of employment land in the District and a need to rationalise this and identify which sites are suitable for mixed use.                     | To be published as part of the 'Preferred Options' PPLP Consultation. |
| Shepway Local Retail Centres Study                 | Evidence has been gathered to support policy protection of local parades of shops.   | To be established post publication.                                   |
| Shepway Socio-economic Study                       | Particularly focuses on the Romney Marsh Area and impacts of loss of<br>employment associated with closure of Dungeness Nuclear Power<br>Station                   | To be established post publication.                                   |
| Shepway Sustainable Access Strategy (possible SPD) | Surveys of Dungeness and Romney Marsh have been confirmed.   | To be established post publication.                                   |

## Appendix 3

Consultation representations on previous SA work undertaken and LUC's responses

## Representations on 2014 SA Scoping Report

| Comment received   | SA                             | LUC response  |
|--|--------------------------------|---|
| oomment received   | Scoping<br>Report<br>reference | Locatesponse  |
| Natural England comments   |                                |   |
| Para 3.11 indicates that the "sensitivity of the natural environment in Shepway may limit the number of acceptable locations for further large scale renewable energy developments". Some evidence of the limited capacity of the area may prove a robust tool for considering windfall development proposals.   | Para 3.11                      | Site allocations in the PPLP do not cover windfall development. Development management policies in the PPLP are assessed against SA objectives in relation to protection and enhancement of landscape (SA8) and biodiversity (SA9). No change is proposed to the SA methodology but the Council's attention is drawn to the need to consider the capacity of the natural environment when assessing windfall development. |
| Para 3.12 – climate change is also likely to impact upon habitats and thereby  | Para 3.12                      | New bullet point added to climate change adaptation section of Chapter 4.   |
| biodiversity. The sensitivities of these networks should be reflected in the GI Strategy and in the plan's commitment to protect and enhance habitat networks.   |                                | SA objective 9 revised to read: "Conserve and enhance biodiversity, taking into account the effects of climate change.  Note added to appraisal questions stating that achievement of the listed biodiversity enhancements should help to enhance the ability of wildlife to adapt to a changing climate.   |
| Para 3.73 – we agree that "Without the Local Plan there is less opportunity to adopt a coordinated approach to the development of green networks for wildlife and natural green spaces designed to steer recreational pressure away from sensitive wildlife sites". The network and spaces need to be fully reflected in the GI Strategy to provide a framework for the consideration of development proposal, and for avoiding harm and gaining enhancements where appropriate. | Para 3.73                      | Added text to biodiversity issues section of Chapter 4 to reflect this.   |
| Para 3.75 notes that there are no AQMA, however there does not appear to be any screening of issues relating to the natural environment (current and forecast levels and loads on more sensitive sites).   | Para 3.75                      | Air quality monitoring for health purposes indicates that air pollution trends are stable or decreasing and no evidence has been found that air pollution is currently an issue at biodiversity sites in Shepway. This issue will be considered in more detail for internationally designated sites through the HRA at a later stage in the plan making process.  |
| Para 3.81 – we welcome the comments on the protection of the best and most versatile agricultural land and the potential value of brownfield sites.  | Para 3.81                      | Noted.  |
| The SA Objectives are generally supported.   | Table 4.1                      | Noted.  |
| In Objective SA2 – the scope of the word<br>"resilience" should be defined and<br>consideration of the implications for habitats<br>and biodiversity would be helpful.   | Table 4.1                      | Note added to appraisal questions for SA2 explaining that the implications of climate change for biodiversity are dealt with under SA9. As described above, SA9 and related appraisal questions have been amended to  |

| Comment received   | SA<br>Scoping<br>Report<br>reference | LUC response   |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
|  |                                      | explicitly consider climate change.  |
| The key national objective of "Agriculture and forestry take opportunities from climate  | Table 4.1                            | The Local Plan has limited scope to influence agricultural or forestry practice.   |
| change, are resilient to its threats and contribute to the resilience of the natural environment by helping to maintain ecosystem services" and "The natural environment is resilient to climate change, able to   |                                      | Climate change resilience of the natural environment is addressed via changes to SA9 and related appraisal questions, as described above.  |
| accommodate change and valued for the adaptation services it provides" are helpful but they do not seem to be carried through to table 4.2 or the rest of the document.  |                                      | Recognition of the potential for the natural environment to deliver climate change adaptation services recognised by new appraisal question for SA2.   |
| The recognition of the importance of SA Objectives 8 and 9 to the "Economy and labour market" (page 38) is welcomed, as are the objectives in themselves.  | Table 4.1                            | Noted.   |
| The comments on biodiversity in the first column on page 39, raise a number of questions, notably - have the key habitats have been mapped to provide a framework for planning decisions and does the local BAP respond to the issues raised?  | Table 4.1                            | BAP Priority Habitats have been mapped by a third party organisation. The Council is able to view the data when considering individual planning applications but does not currently hold the necessary licence to make this available to LUC in a suitable format for the SA of specific site allocations. The Council is investigating whether the licence can be obtained. If not, consideration of the potential impacts of individual allocations will be limited to potential impacts on designated sites.  |
| The definition of the term "Open Space" in SA Objective 14 should be clarified to ensure links with ANGS and other components of GI.   | Table 4.1                            | The term "open space" in SA14 has been replaced with "green infrastructure" and a note added to appraisal questions for SA14 to define the GI typology.  |
| Some of the assumptions for SA of housing site options are simple and acceptable only in the very short term – for example - sites within 1 km of the boundary AONB, will be assessed as having a minor negative effect. As the development options become clear they should be assessed using methodologies such as LVIA, and the SA scoring revised accordingly. | Appendix 1                           | The detailed SA assumptions in <b>Appendix 1</b> acknowledge the limitations of a desk-based approach to SA of site allocations but the proximity basis presented is judged to be appropriate for the content and level of detail in the Local Plan, as opposed to individual planning applications, and sufficient to identify significant effects. Should additional evidence become available later in the plan making process, for example a landscape sensitivity study, appropriate reference will be made to this to supplement the GIS analysis. |
| There are similarly concerns regarding potential effects on biodiversity assets from housing allocations. It seems unlikely that   | Appendix 1                           | See response above.  Also note that as per the assumptions in  |
| housing development within or adjoin an internationally or nationally important designated wildlife site could be considered sustainable, and it seems unlikely that there would be no better alternatives.  |                                      | Appendix 1 where allocated housing sites have a significant proportion of land within an internationally, nationally or locally designated wildlife site or area of Ancient Woodland, significant adverse effects are assumed.   |
| Environment Agency comments  |                                      |  |
| We have no major issues with the document from a flood risk or water resources or groundwater and contaminated land perspective.   | N/A                                  | Noted.   |
| Biodiversity: The report makes reference to Biodiversity Action Plan targets. Perhaps it   | Table 4.1                            | Reference to England Biodiversity Strategy 2020 added to list of policy objectives and   |

| Comment received   | SA<br>Scoping<br>Report<br>reference | LUC response   |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| should now also include reference to targets for the England Biodiversity Strategy 2020?   |                                      | appraisal questions for SA9.   |
| Fisheries: The Proposed SA objective 12 is to Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. While quality of water is critical both for its roles in the environment and as a resource used by people in Shepway, it would be useful to include reference to the hydromorphological – physical – quality of rivers and coastal waters as well. This will ensure that not only will there be effort to maintain and improve water chemistry but also the physical form of rivers and coasts. This is clearly linked to SA14 which suggests that green infrastructure assets and networks including river /canal corridors should be protected and enhanced to meet local needs. | Table 4.1                            | SA12 and associated appraisal questions amended to reflect this point.   |
| Historic England (formally English Heritage  |                                      |  |
| None received  | N/A                                  | N/A  |
| Other comments   |                                      |  |
| SA2 should also refer to reducing waste generation and promoting sustainable management of waste. (representation from member of the public)   | Table 4.1                            | Whilst this is an important sustainability objective it is judged that the Local Plan has limited scope to affect it (the County Council Waste Local Plan and Waste Management Strategy are more likely to influence significantly the issue of waste). No change made to SA objectives. |
| SA3 should refer to reducing inequalities more generally rather than just avoiding inequalities of opportunity for access. (representation from member of the public)  | Table 4.1                            | Whilst it is acknowledged that reducing inequalities generally is an important sustainability objective, it is judged that the scope of the Local Plan is such that it is only likely to be capable of influencing inequalities in opportunities to access services/facilities.          |

Representations on SA report accompanying Issues and Options version of the Places and Policies Local Plan (January 2015)

No consultation comments were received on the SA of the Issues and Options version of the PPLP.

## Appendix 4

SA objectives and links to sustainability issues, policy objectives and SEA Directive topics

N.B. Some SA objectives set out here address multiple issues and are therefore repeated in several rows of the table. The sequential numbering of these objectives is defined by **Table 5.2** in the main report.

| Key sustainability issues (Chapter 4)  | Key policy objectives (Appendix 2)   | SEA Directive topics  | SA objectives   |
|--|--|---|---|
| Flood risk  Risk of flooding is a major concern in Shepway with 55% of the District at or below sea level.  The expected magnitude and probability of significant fluvial, tidal, ground and surface water flooding is increasing in the District due to climate change.  Coastal erosion and the associated flood risks are a considerable spatial constraint on new development in the District.                           | National  Plan for the effects of climate change in terms of flood risk.  Improve effectiveness of surface water drainage.   | C limatic factors;<br>human health;<br>material assets;<br>biodiversity | SA1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |
| Climatic factors and energy  The need to meet national carbon reduction targets (such as all new residential development being zero carbon by 2016).  The sensitivity of the natural environment in Shepway may limit the number of acceptable locations for further large scale renewable energy developments.  Hotter, drier summers expected under climate change have the potential for adverse effects on human health. | International Recognise and respond to the challenges posed by climate change. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 34% by 2020 of 1990 levels. Mitigate the effects of increased extreme weather events. Promote generation and use of renewable energy, alongside energy efficiency. Improve energy efficiency of buildings. Share of renewables to be 15% of final energy demand by 2020. Minimum target of 10% bio-fuels in transport by 2020. National Improve the energy efficiency of buildings and reduce their carbon emissions. Reduce CO <sub>2</sub> emissions by 34% by 2020 and by 80% by 2050 below 1990 levels. Conform to Building Regulations (or corresponding sustainability requirements in the Building Regulations). Promote renewable energy generation both at national and local levels. | C limatic factors;<br>human health;<br>landscape                        | SA2: Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. |

| Key sustainability issues (Chapter 4)  | Key policy objectives (Appendix 2)   | SEA Directive topics | SA objectives  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|
|  | Generate 20% of energy from renewable energy sources by 2020.  |                      |  |
|  | Reduce the number of households living in fuel poverty.  |                      |  |
|  | Buildings and infrastructure are resilient to a changing climate and extreme weather.  |                      |  |
|  | Health services, social care system and communities are resilient and adapted to a changing climate and severe weather events.   |                      |  |
|  | Agriculture and forestry take opportunities from climate change, are resilient to its threats and contribute to the resilience of the natural environment by helping to maintain ecosystem services. |                      |  |
|  | The natural Environment is resilient to climate change, able to accommodate change and valued for the adaptation services it provides.   |                      |  |
|  | Businesses are resilient to extreme weather and prepared for future risks and opportunities from climate change.   |                      |  |
|  | Local government plays a central in leading and supporting local places to become more resilient to a range of future risk and to be prepared for the opportunities from a changing climate.         |                      |  |
|  | Local  |                      |  |
|  | Promote domestic energy efficiency.  |                      |  |
| Population and human health  | International  | Population; human    | SA3. Promote community vibrancy,                         |
| Shepway as a whole suffers from considerable   | Eradicate poverty.   | health               | provide opportunities to access services, facilities and |
| deprivation relative to the national average and there is also significant inequality within the | Fight disease and reduce threats to public health.   |                      | environmental assets for all and                         |
| District with deprivation concentrated in the  | Achieve gender and racial equality.  |                      | avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access.   |
| urbanised coastal areas and the rural South. Rural areas have poorer access to services and      | Secure adequate housing.   |                      | SA4. Reduce crime and the fear of                        |
| facilities.  | Increase employment opportunities.   |                      | crime.   |
| Shepway suffers from high levels of disability / long term illness, reflecting, in part, the     | National   |                      |  |
| relatively high proportion of older people living in the District.                               | Plan for the effects of climate change in terms of flood risk, agricultural output and public health.  |                      |  |
| Population growth, household growth and demographic change will place additional and             | Provide a high quality of life for all by:   |                      |  |

| Key sustainability issues (Chapter 4)  | Key policy objectives (Appendix 2)  | SEA Directive topics               | SA objectives   |
|--|---|------------------------------------|---|
| changing demands on key services and facilities  | Reducing health inequalities and improving health services.   |                                    |   |
| such as housing, health, education and social care.  | Pursuing social improvements.   |                                    |   |
| There are some areas of Shepway where crime is likely to have a significant effect on the health and well-being of individuals and | <ul> <li>Valuing open spaces, sport and recreation facilities for,<br/>amongst other things, their contribution to healthy<br/>lifestyles.</li> </ul> |                                    |   |
| communities, as well as the potential for economic growth and diversification.   | <ul> <li>Improving skills and educational attainment levels and<br/>standards.</li> </ul>   |                                    |   |
|  | Improving community safety and reducing crime rates.  |                                    |   |
|  | Ensure that communities are serviced with necessary utilities and communications networks.  |                                    |   |
|  | Sustain, enhance and revitalise villages.   |                                    |   |
|  | Ensure development supports existing communities.   |                                    |   |
|  | Improve the quality of the public realm through good design.  |                                    |   |
|  | Recognise that a network of green infrastructure makes a contribution to quality of life.   |                                    |   |
|  | Ensure communities are secure and protected from hazardous development such as high pressure pipe lines and gas compounds.                            |                                    |   |
|  | Local   |                                    |   |
|  | Achieve an overall improvement in Quality of Life for all residents.  |                                    |   |
|  | Create a District which is and feels safe.  |                                    |   |
|  | Improve access to health and social care services.  |                                    |   |
|  | Enhance the health of people living in the District.  |                                    |   |
|  | Provide quality education and training opportunities to all.  |                                    |   |
|  | Secure a fairer and cohesive society, regardless of ethnicity, racial, or national origin.  |                                    |   |
|  | Promote the development of, improve access to and increase the enjoyment of social, community, leisure and cultural facilities.                       |                                    |   |
| Housing  | National  | Population; material assets; human | SA5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable |

| Key sustainability issues (Chapter 4)   | Key policy objectives (Appendix 2)   | SEA Directive<br>topics | SA objectives   |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|
| Lack of affordability of housing is a growing   | Provide better quality housing.  | health                  | housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.          |
| issue in the District.  | Increase the number of homes built.  |                         |   |
|   | Significantly increase affordable housing provision.   |                         |   |
|   | Local  |                         |   |
|   | Improve the quality of the District's housing.   |                         |   |
|   | Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to housing needs and homelessness.               |                         |   |
| Economy and labour market   | National   | Population; material    | SA3. Promote community vibrancy,  |
| Shepway's economic growth is relatively poor. It has suffered from a decline in manufacturing,                    | Increase and widen employment opportunities to meet the needs of all.  | assets; human<br>health | provide opportunities to access<br>services, facilities and<br>environmental assets for all and |
| a dependence on relatively low paid and<br>seasonal tourism jobs and on nuclear power<br>generation at Dungeness. | Regenerate town centres by making them the focus for mixed-<br>use development in order to ensure they are vibrant places to |                         | avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access.  |
| Unemployment in general and youth   | live, work and visit.  |                         | SA6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment                                |
| unemployment in particular are high in<br>Shepway and many of the jobs available are                              | Improve access to jobs.  |                         | opportunities.  |
| relatively low paid.  | Provide a supply of land suitable for the needs of different businesses.   |                         | SA7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                            |
| Shepway has relatively low levels of educational attainment and skills which could                                | Local  |                         | SA8. Conserve, and where relevant   |
| hinder economic growth in the District.   | Provide quality education and training opportunities to all.   |                         | enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the                                |
| Parts of Folkestone, notably several areas of the 'secondary frontage' suffer from high                           | Enhance the vitality and vibrancy of the District's town centres through regeneration.                                       |                         | landscape and townscape.  |
| vacancy rates of retail premises.   | Improve the economic stability of the District and diversify the employment base and skills development opportunities.       |                         | SA9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity, taking into account the effects of climate change.      |
|   | Deliver major social, physical and economic regeneration projects.   |                         | J. T.   |
| Historic environment  | International  | Cultural heritage       | SA7. Conserve and enhance the   |
| There are many sites, features and areas of   | Conserve and enhance cultural heritage.  |                         | fabric and setting of historic assets.  |
| historical and cultural interest in the District, a number of which are at risk, and which could                  | National   |                         |   |
| be adversely affected by poorly planned development.  | Provide effective protection to all aspects of the historic environment.   |                         |   |
|   |  |                         |   |
|   |  |                         |   |

| Key sustainability issues (Chapter 4)  | Key policy objectives (Appendix 2)  | SEA Directive topics | SA objectives   |
|--|---|----------------------|---|
| Landscape and townscape  | International   | Landscape; material  | SA8. Conserve, and where relevant   |
| The District contains a number of distinct rural   | Conserve and enhance landscape diversity.   | assets               | enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. |
| landscapes as well as those more influenced by human development which could be harmed by  | Protect, manage and enhance landscapes.   |                      |   |
| inappropriate development.   | National  |                      |   |
|  | Protect and enhance the quality and character of urban and rural settlements with distinctive qualities.  |                      |   |
|  | Protect and enhance rural and urban landscapes of particular value.   |                      |   |
| Biodiversity   | International   | Fauna, flora         | SA9. Conserve and enhance   |
| Shepway contains a significant resource of designated biodiversity sites, a number of which are in unfavourable condition. It also                 | Protect and enhance biodiversity and the natural environment, particularly in respect of habitats/species of International and European importance. | radila, ilora        | biodiversity, taking into account the effects of climate change.                          |
| contains a significant but fragmented resource of Ancient Woodland. Shepway's landscape  | National  |                      |   |
| outside of designated sites contains important<br>habitats, including a number which have the<br>potential to contribute to large scale ecological | Protect, conserve and enhance biodiversity, including habitats that support it, of International, European, national and local importance.          |                      |   |
| networks. All of these biodiversity assets could be harmed by inappropriate development.   | Protect, conserve and enhance geodiversity of European, national and local importance.  |                      |   |
|  | Target action on Priority Species and Habitats and priority actions from the England Biodiversity Strategy 2020.                                    |                      |   |
|  | Ensure value of ecosystem services are fully reflected in decision-making.  |                      |   |
|  | Local   |                      |   |
|  | Protect and enhance the quality of the local environment and biodiversity.  |                      |   |
| Air pollution  | International   | Air                  | SA10. Reduce the need to travel;  |
| Air quality is not currently judged to be a  | Control and reduce air / noise pollution.   |                      | increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and                          |
| significant issue in the District. However, locations targeted for large scale development   | National  |                      | avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion.                     |
| could experience significant increases in road traffic from residents and/or employees,  | Reduce, control and mitigate air and noise pollution.   |                      | in significant traine congestion.   |
| resulting in localised adverse effects, in urban areas such as Folkestone and along major  | Reduce and manage exposure to air and noise pollution.  |                      |   |

| Key sustainability issues (Chapter 4)   | Key policy objectives (Appendix 2)   | SEA Directive topics | SA objectives   |
|---|--|----------------------|---|
| roads such as the A20.  | Local  |                      |   |
|   | Reduce, limit and mitigate air pollution.  |                      |   |
| Soil  | International  | Soil                 | SA11. Use land efficiently and  |
| Shepway contains some of the most productive  | Prevent pollution of, and improve the quality of the soil.   |                      | safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.   |
| agricultural land in the South East but this could be lost to development.  | National   |                      |   |
| Shepway contains areas of historically contaminated land which could pose a risk to human health or which could be remediated | Take into account the benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land and, where developed, use areas of poorer quality.                             |                      |   |
| and brought into appropriate use.   | Minimise and mitigate the contamination of soil.   |                      |   |
|   | Improve land, soil and water quality.  |                      |   |
|   | Use natural resources, particularly land, prudently.   |                      |   |
|   | Encourage the effective use of land by reusing land that has been previously developed (brownfield land), provided that it is not of high environmental value. |                      |   |
|   | Local  |                      |   |
|   | Minimise and mitigate the contamination of land.   |                      |   |
| Water quality   | International  | Water                | SA12. Maintain and improve the  |
| Surface water and groundwater quality are a significant issue in the District. There is the                                   | Prevent pollution of, and improve the quality of water resources.  |                      | quality of groundwater, surface<br>waters and coastal waters and the<br>hydromorphological (physical) |
| potential for direct impacts from development<br>on water quality and from increased discharges                               | National   |                      | quality of rivers and coastal waters  |
| of treated wastewater.  | Minimise and mitigate the pollution of water courses.  |                      |   |
|   | Improve land, soil and water quality.  |                      |   |
|   |  |                      |   |
| Water resources   | International  | Water                | SA13. Use water resources efficiently.  |
| Drinking water is a scarce resource in the District and population and household growth                                       | Ensure water is used in a sustainable way.   |                      | emolemay.   |
| will place further pressure on this resource.   | National   |                      |   |
|   | Reduce water usage to 120-130 litres, per person, per day, by 2030.  |                      |   |
|   | Improve water efficiency in new buildings.   |                      |   |
|   | Ensure appropriate resources are available to deliver the development-related infrastructure needed to create  |                      |   |

| icy objectives (Appendix 2)  | SEA Directive<br>topics  | SA objectives   |
|--|--|---|
| ole communities.   |  |   |
| I  nd enhance open space and ensure that recreational meet the needs of the community.  se that a network of green infrastructure makes a ion to quality of life.  | Material assets,<br>flora, fauna, climatic<br>factors, biodiversity,<br>human health | SA14. Protect and enhance green infrastructure and ensure that it meets local needs.  |
| sustainable transport modes.  I evelopment to sustainable locations. he need to travel. more sustainable modes of transport, reduce the on motor cars, and improve public transport. ppropriate resources are available to deliver the nent-related infrastructure needed to create ole communities. | Material assets,<br>climatic factors,<br>population, human<br>health                 | SA10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. |
| ne<br>ole  | nt-related infrastructure needed to create   | ent-related infrastructure needed to create e communities.  |

## Appendix 5

Detailed appraisal of policy options in previous Issues and Options version of the PPLP

| Policy No. | Issues               | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|----------------------|--|---|--|---|
|            |                      | PART ONE: SHEPWAY AREAS  | S AND FUTURE S  | ITE ALLOCATIONS  |   |
|            |                      | Housing an   | d the Settlemen   | ts   |   |
| Policy 1   | Housing Distribution | Specific housing levels by settlement tier are now set out, using SHLAA evidence (and housing permission completions from 2012/13) and applying the following principles:  1. Core Strategy policy must be applied  2. Core Strategy evidence, notably the 2012 technical note/ SHLAA, should be the starting point for identifying sufficient land in an area to allow subsequent testing of site development options.  3. The total amount of housing planned across settlements in tiers of the Hierarchy should proportionate, it must not be less than the total planned in any tier of the Hierarchy below,  4. There is the scope for flexibility within each tier within the Settlement Hierarchy in order to meet the total figure.  5. Following on from 1, with an adopted Core Strategy in |   | Considering the principles of the policy on distribution of housing allocations in the District:  • Principles 1 and 5 are likely to have a negligible effect as in the absence of the Places and Policies DPD the Core Strategy would continue to be used to influence the distribution of housing in the District.  Strategic housing allocations are made by the Core Strategy. The Core Strategy directs additional housing development to the most sustainable towns and villages, consistent with the settlement hierarchy.  • Principles 2, 3 and 4 are likely to maximise the benefit of housing in the District by reinforcing application of the settlement hierarchy so that housing is directed towards the most sustainable settlements and to where housing land is available, with positive effects for new and improved public service and facility provision (SA3 and SA14); crime prevention (SA4); affordable housing schemes (SA5); sustainable travel patterns (SA10); and urban regeneration and | None identified.                          |

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--------|--|---|---|--|
|            |        | place it is beyond the scope of this plan to review strategic urban extensions (Core Strategy Allocations at Folkestone, or the existing major allocations with planning permission at Nickolls Quarry, Hythe). These are not included.  Applying the 5 principles results in the following guideline amounts to help establish the degree of search for sites in each settlement: |   | greening (SA7, SA8, SA9, SA11 and SA12).  The flexibility introduced by principle 4 is a pragmatic way of meeting objectively assessed housing need in the District, with further benefits for SA5.   |  |
|            |        | Appendix 6 1,519 in Folkestone.  |   | The Core Strategy made strategic housing allocations to Folkestone Seafront (SS6: up to 1,000 dwellings) and Shorncliffe Garrison (SS7: up to 1,200 dwellings) in Folkestone. The PPLP allocates an additional 1,519 dwellings in the town. The new housing in Folkestone will help to meet affordable housing need in the settlement of the District where the SHMA <sup>3</sup> indicates that it is greatest, as well as meeting market demand which is likely to be high in this large population centre with positive effects on SA5. It will allow for the efficient use of land through the development of previously developed land (SA11) before greenfield land | Although development in the District's Sub Regional Town of Folkestone will provide new residents with access to the town's public transport links, including Folkestone Central Station, it is also likely to result in significant increases in traffic in the area of the District where congestion is already greatest, with negative effects on SA10. |

<sup>-</sup>

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Strategic Housing Market Assessment for the East Kent Sub-region, 2009

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--------|--|---|--|--|
|            |        |  |   | (SA2 and SA9) with indirect benefits associated with urban regeneration (SA4, SA6, SA8). Positive effects on SA10 are likely to result from directing housing towards a Sub Regional Town where the availability of employment, services and facilities will reduce the need for new residents to travel.  |  |
|            |        | Appendix 7 959 in Hythe and New Romney Town (incorporating Littlestone-on-Sea) (480 per settlement). |   | Hythe  The Core Strategy makes reference to a strategic housing allocation to Nickolls Quarry, Hythe of 1,050 dwellings (saved policy HO2). The PPLP makes an additional allocation of approximately 480 dwellings in Hythe. Hythe is one of two Strategic Towns in Shepway and the new housing will have a positive effect in meeting housing need in the area of the District where need is high (SA5) and will maximise the efficient use of land through the development of previously developed land (SA11) before greenfield land (SA2 and SA9) with indirect benefits associated with urban regeneration (SA4, SA6, SA8). Positive effects on SA10 are likely to result from directing housing towards a Strategic Town where the availability of employment, services and facilities will reduce the need for new residents to travel. | Although development in a Strategic Town will provide new residents with access to public transport links, the significant scale of development proposed has the potential to have an adverse effect on the traffic (SA10). Furthermore, significant development could have an adverse effect on the historic core of the town and the Royal Military Canal (SA7 and SA8). |

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|--------|--|---|---|---|
|            |        |  |   | New Romney Town (incorporating Littlestone-on-Sea)  New Romney is one of two Strategic Towns in Shepway and is allocated for significant residential development in the region of 480 dwellings. The new housing in New Romney will have a positive effect in meeting housing need in the area of the District where need is high (SA5) and will maximise the efficient use of land through the development of previously developed land (SA11) before greenfield land (SA2 and SA9) with indirect benefits associated with urban regeneration (SA4, SA6, SA8). Positive effects on SA10 are likely to result from directing housing towards a Strategic Town where the availability of employment, services and facilities will reduce the need for new residents to travel. | Although development in a Strategic Town will provide new residents with access to public transport links, the significant scale of development proposed within the town has the potential to have an adverse effect on the traffic (SA10). Furthermore, significant development could have an adverse effect on the strong historic character of the town (SA7 and SA8).     |
|            |        | Appendix 8 373 in Lydd<br>Town and Hawkinge (187 per<br>settlement). |   | Lydd is a Service Centre in the Shepway settlement hierarchy. The policy's allocation of approximately 187 dwellings in the town serves to help sustain grow and consolidate the position of the town as a District Centre serving the local hinterland with shops, employment and public services with positive effects on meeting   | The scale of development proposed within the town has the potential to put increased pressure on existing local services and facilities, unless new and improved public services and facilities can be provided. Furthermore, the construction of roughly 187 dwellings in this relatively small town could have an adverse effect on baseline traffic levels in the town and |

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--------|---|---|--|--|
|            |        |   |   | local affordable housing needs (SA5), public services and facilities (SA3) and employment (SA6). Some positive effects on SA10 are likely to result from directing housing towards a Service Centre where the availability of employment, public services and shops will reduce the need for new residents to travel.  | wider area (SA10).   |
|            |        |   |   | Hawkinge  Hawkinge is a service centre.  The policy's allocation of approximately 187 dwellings in the town serves to help sustain grow and consolidate the position of the town as a District Centre serving the local hinterland with shops, employment and public services with positive effects on meeting local affordable housing needs (SA5), public services and facilities (SA3) and employment (SA6). Some positive effects on SA10 are likely to result from directing housing towards a Service Centre where the availability of employment, public services and shops will reduce the need for new residents to travel. | The scale of development proposed within the town has the potential to put increased pressure on existing local services and facilities, unless new and improved public services and facilities can be provided. Furthermore, the construction of roughly 187 dwellings in this relatively small town could have an adverse effect on baseline traffic levels in the town and wider area (SA10). |
|            |        | Appendix 9 263 in<br>Dymchurch, Elham, Lyminge<br>and Sellindge (66 per<br>settlement). |   | Dymchurch  Dymchurch is a rural centre for tourism, local shops and public services and facilities. The policy's allocation of approximately 66 dwellings will   | Dymchurch has significant natural and cultural heritage assets. The development of approximately 66 new dwellings could put these assets at risk unless appropriate mitigation measures are put in   |

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--------|----------------|---|--|--|
|            |        |                |   | help to sustain grow and consolidate its role as a Rural Centre serving the local hinterland with shops, employment and public services with positive effects on meeting local affordable housing needs (SA5), public services and facilities (SA3) and employment (SA6).  | place to protect and enhance these assets (SA7 and SA9).  Furthermore, the construction of roughly 66 dwellings in this relatively small settlement could increase the numbers of private cars on the road with adverse effects on local efforts to reduce congestion (SA10) and mitigate climate change (SA2).  |
|            |        |                |   | Elham  Elham is a rural centre for tourism, local shops and public services and facilities. The policy's allocation of approximately 66 dwellings will help to sustain grow and consolidate its role as a Rural Centre serving the local hinterland with shops, employment and public services with positive effects on meeting local affordable housing needs (SA5), public services and facilities (SA3) and employment (SA6). | Elham has significant natural and cultural heritage assets. The development of approximately 66 new dwellings could put these assets at risk unless appropriate mitigation measures are put in place to protect and enhance these assets (SA7 and SA9).  Furthermore, the construction of roughly 66 dwellings in this relatively small settlement could increase the numbers of private cars on the road with adverse effects on local efforts to reduce congestion (SA10) and mitigate climate change (SA2). |
|            |        |                |   | Lyminge  Lyminge is a rural centre for tourism, local shops and public services and facilities. The policy's allocation of approximately 66 dwellings will help to sustain grow and consolidate its role as a Rural Centre serving the local hinterland with shops, employment and public services with positive effects on meeting  | Lyminge has significant natural and cultural heritage assets. The development of approximately 66 new dwellings could put these assets at risk unless appropriate mitigation measures are put in place to protect and enhance these assets (SA7 and SA9).  Furthermore, the construction of roughly 66 dwellings in this relatively small settlement could increase the numbers of private   |

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--------|--|---|---|--|
|            |        |  |   | local affordable housing needs (SA5), public services and facilities (SA3) and employment (SA6).  | cars on the road with adverse<br>effects on local efforts to reduce<br>congestion (SA10) and mitigate<br>climate change (SA2).   |
|            |        |  |   | Sellindge Sellindge is a rural centre for tourism, local shops and public services and facilities. The policy's allocation of approximately 66 dwellings will help to sustain grow and consolidate its role as a Rural Centre serving the local hinterland with shops, employment and public services with positive effects on meeting local affordable housing needs (SA5), public services and facilities (SA3) and employment (SA6). | Sellindge has significant natural and cultural heritage assets. The development of approximately 66 new dwellings could put these assets at risk unless appropriate mitigation measures are put in place to protect and enhance these assets (SA7 and SA9).  Furthermore, the construction of roughly 66 dwellings in this relatively small settlement could increase the numbers of private cars on the road with adverse effects on local efforts to reduce congestion (SA10) and mitigate climate change (SA2). |
|            |        | Appendix 10 209 in the primary villages (30 per settlement). |   | The modest scale of development within the District's primary villages is unlikely to have a significant effect against any of the SA objectives. However, there is potential for minor benefits meeting local affordable housing needs in the rural areas of the District.   | The modest scale of development within the District's primary villages is unlikely to have a significant effect against any of the SA objectives. However, the cumulative effects associated with increased populations in the rural areas of the District could result in pressures on small scale and disparate public facilities and services (SA3), increase reliance on the private car with adverse effects on local efforts to reduce congestion (SA10) and mitigate climate change (SA2).                  |

| Policy No.                                  | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
|   |   | Appendix 11 32 in the secondary villages (5 per settlement).                                     |   | The modest scale of development within the District's secondary villages is unlikely to have a significant effect against any of the SA objectives. However, there is potential for minor benefits meeting local affordable housing needs in the rural areas of the District. | The modest scale of development within the District's secondary villages is unlikely to have a significant effect against any of the SA objectives. However, the cumulative effects associated with increased populations in the rural areas of the District could result in pressures on small scale and disparate public facilities and services (SA3), increase reliance on the private car with adverse effects on local efforts to reduce congestion (SA10) and mitigate climate change (SA2). |
|   |   | Economic   | Development   |   |   |
|   |   | No policy options in Part One of Plan; related DM policy options in Part Two are assessed below. |   |   |   |
|   |   | Tow  | n Centres   |   |   |
|   |   | No policy options in Part One of Plan; related DM policy options in Part Two are assessed below. |   |   |   |
|   |   | Gypsy a  | nd Travellers   |   |   |
|   |   | No policy options in Part One of Plan; related DM policy options in Part Two are assessed below. |   |   |   |
|   |   | Infra  | astructure  |   |   |
| Infrastructure Policy<br>(no policy number) | Development should<br>provide, contribute to or<br>otherwise address<br>Shepway's current and | A: Section 106 planning obligations will be used to secure infrastructure funding                | SS5 and CSD4  | All three policy options are likely to result in local investment in infrastructure, potentially including flood  | Site-focussed policy options A<br>and B do not facilitate<br>investment in District-wide<br>infrastructure schemes which are  |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|--|---|---|--|
|            | future infrastructure needs. Infrastructure that is necessary to support development must exist already, or a reliable mechanism must be available to ensure that it will be provided at the time it is needed. Need to make a clear distinction between a continuing need to secure developer contributions toward on-site infrastructure and affordable housing, from the s106 / planning obligations process; and the need to use the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to secure funding contributions for the broader infrastructure needs of the district. | contributions where these are:  1) essential to make a development acceptable in planning terms;  2) are directly related to a development; and  3) are fairly and reasonable related in scale and kind to the development.  B: S106 agreements will be used to secure financial contributions for on-site infrastructure, required to enable the development of strategic and key sites in the district.  C: Financial contributions toward the district's general infrastructure needs will be collected as per the range of C IL rates and zones set out by the Council's adopted C IL Charging Schedule. |   | defences and flood alleviation schemes (SA1 and SA12); climate change mitigation and adaptation schemes (SA2 and SA13); new and improved public service and facility provision (SA3 and SA14); crime prevention schemes (SA4); affordable housing schemes (SA5); local employment and training schemes (SA6); sustainable travel schemes (SA10); and urban regeneration and greening (SA7, SA8, SA9, SA11 and SA12).  The criteria listed stated for Option A have no sustainability effect relative to relying on existing policy since these tests are set out in the CIL Regulations and NPPF.  By allowing contributions to be pooled for general infrastructure needs, option C facilitates investment in District-wide infrastructure schemes. This will have positive effects in relation to increased ability to provide flood defences and flood alleviation schemes (SA1 and SA12); climate change mitigation and adaptation schemes (SA2 and SA13); new and improved public service and facility provision (SA3 and SA14); crime prevention schemes (SA4); affordable housing schemes (SA5); local employment and training | likely to reduce the Council's ability to tackle strategic issues with negative effects in relation to reduced ability to provide flood defences and flood alleviation schemes (SA1 and SA12); climate change mitigation and adaptation schemes (SA2 and SA13); new and improved public service and facility provision (SA3 and SA14); crime prevention schemes (SA4); affordable housing schemes (SA5); local employment and training schemes (SA6); sustainable travel schemes (SA10); and urban regeneration and greening (SA7, SA8, SA9, SA11 and SA12). |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|--|--|---|--|---|
|            |  |  |   | schemes (SA6); sustainable travel schemes (SA10); and urban regeneration and greening (SA7, SA8, SA9, SA11 and SA12). Furthermore, allowing contributions to be pooled for general infrastructure needs will enable the Council to benefit from the economies of scale.  |   |
|            |  | Local (  | Green Spaces  |  |   |
|            |  | No policy options in Part One of Plan; related DM policy options in Part Two are assessed below.   |   |  |   |
|            |  | Н  | leritage  |  |   |
|            |  | No policy options in Part One of Plan; related DM policy options in Part Two are assessed below.   |   |  |   |
|            |  | PART TWO: DEVELOPMENT  | MANAGEMENT PO   | OLICIES OPTIONS  |   |
|            |  | General Devel  | opment Manage   | ment   |   |
| GD1        | Provide for high quality design in new development, designing out crime and enhancing a sense of place | A: Continue to define design criteria for development with reference to promoting local distinctiveness through the use of local architectural features, layouts and materials and landscaping. Seek high-quality landscaping measures wherever possible, with a preference for native species planting and tree cover at a scale appropriate to the development  Or  B: A new policy that sets out generic design criteria with a | NPPF: para 17,<br>56-68<br>Core Strategy:<br>SS3<br>Saved LP: BE1               | Both policy options should help to ensure high quality design in new development with positive effects on the quality of the landscape and townscape (SA8).  In addition, option A would help to conserve and potentially enhance local distinctiveness (SA8) with the preference for native planting and tree cover offering the potential to benefit biodiversity (SA9). | Option B, by failing to ensure that development responds to local characteristics, could have negative effects on the character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape (SA8). It could also be deemed to be non-compliant with the requirement at para 58 of the NPPF for planning policies to ensure that developments "respond to local character and history, and reflect the identity of local surroundings and materials". |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|--|---|---|---|---|
|            |  | presumption in favour of high<br>quality architecture and<br>landscaping that are distinctive<br>but do not necessarily respond to<br>local characteristics and which<br>provide simple but robust policy<br>coverage for all development   |   |   |   |
| GD2        | Ensuring satisfactory amenity for existing residents and the future occupiers of new dwellings | A: Continue to define criteria for ensuring adequate amenity in all developments, for example, in terms of natural light, ease of access, privacy, outlook, air quality and noise  And/or  B: Consider setting internal standards for new residential development, for example, minimum bedroom sizes, minimum requirements for space for drying clothes or for laundry facilities  And/or  C: In new residential developments seek the provision of either: private garden or balcony space, and /or a financial contribution towards the provision of parks and public green space nearby.  And/or  D: There should be a minimum 'back to back' distance between residential development of 21 metres and a 'side to back' distance of 11m. | NPPF: para 17, 56-68, 125 Core Strategy: SS3 Saved LP: BE1                      | All of the policy options described should help to protect the amenity of residents of new development and that of their neighbours with positive effects on well-being and communities (SA3).  In addition, option C would help to ensure provision of private or public open space with potential positive effects on access to environmental assets (SA3) and townscape and landscape (SA8). | None identified.                          |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| GD3        | Ensuring the consideration of environmental issues such as land instability, contamination and pollution | A: Set out measures to deal with the impacts of noise, light and dust pollution either affecting the proposed development, or arising from it  And/or  B: Encourage development on brownfield sites affected by contamination which can effectively remediate the contamination  And/or  C: Set standards to ensure that land contamination on development sites is adequately mitigated to provide a safe environment for future occupants  And/or  D: Require consideration of refuse storage and recycling storage with suitable access arrangements for collection to be incorporated into all development proposals.  And/ or  E: Require evidence when an application is submitted or by planning condition as appropriate that sites within land instability areas can be safely developed without adverse impact on the site or adjoining land. | NPPF: para 120<br>Core Strategy:<br>SS3<br>Saved LP:<br>BE19,U10,<br>U10a, U15  | All the policy options described should encourage the efficient use of land and ensure that environmental issues such as noise, contamination and land instability are given full consideration in the planning process (SA11).  Option D would help to ensure that waste planning is fully integrated into development proposals so that communities have integrated local services (SA3), which will help to reduce congestion in local areas (SA10).  In addition, option E goes beyond the requirement of para 120 of the NPPF, by not only ensuring that the developer and/or landowner provides evidence that land stability issues are safely secured, but that the LPA will use measures of oversight, e.g. planning conditions, to ensure that these are effectively implemented. | None identified.                          |
| GD4        | Address localised flooding and flood risk management   | A: Require all development to manage its own surface water run off so that it has a neutral effect on water courses and the local   | NPPF: para<br>94,99 -104<br>Core Strategy:                                      | Both policy options should help<br>to reduce the risk of flooding,<br>(SA1) while at the same time<br>encouraging the more efficient   | None identified.                          |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|--|---|---|---|---|
|            |  | surface water drainage system  Or  B: Require all development within the flood catchment areas not only to mitigate their own flood risk on site, but to provide extra mitigation to reduce downstream effects arising from the development   | SS3, CSD5   | use of water resources (SA13).  In addition Option B would help to encourage greater cooperation between different landowners and developers to ensure improved mitigation measures are adopted both on and off the development site, which will reduce the risk of flooding throughout the District.   |   |
| GD5        | Incorporating public art in new development              | A: To secure a contribution for art to improve the public realm.  The Council will support the inclusion of public art and require all major schemes to include public art that:  a. Is integrated into proposals at an early stage of the design process;  b. Enhances and creates local distinctiveness and reinforces a sense of place;  c. Responds to local character;  d. Makes a positive contribution to the public realm; and e. Engages the local community in its creation.  Or  B. No new policy is introduced beyond the requirements of national guidance | NPPF: para 57,<br>Core Strategy:<br>SS3<br>Saved LP: BE2                        | Both policy options could help encourage local vibrancy through enhancing the physical environment which could promote social infrastructure and in doing so bring different communities together (SA3 and SA8).  Policy option A should help to protect and promote local distinctiveness and a sense of place (SA8). It may also help provide opportunities to improve the environment, public space and promote passive surveillance which could help reduce crime and the fear of crime (SA4).  Option B relies on existing policies and therefore has no effect relative to the SA baseline. | None identified.                          |
| GD6        | To guide<br>telecommunications<br>development (including | Provision for broadband (such as ducting for cables) should be designed and installed as an   | NPPF: paras<br>42-46<br>Core Strategy:  | This policy should help to ensure that advanced and high quality communications   | None identified.                          |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|---|---|---|--|
|            | provision of broadband).  | integral part of development, which minimises visual impact and future disturbance during maintenance. All telecommunications infrastructure should be capable of responding to changes in technology requirements over the period of the development.  | SS5<br>Saved LP: U11  | infrastructure is available to communities, providing additional means to access services or opportunities to engage in education and employment (SA3 and SA6).  In addition this policy could also support local business development by providing adequate infrastructure to support sectors targeted for economic growth (SA6).  |  |
|            |   | Hous  | sing  |   |  |
| H1         | Providing a mix of housing type and size to meet the needs of Shepway's residents | A: Set a district-wide preferred housing mix policy which will deliver a range of dwelling sizes and types that meets a target that at least half of all new homes by 2026 will have 3 bedrooms or more  Or  B: Establish an appropriate housing mix based on a site-by-site basis  Or  C. Require major development to provide a percentage on site of dwellings that have 3 bedrooms or more. | NPPF: para 47 - 55  Core Strategy: CSD2   | Option A repeats the requirements of Core Strategy Policy CSD2 and therefore has no sustainability effect relative to the baseline of relying on existing policy.  Policy options B and C provide alternative mechanisms for meeting the SHMA <sup>4</sup> recommendation, based on demographics and housing need, that the number of new 1-2 bedroom dwellings be matched with the number of new dwellings with 3 or more bedrooms. As such they would both help to deliver an appropriate mix of housing (SA5). | None identified.   |
| H2         | Recognising the role of residential garden land in                                | A: Allow the development of back gardens for new housing throughout the urban area subject  | NPPF para 47 -<br>55, Core  | Options A and B are likely to boost the provision of homes to meet the needs of the   | Option C goes beyond the requirements of para 53 of the NPPF and by resisting all back |

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Strategic Housing Market Assessment for the East Kent Sub-region, 2009

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|---|--|---|--|---|
|            | housing delivery  | to certain criteria to ensure there is no harm to the character of the area e.g.: maintain existing road frontage, suitable distance between dwellings, maintaining key existing landscaping and have regard to prevailing density.  Or  B: Designate areas where the development of back gardens for new housing is considered acceptable in principle  Or  C: Do not allow the development of back gardens for new housing anywhere in the District                | Strategy SS3  | community and local economy (SA5). In addition both of these policy options have the potential to help conserve the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape (SA8).   | garden development is likely to have negative effects on the provision of homes or meet the needs of local communities and the economies (SA5). There is some uncertainty as to this effect as it will depend on whether the Council's SHLAA review process identifies sufficient sites to meet housing need in the absence of back garden development. |
| H3         | Providing for the accommodation needs of specific sections of the community | A: Explore the possibility of providing additional pitches for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople on existing sites within the District  And / or  B: Allocate new sites for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in accordance with the sequential approach and environmental assessment criteria set out in the Core Strategy  And/or  C: Set a site size threshold and a proportion of traveller pitches/plots for large housing developments | NPPF para 4,<br>Core Strategy<br>CSD2   | All of these policy options are likely to improve the provision of sites for accommodating the needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople and in doing so provide an appropriate mix of temporary and permanent housing sites for these communities (SA5).  In addition by setting aside a proportion of homes in larger developments for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople, option C could provide opportunities to increase access to local services and could support efforts to increase levels of integration and cohesion while reducing inequality (SA3). This approach is supported in | None identified.  |

| Policy No.                            | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
|                                       |   |   |   | Paragraphs 4.8 to 4.10, Planning Policy For Traveller Sites, which supports the enabling of the provision of suitable accommodation from which travellers can access services, reduce tensions between settled and traveller communities and increases the number of traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission.  |  |
| Policy number missing from draft PPLP | To provide a criteria based policy that can be applied to applications for sites for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople that are not designated. | A: In considering applications for seasonal, temporary or permanent use of land by Gypsies and Travellers, or the extension of existing sites, planning permission will only be acceptable within or adjoining the settlement boundary and subject to the following criteria being met:  Compatible with national flood risk policy  Appropriately screened or capable of being so through additional measures  No adverse impact on the residential amenity or existing buildings or uses  Access should not be detrimental to highway safety  Established personal need  No adverse effect on the visual or other essential qualities of the AONB, SSSI, national or local nature reserve or conservation | NPPF para 47 -<br>55, Core<br>Strategy CSD2                                     | The inclusion of the development criteria in options A and B is likely to reduce the risk of flooding (SA1), as well as protect landscape and townscape (SA8), wildlife (SA9) and cultural and historic assets (SA7).  By restricting development to within or adjoining the settlement boundary, option A provides opportunities to increase access to local services (SA3, SA10) and could support increases integration and cohesion while reducing inequality (SA3). | By allowing development more remote from existing settlements but accessible to local services and facilities, option B may have negative effects on integration, cohesion and reduction of inequality (SA3) and the landscape (SA8), notwithstanding the requirement for appropriate landscaping. |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|---|---|---|--|
|            |   | area.   |   |   |  |
|            |   | OR  |   |   |  |
|            |   | B: In considering applications for seasonal, temporary or permanent use of land by Gypsies and Travellers, or the extension of existing sites, planning permission will be acceptable both inside and outside of the settlement boundary subject to the following criteria being met: |   |   |  |
|            |   | Compatible with national flood risk policy  |   |   |  |
|            |   | Appropriately landscaped or capable of being so through additional measures   |   |   |  |
|            |   | No adverse impact on the residential amenity or existing buildings or uses  |   |   |  |
|            |   | Access should not be detrimental to highway safety  |   |   |  |
|            |   | Established personal need   |   |   |  |
|            |   | Accessible to local services and facilities   |   |   |  |
|            |   | No adverse effect on the visual or other essential qualities of the AONB, SSSI, national or local nature reserve or conservation area.  |   |   |  |
| H4         | Recognising the need to develop housing at an appropriate density to make better use of previously developed land | A: Create policies that seek to maximise the density on housing sites in the urban areas where that can be achieved without harm to local character (so as to minimise development outside  | NPPF para 47,<br>59; Core<br>Strategy SS3<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>HO2              | Option A is likely to increase the amount of housing that can be provided in the District's existing urban areas, thereby reducing pressure to develop greenfield land with potential | By limiting the density of housing development to the prevailing pattern, option B could increase pressure to develop greenfield land with potential negative effects in relation to the |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|---|--|---|--|---|
|            | and existing infrastructure   | urban areas) Or B: Establish an appropriate density based on a site-by-site basis informed by the prevailing pattern of settlement in the vicinity and only in exceptional circumstances encouraging a higher density to enable the delivery of more dwelling units  |   | positive effects in relation to the landscape (SA8), biodiversity (SA9) and efficient use of land (SA11). Protection would be given to the quality, character and local distinctiveness of urban communities (SA8).  By limiting the density of housing development to the prevailing pattern, option B would be likely to provide stronger protection to the quality, character and local distinctiveness of urban communities (SA8) than option A.   | landscape (SA8), biodiversity<br>(SA9) and efficient use of land<br>(SA11).                                 |
| H5         | Providing for accommodation for our ageing population and vulnerable members of our community | A: Plan for more Lifetime Homes, suitable for disabled and elderly residents which are capable of being adapted to meet the needs of residents as their life needs change over time. On sites of 10 or more, 20% of dwellings will meet the Lifetime Homes standards  And/ or  B: Encourage the development of more retirement homes and specialist homes that help people to live independently, but have facilities or support available when they need them  Or  C: Encourage the development of retirement communities that comprise of different types of | NPPF para 47 -<br>55, Core<br>Strategy CSD2<br>Saved LP:<br>HO13                | All of these policy options are likely to help provide housing that is suitable for the elderly, helping to address the predicted ageing of Shepway's population over the Plan period with positive effects on SA5.  By bringing buildings back into use, option D has the potential to promote vibrancy (SA3) and discourage anti-social behaviour (SA4) e.g. illegal dumping.  By concentrating housing for the elderly in particular locations, option C could help to support provision of appropriate services and facilities for this section of the community, for instance community centres or appropriate public transport services, with positive effects | Option C may result in segregation of elderly people from the wider community with negative effects on SA3. |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|--|--|---|--|---|
|            |  | housing and care facilities in a single location And/or D: Encourage the change of use of existing homes to specialist residential accommodation   |   | on equality of opportunity to access such services and facilities (SA3).   |   |
| H6         | To consider the impact that converting large homes to flats has on the character of an area and the amenity of other residents (for example parking problems). | A: The Council will grant planning permission for the conversion of larger houses into flats, or maisonettes subject to criteria including design, parking, location, distribution and amenity And/or  B: A policy that identifies location/ property that could generally be suitable for HMO use, e.g. unused accommodation within shopping frontages on major transport routes?  And/or  C: Should planning permission for new or extended HMOs only be granted outside those areas where there are existing significant concentrations' of HMOs, and if so should standard minimum and potentially maximum thresholds be applied to establish which those areas are? | NPPF para 47 -<br>55<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3<br>Saved LP: HO8,<br>HO9           | All three options, but particularly A and B, could help to bring empty housing and buildings back into residential use, helping to increase housing provision and respond to the reduction in average household size predicted by the SHMA <sup>5</sup> (SA5). | Option B on its own would fail to allow the Council to control potential negative impacts in relation to local townscape character, amenity of existing residents and creation of mixed, vibrant communities of converting a significant proportion of an area's large homes into flats (SA3, SA8). |
| H7         | To ensure that the conversion of rural buildings to houses, replacement dwellings and  | A: Develop criteria based policies for conversions, replacement dwellings and extensions to dwellings to ensure that they  | NPPF para 55<br>Core Strategy<br>CSD3   | Both policy options should help<br>to ensure that the conversion of<br>rural buildings to housing is of<br>good design quality but only  | By relying on generic design policies, option B risks failing to reflect local character and distinctiveness with negative  |

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  Strategic Housing Market Assessment for the East Kent Sub-region, 2009

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|---|---|--|--|
|            | extensions respect the<br>character of their<br>surroundings and reflect<br>local vernacular and design | respect the character of the countryside  Or  B: Rely upon generic design policies to assess such proposals   | Saved LP:<br>CO19, CO20,<br>CO21  | option A would ensure that it is sensitive to local character and distinctiveness (SA8).  Furthermore where development is within or in close proximity to a heritage site, option A is likely to increase the likelihood of the development being sensitive to the historic environment (SA7).  | effects on SA8.  |
| H8         | To provide for self-build housing   | A. At strategic sites a minimum of 2% of the dwellings shall be to meet Government aspirations to increase self-build developments. These schemes will:  1. Be individually designed, employing innovative approaches throughout that cater for changing lifetime needs  2. Provide for appropriate linkages to infrastructure and day to day facilities  3. Include a design framework to inform detailed design of the individual units, where more than one self-build unit is proposed.  Or  B. A criteria based policy on a site by site basis | NPPF para 47 -<br>55<br>Saved LP: BE1   | Both policy options will help to support the provision of sites for self-build and in doing so increase the provision of housing that meets local need, and potentially provide affordable housing with positive effects on SA5.  By supporting individual innovation, option A may go beyond the requirements of site-wide criteria-based policy (option B) and result in greater energy efficiency, use of renewable energy sources and designs that are better adapted to a changing climate (SA1).  Option B, is likely to ensure that development enhances local quality, character and distinctiveness (SA8) and is related to its local environment in terms of character and scale which will be particularly important on sites within or in close proximity to cultural heritage assets (SA7). | By providing significant scope for flexibility in the design of new developments, option A may lead to development which is of poor design quality or not in keeping with the local townscape, landscape, and cultural heritage assets (SA7, SA8) and which fails to conserve or enhance local biodiversity (SA9).  By failing to allow for architectural innovation, option B may fail to incorporate the best measures in relation to energy efficiency, use of renewable energy sources and designs that are adapted to a changing climate (SA1). |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|--|---|---|---|---|
|            |  | Econ  | omy   |   |   |
| E1         | Making the best and most sustainable use of existing employment land | A: Retain existing employment land unless there is clear evidence that it is surplus to requirements, the continued use of the land for employment purposes would have a significant deleterious effect on residential amenity, the redevelopment of the site for a suitable alternative use would enable another more suitable employment site to come forward or that continued allocation for commercial use is demonstrated not to be viable  And /Or  B: Identify alternative nonemployment uses for surplus employment land, accompanied by policies to manage the release of these sites for housing or other suitable uses. | NPPF para 21-<br>22, Core<br>Strategy SS2,<br>SS4<br>Saved LP: E1,<br>E2, E4    | Both policy options provide the district with the opportunity to plan positively for the location of employment within the district (SA6).  In focusing on the release of surplus land for housing and other uses, there is potential for both policy options to ensure the efficient use of land is achieved and that development is located within brownfield sites (SA11). This could help to support the integration of housing and services close to employment which would reduce the need to travel (SA10) while helping to create vibrant communities (SA3)  Option A sets out a strategy for identifying and retaining employment land, which will help to ensure that the supply of land is adequately equipped with suitable infrastructure and that it meets local demand (SA6). In addition, the criteria include consideration of residential amenity, which could help to ensure that community vibrancy and access to services and facilities is not negatively affected (SA3), but instead, the provision of employment land helps to ensure that there is a complementary mix of land | None identified.                          |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|---|--|---|--|---|
|            |   |  |   | uses that minimises the length of journeys and reduces traffic congestion (SA10). This could help to maintain a sense of place for new or existing communities (SA8).  |   |
|            |   |  |   | Recommendation: This policy should set out how it will determine that employment land is surplus to requirements, particularly in light of the conclusion of the Employment Land Review <sup>6</sup> that "There is no strong case for releasing most existing employment sites and allocations in Shepway". It should also explain how safeguarding of existing employment land will reflect the needs of priority locations for economic regeneration and of emerging or target employment sectors for the District. |   |
| E2         | Directing business to sustainable locations, in particular office uses to town centre /edge of centre areas | A: Incorporate Areas for Small Business and/or Town Centre Business Areas into Town Centre designations and promote a more flexible approach to economic development in these areas  Or  B: Specifically define areas within or near town centres where business/office uses will be located | NPPF18-21, 23-<br>27<br>Core Strategy<br>SS2, SS4<br>Saved LP: S3-<br>S7        | Both policy options are likely to have a positive effect upon ensuring that there is an adequate supply of land and infrastructure to meet the requirements of targeted office based businesses. In addition this will also help to ensure that there is improved access to employment and that the vibrancy of town centres is enhanced (SA3 and SA6).  By defining the particular  | None identified.                          |

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Shepway District Council (2011) Employment Land Review

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|            |   |   |   | locations within/near town centres where business/office uses will be permitted, option B would allow the Council to ensure that these uses are concentrated in locations that are accessible to residential areas and well served by public transport hubs/routes. This could help to reduce the need to travel, provide opportunities to travel by sustainable modes and help to avoid traffic congestion (SA10).  Recommendation: Both policy options should be explicitly linked to an assessment of market demand for business space and to the anticipated future economic growth of the particular town centre and its role in the settlement hierarchy. |   |
| E3         | Ensuring that employment development contributes to climate change avoidance and mitigation (energy efficiency/ renewable energy) | A: Include specific criteria to secure provision of renewable energy, and energy efficiency measures, in new economic/employment development  Or  B: Apply generic design and sustainability criteria in considering proposals for new economic development | NPPF para 18,<br>95-99<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>U14         | Both policy options could support the increased use of renewable energy and low carbon energy and in doing so provide opportunities for developers to take into consideration climate change adaptation measures within their development proposals (SA2), although option A offers more certainty in this respect.  Recommendation: As a well as energy efficiency and renewable energy generation, climate-change related criteria could also address efficient use   | None identified.                          |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|--|---|--|--|
|            |  |  |   | of water resources, mitigation<br>of surface water flood risk and<br>building design that is adapted<br>to a warmer climate.   |  |
| E4         | Securing new economic development on designated employment land with good transport connections to meet identified needs and encourage inward investment | A: Identify specific designated employment sites where particular types or sizes of unit should be provided.  Or  B: Identify specific designated employment sites where a less prescriptive approach to future economic development would be appropriate, allowing the market to determine the exact nature of commercial provision on those sites.  Or  C: Allow a more flexible approach to future economic development on all designated employment sites. | NPPF 21-22 Core Strategy SS2, SS4 Saved LP: SD1, E1, E2, E4                     | All policy options will help to ensure that there is an adequate supply of land which is suitable for targeted economic growth and the diverse range of business needs (SA6). Options B and C include an element of flexibility which would allow the particular form of economic development to respond to market signals, creating more certainty that economic benefits will be realised.  The most prescriptive option, A, would allow the Council to ensure that economic uses which are likely to generate large volumes of additional traffic movements are well connected to the transport network, helping to avoid congestion and longer journeys than necessary (SA10). | The most flexible option, C, would not allow the Council to ensure that economic uses which are likely to generate large volumes of additional traffic movements are well connected to the transport network, possibly resulting in more congestion and longer journeys than necessary (SA10). |
| E5         | Managing economic development outside designated employment sites  | A: Encourage new economic development outside designated employment sites provided it meets an identified need and policy criteria   | NPPF 21-22<br>Core Strategy<br>SS2, SS4<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>E1, E2, E4         | The effects of policy options A and B are subject to considerable uncertainty at this stage as it is unclear what these criteria will be.  | Option A may hinder redevelopment of brownfield sites which are currently allocated for employment use by providing more easily developed greenfield alternatives, with  |
|            |  | And  B: Allow existing employment land   | E1, E2, E4  | Subject to the criteria applied, policy options B and C would  | negative effects on settlement<br>vibrancy (SA3), landscape and  |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|---|---|---|--|
|            |   | outside designated employment sites to be redeveloped for other uses subject to identified policy criteria  Or  C: Apply more general development management criteria to assessing proposals for creation or loss of employment land outside designated areas.                  |   | allow release of employment land outside designated employment sites for other uses with positive effects on efficient use of land (SA11) and potentially on provision of housing (SA6) if land is release for housing development.   | townscape (SA8) and efficient use of land (SA11).  By allowing employment land to be redeveloped for other uses, option B could result in an insufficient supply of employment land with negative effects on SA6. This is uncertain as it will depend on the criteria attached to the policy and whether quantitative and qualitative market demand can be met without this employment land outside of designated employment sites.  |
| E6         | Offices and employment areas supporting economic innovation and the knowledge economy | A: Encourage mixed used development in all town centres, including start up or live-work units.  And/or  B: Focus new office development in Folkestone and Hythe Town Centres.  And  C: Identify opportunities for small and start-up business units in New Romney Town Centre. | NPPF 21, 23 Core Strategy SS2, SS4, CSD6 Saved LP:, E1, E2, S3-S7               | There are few good existing office sites in Shepway and these are primarily within or on the edge of Folkestone Town Centre. Some of the existing large, single occupier buildings may be difficult to sub-divide or re-let if vacated. All of these policy options would help to broaden the range of the District's office space provision so that that it better caters for small/start-up businesses in a wider range of locations, helping to support economic growth (SA6).  By directing office, small business or live-work units to town centres, all policy options should help to ensure that employment areas are | By not focusing development within any particular town centres, option A may fail to support the economic development of settlements which have the greatest potential to become clusters for growth in the knowledge economy (SA6).  Recommendation: This policy should be explicitly linked to evidence on the spatial distribution of economic development opportunities in the District and on market demand for the types of employment premises provided, including the Shepway Economic Development Strategy, Shepway Employment Land Review and forthcoming Town Centre Study. |

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Shepway District Council (2011) Employment Land Review

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|--------|----------------|---|---|---|
|            |        |                | Policies  | accessible from transport hubs, are near where people live and have access to other essential infrastructure. This will help to deliver settlement vibrancy (SA3), high quality employment space (SA6), sustainable travel patterns (SA10) and efficient use of land (SA11).  By not focusing development within any particular town centre, option A will help to ensure that availability to these units and employment opportunities are spread throughout the district (SA6).  Options B and C define the towns in which the LPA is planning to encourage the clustering of the knowledge economy and in doing so could enhance the viability and vitality of these centres (SA3) as well as ensure that local infrastructure is in place to support a higher skilled service economy (SA6). Option B is particularly likely to have positive effects on SA6 as there |   |
|            |        |                |   | is a need for further small incubation premises for business start-ups in Folkestone to encourage indigenous business formation and widen employment opportunities. 8   |   |

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Shepway District Council (2011) Employment Land Review

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| E7         | Providing for the needs of small and medium sized businesses | A: Set maximum size thresholds in certain town centre areas to ensure business units remain small and employment areas develop different specialities.  Or  B: Do not set size thresholds to allow maximum flexibility.  And/or  C: Encourage provision of smaller units on other designated employment sites. | NPPF 21, 23<br>Core Strategy<br>SS2, SS4  | All three policies are likely to ensure that there are smaller business units within town centres, helping to ensure that local people can access jobs locally in small and medium sized companies (SA6). Through encouraging the increased provision of units in the town centres, this policy is likely to reduce the need to travel and support use of sustainable transport modes (SA10).  Options A and C are likely to support and encourage the clustering of business in particular areas of a town centre or within designated employment sites, which would support development of specialty business clusters.  Option B is more likely to ensure that a range of units are provided for a range of business types and uses. Businesses will be able to expand within their existing locations, which will help to sustain town centres, local jobs and the quality of the local environment (SA3, SA6, and SA8). | Option B may fail to provide for the needs of small and medium sized businesses, including need for further small incubation premises for business start-ups in Folkestone to encourage indigenous business formation and widen employment opportunities. This would have negative effects on SA6. |
| E8         | Town centre and shopping areas (primary and secondary)       | A: Continue to set minimum percentage thresholds for the occupancy of the shopping street  | NPPF 23-27<br>Core Strategy   | Policy options A and C are likely<br>to help town centres remain<br>vibrant and of an acceptable   | By resisting change of use away from retail, option B is at risk of not being consistent with NPPF   |

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Shepway District Council (2011) Employment Land Review

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|--|--|---|---|---|
|            | Policies that protect the vitality and viability of retailing in town centres. | by shopping uses. Where a stretch of the street is below the desired threshold, changes of use away from shops will be resisted.  Or  B: Prevent all changes of use away from shopping use regardless of the occupancy levels (except in special circumstances).  Or  C: Prevent changes of use away from shopping where it would create a certain number of nonretail units adjacent to each other.  Or  D: Introduce greater flexibility by allowing changes of use away from shopping into specified other uses, but risk losing valued retail units. | SS3, SS4<br>Saved LP: S3-<br>S7   | quality by allowing for a mix of services and facilities, as well as scope for residential development (SA3 and SA8). By providing for shops, services and facilities in a central location, these options are also likely to have positive effects on reducing the need to travel or providing the opportunity to use sustainable transport as transport nodes are centrally located (SA10).  Options A, B & C would help to ensure that local retail employment sites are protected and are well connected to local labour (SA6, SA10).  Option D provides the greatest flexibility. Assuming that the 'other specified uses' are town centres uses such as community infrastructure, public services, and leisure and cultural facilities, this would facilitate town centre vibrancy (SA3) and improvements to the night time economy (SA6) and enjoyed by local communities (SA3). | (para 23) and Core Strategy (Policy SS4) requirements for a mix of active uses in town centres with potential negative effects on vibrancy and access to services other than retail (SA3). Failure to allow other facilities to be provided in central locations could also have negative effects on reducing the need to travel or providing the opportunity to use sustainable transport as transport nodes are centrally located (SA10).  By allowing greater scope for change of use away from retail, option D risks a significant shift of shopping activity to out of town shopping centres and increased loss of retail business to competing centres such as Ashford with negative effects on Shepway's economy (SA6). Town centre vibrancy (SA3) could also suffer if the non-retail uses provided attract fewer people than the retail ones that are lost.  Recommendation: This policy should be explicitly linked to available evidence on the likely effects of replacing town centre retail units with other services and facilities, including the findings of the forthcoming Town Centre Study. |
| E9         | Promoting the vitality and viability of town centres, or isolated parades, by  | A: Introduce a flexible approach to allow non-retail uses (for example crèches, leisure activities or health   | NPPF 23-27, 28<br>Core Strategy   | Both policy options are likely to support community vibrancy and access to opportunities to   | None identified.  |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|---|---|--|--|
|            | maintaining an appropriate proportion of non-shopping uses  | centres) where these would complement retail uses and contribute to vitality and viability.  Or  B: Encourage the co-location of services, infrastructure facilities to create mini "hubs" and to release other land/buildings for further development.   | SS3, SS4<br>Saved LP: S3-<br>S8   | engage in employment, leisure and educational opportunities (SA3). The integration of these within town centres could also add to their vibrancy, diversify employment opportunities and therefore increase the attraction of town centres to businesses (SA 6). Furthermore the integration of services will also help to reduce travel times between residential and service locations which will encourage more sustainable uses of transport (SA10) and potentially encourage more efficient uses of land (SA11).  In smaller rural settlements, areas, this policy is also likely to support settlement vibrancy (SA3) and, by creating 'minihubs', increase trips to the settlement centre, helping to support the viability of all existing and new shops, services and facilities located there. |  |
| E10        | Improving sites of poor visual amenity which detract from the appearance of town centres and stimulate beneficial redevelopment | A: Where there is an economically feasible case for redevelopment of sites that do not contribute to the attractiveness of the town identify an opportunity area, accepting flexibility of use in return for very high quality, historically sympathetic design and finishing materials  Or  B: Leave it entirely to the market and treat incoming proposals on a | NPPF 23<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3, SS4<br>Saved LP: SD1                           | Option A enables the LPA to plan positively to 'identify priority areas for economic regeneration, infrastructure provision and environmental enhancement in line with the NPPF (Para 21.5). The resulting redevelopment of redundant sites within town centres would be likely to have positive effects on vibrancy (SA3) and efficient use of land (SA11). The requirement for   | Both policy options allow for redevelopment of sites of poor visual amenity. By providing flexibility to redevelop employment sites for other uses such as residential, this policy risks not meeting the requirements of para 23.10 of the NPPF, which states that 'where town centres are in decline, local planning authorities should plan positively for their future to encourage economic |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|--|---|---|--|
|            |   | case-by-case basis   |   | high quality design has the potential for positive effects in relation to crime and anti-social behaviour (SA4) and through appropriate lighting, passive surveillance etc. High quality design could also deliver positive effects in relation to the setting of historic assets (SA7) and townscape character (SA8). In addition it may help to provide opportunities to provide more adequate employment sites with suitable infrastructure, which could meet new business needs and help to ensure that there is a supply of employment for local people (SA6). | Recommendations: The policy should define what is meant by an 'opportunity area'. The policy should clarify whether it applies to all sites of poor visual amenity or only those that are currently in employment use. Any requirement for good design should be extended to cover benefits for biodiversity and the green infrastructure network. This policy should set out how it will determine whether employment land of poor visual amenity is surplus to requirements, particularly in light of the conclusion of the Employment Land Review <sup>10</sup> that "There is no strong case for releasing most existing employment sites and allocations in Shepway". |
| E11        | Managing a lively, safe and social evening/night time economy in the larger town centres which does not detract from the retail offer of town centres or harm residential amenity | A: Encourage the provision of food, drink and entertainment uses where they are appropriately located and would not lead to an undue loss of shopping units and would not cause harm to local residential amenity.  Or | NPPF 23<br>Core Strategy<br>SS4<br>Saved LP: S3-<br>S7                          | Shepway has high unemployment with large numbers of shop vacancies in its towns. Option A encourages the diversification of business in the District's larger town centres where uses would not lead to an undue loss of shopping units and would not   | Encouraging the provision of food, drink and entertainment uses through the implementation of Policy option A has the potential to increase crime and antisocial behaviour in town centres (SA4) and reduce residential amenity if not carefully managed. Increased  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Shepway District Council (2011) Employment Land Review

| Policy No. | Issues             | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--------------------|--|---|---|--|
|            |                    | B: Not to actively promote an expansion of the night time economy and maintain the existing balance of uses            |   | cause harm to local residential amenity. Increasing the provision of hospitality businesses in the town centres is likely to enhance the District as a visitor attraction, generating more jobs (SA6) and improving town centre vibrancy and access to a diverse range of facilities and services (SA3).  Option B would have a negligible effect as it would maintain the existing balance of uses in the town centre. | provision of certain hot food outlets could promote unhealthy lifestyles (SA3).  Recommendation: The PPLP recognises that "good design will be required to design out crime and provide a more inclusive place for people to socialise". This policy should explicitly address this need, for example by:  - Requiring developer contributions to provision of additional late night transport, public toilets, street cleaning, security/policing, good quality street lighting and so on.  - Avoiding concentrations of licensed premises and/or promoting non-alcohol focused uses/activities.  - Indicting the types of issue likely to be subject to planning conditions, such as acoustic insulation of bars and music venues.  - Indicating the types of issues to be addressed by residential design requirements for new dwellings in locations where the night time economy is to be promoted. |
| E12        | Education/training | A: Allocate the East Kent College site for mixed use development that will enhance the educational offer on the campus | NPPF 23, 70,<br>72<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3, SS5,                                | Both policies promote the enhancement of education and training facilities in the District (SA3) which will generate employment opportunities and   | None identified.   |

| Policy No. | Issues                         | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
|            |                                | B: Work with partners such as Kent County Council, skills providers and neighbouring authorities to promote and deliver improved education facilities and increased education opportunities including support for identifying and developing vocational and skills improvement facilities in the borough                                      | CSD6, CSD7  | create a local skilled workforce (SA6). This is particularly important in a District which has an economy built long-term contracting industries, the majority of which are low paid. Improving education and training in the District will increase the pool of highly skilled workers in the District, helping to diversify the District's economic base.  The benefits associated with Policy option A are likely to be focussed on the East Kent College campus, whereas Option B's focus is much broader, including other skills providers and neighbouring authorities. Therefore, Option B has the potential to generate more significant benefits to education facilities and increased education opportunities in the District. |  |
| E13        | Tourism and tourist facilities | A: Supporting proposals for new visitor accommodation provided that:  (i) They are well related to the primary road network and/or have good public transport accessibility;  (ii) Will not create parking congestion in the area they are located; and  (iii) Do not impact upon the character and amenity of neighbouring buildings and the | NPPF 23,28<br>Saved LP: TM2   | Policy options A and B promote the improvement and expansion of tourism facilities (an important industry in the District). Both policies are likely to have a positive effect by attracting more tourists to the District which in turn will generate more employment in the District (SA6) and help support the viability of services and facilities (e.g. public transport, leisure facilities) which serve both residents and visitors (SA3). Inclusion of   | Policy options A and B promote the expansion and improvement of tourism facilities in the District. This is likely to attract more tourists to the area, which could have an adverse effect on the integrity of biodiversity assets that are sensitive to visitor pressure (SA9).  Option D does not promote the safeguarding, expansion or improvement of tourism facilities in the District, which could have an adverse effect on the |

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects                     |
|------------|--------|--|---|---|---|
|            |        | surrounding area.  And/or  B: Encouraging extensions and improvements to existing visitor accommodation subject to other development management policies.  And/or  C: Where a loss of visitor accommodation is proposed within the district it will need to be demonstrated that:  (i) The existing use is no longer viable or feasible. It will also need to be demonstrated that other visitor accommodation types are not feasible or viable at the site;  (ii) The proposal provides an alternative use that meets the strategic needs of the Core Strategy Local Plan;  (iii) The new use does not impact upon the character or amenity of the area and neighbouring uses or adversely impact upon the transport network.  Or  D: Consider proposals for redevelopment or change of use of existing visitor accommodation on a site by site basis but without the presumption that the existing use should be retained. |   | criteria within this policy or as other development management policies to ensure accessibility will help to mitigate potential negative impacts in relation to traffic or parking congestion (SA10), local amenity (SA3) and the character of the surrounding area (SA8).  Policy option C outlines the exceptional circumstances in which the loss of existing visitor accommodation will be permitted. This option is likely to protect viable tourism facilities whilst opening-up opportunities for failing business to be reinvented into viable economic concerns (SA3 and SA6).  Policy option D permits the redevelopment or change of use of existing visitor accommodation without the presumption that the existing use should be retained. This is likely to generate greater opportunities for developers to propose and develop alternative land uses in the District, with potential positive effects on housing provision (SA5), other employment uses (SA6) and the efficient use of land (SA11).  Both policy options C and D offer a degree of flexibility which supports the | sustainability of the tourism industry in the District (SA6). |

| Policy No. | Issues                    | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies         | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|---------------------------|--|---|---|---|
|            |                           |  |   | economy. This would help to support growth in higher skilled, higher paid sectors than tourism, with positive effects on the economy (SA6). The ability to convert visitor accommodation that is no longer needed would also support improvement to the vibrancy (SA3) and character (SA8) of Shepway's towns.  |   |
| E14        | Caravan and camping sites | A. Support the upgrade, expansion of existing touring caravan and camping sites and the provision of new touring caravanning in sustainable locations where specific criteria are met including there being no harm to the character of the countryside and the undeveloped coast, the amenity of nearby residential property and there being no risk from flooding.  Or  B. That other than small enhancements and additions there be a presumption against the expansion and development of additional touring camping and caravanning sites.  And/ or  C. That there is a presumption against the provision and expansion of static caravan and holiday chalet sites. | NPPF 28,100,<br>103, 106<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3, CSD3<br>Saved LP: TM3,<br>TM4 and TM5 | All policy options attempt to prevent harm to the character of the countryside and the undeveloped coast (SA8), the amenity of nearby residential property (SA3) and to manage flood risk (SA1), all of which are essential to maintaining the District's economic role as a visitor attraction (SA6).  Policy options A, D, E and F promote the expansion of existing touring caravan and camping sites and the provision of new touring caravanning in sustainable locations where specific criteria are met. Both policies are likely to have a positive effect by attracting more tourists to the District which in turn will generate more employment in the District (SA3 and SA6). | Policy options A, D, E and F promote the expansion and improvement of caravan and camping sites in the District, with the potential for negative effects on the setting of heritage assets (SA7) and landscapes (SA8). They would also be likely to attract more tourists to the area, which could have a negative effect on the integrity of biodiversity assets that are sensitive to visitor pressure (SA9).  Conversely, Policy options B and C have a presumption against the provision and expansion of static caravan and holiday chalet sites which could have an adverse effect on the sustainability of the tourism industry in the District (SA6). |

| Policy No. | Issues                                     | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|--|---|---|--|---|
|            |  | caravans and chalet uses be supported in sustainable locations that meet specific criteria relating to location, transport, flood risk and environmental impact.  |   |  |   |
|            |  | And/or  |   |  |   |
|            |  | E. That existing static caravan and chalet parks be permitted to open all year around subject to safeguards relating to flood risk, prevention of residential uses establishing and suitable on site management arrangements being in place.        |   |  |   |
|            |  | And/or  |   |  |   |
|            |  | F. Planning permission will be granted for development designed to enhance facilities within existing caravan sites, including accommodation and the replacement of static caravans by chalets, provided that the above criteria is adhered to and: |   |  |   |
|            |  | (a) It would not be visually intrusive and;   |   |  |   |
|            |  | (b) It would not unacceptably affect the living conditions of nearby residents  |   |  |   |
|            |  | (c) there being no risk from flooding   |   |  |   |
|            |  | Comm  | unity   |  |   |
| C1         | To safeguard existing community facilities | A: The Council will ensure the provision of a network of community facilities, providing essential public services  | NPPF para 70<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3, SS5,<br>CSD3, CSD8,                       | The District has a number of deficiencies in local services and deficiencies, particularly in rural areas. Both policy options | Both policy options safeguard<br>existing community facilities in<br>the District, which is likely to<br>restrict the stock of existing |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies                      | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|--|--|--|---|---|
|            |  | throughout the district by protecting existing community sites that still serve, or have the ability to serve, the needs of the community.  And/or  B: The Council will only permit the loss of existing community facilities where:  i. It can be demonstrated that there is no need for the existing premises or land for a community use and that it no longer has the ability or flexibility to serve the needs of the community;  ii. The existing use is located on the ground floor within a Main Retail Frontage, a Secondary Retail Frontage, a Shopping Parade or other major commercial frontage; or  iii. Community facilities of equivalent floor space or benefit (either on site or off site as part of a comprehensive redevelopment) that meets the current or future needs are provided. | CSD9 Saved LP: SC4, SC7  | safeguard existing community facilities in the District, retaining access to local facilities, services and environmental assets (SA3), including open spaces (SA14) in the District.   | buildings that can be converted for residential (SA5) and employment development (SA6).  Comparing the two policy options, Policy B is likely to have a less significant adverse effect as it provides useful criteria outlining the exceptional circumstances in which existing community facilities can be converted or demolished. |
| C2         | The provision of upgraded community and formal recreation facilities | A: Allocate land in the plan for the provision of new facilities based on assessed needs  And/or  B: Allow a flexible approach to delivering new and improved community and formal recreational uses which may include the need to build on part   | NPPF para 70,<br>72, 73, 74<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3 CSD3<br>CSD8 CSD9<br>Saved LP: LR9,<br>BE13, HO2 | All three policy options make provision for the upgrading and development of new community and formal recreation facilities (SA3 and SA14). Such improvements are likely to result in indirect benefits for the District, helping promote healthier lifestyles (SA3) and to reduce crime (SA4). Improving | The allocation of land for new facilities based on assessed needs in policy option A is more likely to result in the allocation of greenfield land than options B and C which make provision for the regeneration of previously developed land. Therefore, option A has the potential to have a negative effect on                    |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|---|---|--|--|
|            |   | of an area of existing open space in order to provide better quality facilities and bring about environmental improvements and regeneration.  And/or  C: Allow more flexible use of vacant retail units for other business uses, community facilities or residential use  |   | healthier lifestyles is particularly important in Shepway with its general lack of health facilities in the rural areas and a high proportion of individuals with limiting long-term illnesses.  The allocation of land for new facilities in policy option A and the provision for the more flexible use of vacant properties for other business uses, community facilities are likely to create more jobs in the District (SA6).  Policy option B's provision for development on existing open spaces where this provides better quality facilities has the potential for positive effects in relation to access to community and recreation facilities (SA3) and townscape (SA8). | efficient use of land (SA11).  Recommendation: It is suggested that the elements of option C relating to conversion of vacant retail units to business or residential use be moved to another policy to ensure that this policy remains focused on community/recreation provision. |
| C3         | Providing open space, informal recreation provision and other green infrastructure to meet the current and future needs of the District addressing deficiencies and taking into account planned development | A: Allocate new sites for open space and informal recreation facilities in accordance with the proposals set out in open spaces: sports and recreation report 2011 and the emerging play strategy.  Or  B: As above but the emphasis being to provide new open space and informal recreation facilities as part of the redevelopment of larger sites. | NPPF para 73 Core Strategy CSD4, SS6, SS7, Saved LP: LR9                        | Both policy options make provision for new sites for new open space and informal recreation facilities (SA3 and SA14). Such improvements are likely to result in indirect benefits for the District, helping promote healthier lifestyles (SA3), reduce crime (SA4) and offer the potential for biodiversity enhancement (SA9). Supporting healthier lifestyles is particularly important in Shepway with its general lack of health facilities in the rural areas and a high  | The allocation of new sites for new open space and informal recreation facilities is more likely to result in the allocation of greenfield land, which has the potential to have an adverse effect on efficient use of land relative to regeneration of brownfield sites (SA11).   |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| C 4        | Creating a balance between permitting appropriate use of the countryside for recreation and protecting natural resources and the character of the rural areas | A: Develop criteria based policies for equestrian development and other recreational activities that are sustainable and appropriate to a rural location to ensure they respect the character of the countryside, based on the Kent Downs AONB good practice guide Or  B: Rely upon generic design policies to assess such proposals | NPPF para 17,<br>114, 115<br>Core Strategy<br>CSD3, CSD4<br>Saved LP:<br>CO22   | proportion of individuals with limiting long-term illnesses.  Policy A is informed by the Open Spaces: Sports and Recreation Report 2011 and the emerging play strategy, both of which identify the areas of greatest need for open spaces and informal recreation facilities. Relative to option B which focusses on major developments, Policy A is likely to maximise the benefits of new open space and informal recreation facilities.  Supporting healthier lifestyles is particularly important in Shepway with its general lack of health facilities in the rural areas and a high proportion of individuals with limiting long-term illnesses.  Policy option A's criteria-based approach is likely to promote the development of appropriate recreation uses in the countryside (SA3 and SA14) while safeguarding the special character of the countryside (SA7, SA8 and SA9). By encouraging the creation of new recreational uses in the countryside, Policy A is likely to have indirect benefits for promoting healthier lifestyles in the District (SA3) and generating new sources of | None identified.                          |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies    | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|---|--|--|---|---|
|            |   |  |  | employment (SA6).  Policy option B would have a negligible effect relative to the SA baseline as it relies on existing planning policy.   |   |
| C5         | Rural services and creating a balance between protecting the countryside and supporting the rural economy | A: Develop criteria based policies for the re-use and adaptation of rural buildings and other development associated with small scale business uses in the countryside to ensure they respect the character of the Countryside and in particular the AONB  Or  B: Rely upon generic design policies to assess such proposals | NPPF para 28 Core Strategy CSD3 Saved LP: CO19                                     | Policy option A's criteria-based approach is likely to promote the development of appropriate small scale business uses in the countryside, improving access to services (SA3) and supporting the rural economy (SA6) while safeguarding the special character of the countryside and in particular the AONB (SA7, SA8 and SA9). Improving the provision of local services and facilities is particularly important in the District's rural areas where the population is largely made-up of older people who may find it more difficult to travel to access these services.  Policy option B is likely to have a negligible effect as it relies on existing planning policy. | None identified.  |
| C6         | Providing enhancements to existing open spaces and formal and informal recreation facilities              | A: Require developer or Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)contributions for new provision/enhancements to nearby open space and recreation facilities to meet the needs of all new residential development And/or B: Require where practicable major new development to   | NPPF para 70,<br>73<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3, SS5,<br>CSD4<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>LR9 | The District has a deficiency in good quality open space, particularly Parks. Furthermore, in recent years, some of the District's open space has been lost to make way for new housing development. Supporting healthier lifestyles is particularly important in Shepway with its general lack of health facilities in the rural   | Site-focussed policy options B and C do not facilitate investment in District-wide green infrastructure schemes. This may reduce the Council's ability to tackle deficiencies in larger scale open spaces (SA14) or improve the connectivity of biodiversity networks (SA9. |

| Policy No. | Issues            | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
|            |                   | improve the quality of existing open spaces and recreation facilities in the local vicinity  Or  C. Require major development to provide on-site open space provision based on the Fields in Trust Benchmark Standard   |   | areas and a high proportion of individuals with limiting long-term illnesses. All three policy options are likely to result in local investment for the provision of new and upgrading of open spaces and formal and informal recreation facilities (SA3 and SA14). Such improvements are likely to result in indirect benefits for the District, helping promote healthier lifestyles (SA3) and to reduce crime (SA4).  By allowing contributions to be pooled for green infrastructure, option A will enable the Council to address larger scale deficiencies and plan green infrastructure improvements strategically, maximising the above benefits for the District's communities. |   |
| C7         | Local Green Space | A. Planning permission will only be granted for development proposals on designated Local Green Space that protect its openness, permanence and special quality.  The Council will support designation of Local Green Space through Neighbourhood Plans where the space has a special character and significance to the local community by virtue of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value or wildlife value. | NPPF para 76,<br>77<br>Core Strategy<br>CSD4                                    | The District has a deficiency in good quality open space, particularly Parks. Furthermore, in recent years, some of the District's open space has been lost to make way for new housing development.  Both policy options are likely to have a negligible effect as they reiterate national planning policy requirements.   | Both policy options are likely to have a negligible effect as they reiterate national planning policy requirements. |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| C8         | Protection and enhancement of Public Rights of Way (PRoW). Create a network to link up open spaces and provide an improved network of pedestrian and cycle routes | Or  B. The Council will protect and safeguard the extent of the district's Local Green Spaces as designated on the Policies Map by applying the same level of protection afforded to Metropolitan Green Belt in national planning policy to Local Green Spaces in the District.  A. Specifically allocate land to create a network of pedestrian routes, cycleways and bridleways between residential areas and main destinations, links between urban areas and the countryside and routes through the countryside in conjunction with the Green Infrastructure Strategy And/Or  B: Require developers on a case by case basis to link up new residential developments with the footpath / cycleway / bridleway network | NPPF para 75 Core Strategy CSD4 Saved LP: LR8                                   | Both policy options are likely to promote the protection and enhancement of the existing PRoW network which is likely to benefit the District's ambitions to promote healthier lifestyles and improve local connectivity (SA3 and SA14), promote sustainable modes of transport (SA10) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions from road traffic (SA2).  Option A, in conjunction with the Green Infrastructure Strategy, is likely to create more opportunities to tackle strategic issues and deliver greater enhancement of the PRoW network than Policy B, which operates on an application-by-application basis. | Policy option B's requirement for developers to connect new residential schemes to the existing PRoW network has the potential to make it harder to develop new residential schemes in the District with potentially adverse effects on housing provision (SA5). |
| C9         | Provision of new community facilities in Hythe  | A. That development will be permitted on land at Princes Parade for a hub of new community uses including a leisure centre with swimming pool, a relocated Seabrook elementary   | NPPF para 70<br>Core Strategy<br>CSD3, CSD7<br>Saved LP: TM8                    | All three policy options will generate new community facilities, including open space, in Hythe (SA3 and SA14) with indirect benefits for generating employment (SA6), reducing crime (SA4) and reducing the  | All three policy options represent major allocations on the edge of Hythe. Despite measures outlined to safeguard the existing landscapes surrounding Hythe (SA8) and local heritage assets (SA7), the scale of the  |

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|--------|--|---|--|---|
|            |        | school, and a canal side park.  Planning permission will be subject to the following requirements being met: -  i. Any housing development being limited to that which is demonstrated to be necessary to providing sustainable community uses;  ii. The decontamination of the land;  iii. High quality design of buildings and landscaping that reflects the site's unique seafront location;  iv. Development preserving and where possible enhancing the setting of the Royal Military Canal and other heritage assets;  v. Provision of sustainable transport to and from the site.  Or  B. Development will be permitted on suitable individual sites in and adjoining Hythe for essential new community uses and in a particular leisure centre with swimming pool and a relocated Seabrook elementary school.  Planning permission will be subject to the following requirements being met: -  i. The site is in a sustainable location with good access from a range of travel modes; |   | need to travel (SA10). All three policy options have regard for safeguarding the open character of the countryside surrounding Hythe (SA8) and protecting local heritage assets (SA7).  Policy option A promotes the development of a canal side park which is likely to generate more significant contributions to the Council's ambitions to create new community facilities and open spaces (SA3 and SA14). Furthermore, option A promotes housing development necessary for providing sustainable community uses (SA5) and land decontamination (SA11).  Policy option C makes provision for a more modest development at Princes Parade. This is likely to generate less significant new community facilities in Hythe (SA3 and SA14) with indirect benefits for generating employment (SA6), reducing crime (SA4) and promoting sustainable travel (SA10). | development has the potential for some adverse effects in relation to these issues. Furthermore, no mitigation measures have been made for biodiversity loss (SA9).  As option C makes provision for a more modest development at Princes Parade, the associated adverse effects of development on the edge of Hythe are likely to be less significant.  Recommendations:  Provide links in the PPLP to evidence of the need for new community facilities in Hythe.  Consider biodiversity enhancement as a requirement of planning permission for new community facilities in Hythe. |

| Policy No. | Issues            | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|-------------------|--|---|---|---|
|            |                   | ii. The development would not<br>have an adverse impact on the<br>amenity of nearby residential<br>properties;   |   |   |   |
|            |                   | iii. High quality design of buildings and landscaping are included;  |   |   |   |
|            |                   | iv. There would be no adverse incursion of the development into the open countryside;  |   |   |   |
|            |                   | v. There is no adverse impact on acknowledged heritage assets;   |   |   |   |
|            |                   | vi. The site is sequentially acceptable having regard to flood risk.   |   |   |   |
|            |                   | And/or   |   |   |   |
|            |                   | C. Planning permission will only be granted on Land at Princes Parade for minor development that is related to low key leisure uses associated with the enjoyment of the adjoining coastline and canal and which preserves the predominantly open character of the site. |   |   |   |
|            |                   | Trans  | sport   |   |   |
| T1         | Parking Standards | A: Rely on adopted Kent County<br>Council parking standards (IGN3),<br>supported by national guidance,<br>Kent Design and Shepway<br>Transport Strategy  | NPPF para 39,<br>40<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3, SS5                                | The District has a high dependency on the private car. Whilst air quality is generally not an issue, this nevertheless has negative effects in relation | None identified.                          |
|            |                   | And/or   | Saved LP:<br>TR12, TR14,  | to traffic congestion and greenhouse gas emissions.   |   |
|            |                   | B: Produce new Shepway adopted<br>parking standards based on local<br>circumstances which also include<br>criteria for the design and layout   | CO16  | Policy option A relies on existing planning policy and would therefore have a   |   |

| Policy No. | Issues      | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|-------------|--|---|---|---|
|            |             | of parking spaces (including garages) in new developments  And  C: Adopted parking standards may be varied where: -  i) the location is well served by public transport and there would be no adverse effect on road safety or traffic management;  ii) this would allow development which would preserve or enhance the character or appearance of a conservation area, or assist the re-use of a building of architectural or historic interest which is a recognised heritage asset.  iii) Measures are included in the development or a commuted sum payment section 106 contribution is made for improvements to or measures to assist encourage the use of public transport, cycling or walking.  And/or  D: In Folkestone Town Centre and Hythe Town Centre, new leisure, retail, office or commercial development should provide essential operational parking only on site. |   | negligible effect relative to the SA baseline.  Policy options B, C and D are likely to have a positive effect on transport (SA10) in the District by tailoring parking requirements to local needs.  Policy options C and D go further than B in that they are more prescriptive, identifying specific areas or circumstances where parking and congestion are important issues and seeking to restrict the use of the private car in favour of more sustainable modes.  Therefore, policy options C and D are likely to have a more positive effect on sustainable transport (SA10), with indirect benefits for the District's ability to mitigate the effects of climate change (SA2). |   |
| T2         | Site Layout | In assessing the layout of new residential development and mixed use schemes that include residential development priority   | NPPF para 35,<br>36, 38<br>Core Strategy<br>SS3, SS5                            | The District has a high dependency on the private car. All six policy options are likely to have a positive effect on   | Policy B makes provision for private vehicles in areas with poor access to public transport and Policy D reduces permeability for sites that need |

| Policy No. | Issues                | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|
|            |                       | should be given to -  A. Non car based modes of transport in the site layout  OR  B. Maximising the provision of onsite and off-site parking, including visitor parking in those areas with poor access to public transport.  AND  C. Maximising permeability and enhancing pedestrian and cycle access;  OR  D. Reducing permeability in site layouts where needed to meet secure by design principles;  AND  E. Provision of home zones and other pedestrian priority roads and spaces;  OR  F. Designing for a clear separation between vehicle movements and pedestrian and cycle movements. | Saved LP: BE1,<br>TR5, TR6, TR12  | improving transport links and accessibility in the District (SA10).  Policy options A, C, E and F are likely to promote travel by sustainable modes (SA10) with indirect positive effects on climate change mitigation (SA2) and healthier lifestyles (SA3).  Policy D is likely to have a positive effect on crime reduction through the promotion of secure by design principles (SA4). | to meet secure by design principles. Both policy options have the potential to have an adverse effect on the adoption of alternative modes of transport to the private car (SA10), with indirect adverse effects on climate change mitigation (SA2) and the promotion of healthier lifestyles (SA3). |
| ТЗ         | Sustainable Transport | A. All developments of over 10 dwellings or over 1,000 sq. metres gross of commercial floorspace shall incorporate facilities for charging plug-in and other ultralow emission vehicles, unless the applicant demonstrates that this is not feasible on site.  | NPPF para 35,<br>38<br>Core<br>Strategy SS3,<br>SS5<br>Saved LP: TR5,<br>TR13   | The District has a high dependency on the private car and below average bus use.  All three policy options are likely to have a positive effect on the promotion of sustainable transport alternatives (SA10) which has indirect benefits for the District's ability to mitigate  | All three policy options go beyond existing national planning policy, thereby increasing the financial burden on developers. This could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their                 |

| Policy No. | Issues                                   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|--|--|---|--|---|
|            |  | B. All applications of over 10 dwellings or over 1,000 sq. metres gross of commercial floorspace shall include a travel plan demonstrating how the development will achieve the objectives of sustainable development, unless it is demonstrated that there are extenuating circumstances that justify such a plan not being required.  OR  C. All applications of over 10 dwellings or over 1,000 sq. metres gross of commercial floorspace shall include disabled parking spaces, cycle parking and motor cycle parking in a publically accessible locations within the development. |   | the effects of climate change (SA2) and promote healthier lifestyles (SA3).  Policy options A and C are focused on particular modes of sustainable travel (plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles or bicycles, respectively). In contrast, option B requires the preparation of a travel plan to achieve the broader requirements of sustainable development and may therefore have a more significant positive effects of the types described above. | associated SA objectives.   |
| T4         | Highway Safety and<br>Highway Congestion | A. Proposals which involve the formation of a new access, or would result in the intensification of the use of an existing access, will only be permitted where: - i) the access is not detrimental to the safety of vehicle traffic, cyclists and pedestrians or ii) the access can alternatively be improved to a standard acceptable to the Highway  Authority or iii) the applicant can demonstrate by means of a transport impact   | NPPF para<br>32,34, 35<br>Core<br>Strategy SS3,<br>SS5<br>Saved LP: TR11        | The District has a high dependency on the private car.  Policy A is more prescriptive than Policy B; however both are likely to have a positive effect on the promotion of highway safety and the reduction of highway congestion in the District (SA10).  | Both policy options go beyond existing national planning policy, increasing the financial burden on developers which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives. |

| Policy No. | Issues                                       | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies                    | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|--|--|---|--|
|            |  | study that the proposal would not increase the risk of accidents or create delays.  And  B:  Development that would lead to significant increased traffic congestion on the existing road network will not be permitted unless suitable mitigation measures are included within the application and funded by the developer.   |  |   |  |
| T5         | Traffic Management and New Transport Schemes | A: All major residential, commercial and mixed use development should:  i) promote measures to increase the use of public transport, cycling and walking;  ii) demonstrate a positive impact on sustainable travel;  iii) not have a detrimental impact on highway safety for pedestrians, cyclists, public transport users and private vehicles users.  And/or  B:  All strategic level development (development that has travel implications beyond its local environment) should:  i) provides direct investment that improves public transport, cycling and walking between the site and | NPPF para 30,<br>32,34, 35<br>Core<br>Strategy SS3,<br>SS5<br>Saved LP: TR2,<br>TR6, TR12,<br>TR13 | The District has a high dependency on the private car.  Both policy options are likely to have a positive effect on the promotion of sustainable transport alternatives (SA10) which has indirect benefits for the District's ability to mitigate the effects of climate change (SA2) and promote healthier lifestyles (SA3). | Both policy options go beyond existing planning policy, increasing the financial burden on developers which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives. Option B focusses on 'strategic level' development (development that has travel implications beyond its local environment) rather than all major development, which would suggest that fewer schemes would be effected by the policies' requirements. Thus, option B is likely to have a less significant adverse effect. |

| Policy No. | Issues                        | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
|            |                               | town centre;  ii) results in increased opportunities for sustainable travel including provision in the layout to allow penetration by buses;  iii) has a positive impact on highway safety for pedestrians, cyclists, public transport users and private vehicles users.   |   |   |   |
| T6         | London Ashford (Lydd) Airport | A. Carry forward policy TR15 which states that the District Planning Authority will permit proposals for the expansion of facilities at Lydd Airport directly related to the commercial and recreational flying use provided there would be no significant impact upon the internationally important wildlife communities in the Lydd/Dungeness area. Regard will also be given to the likely effect of proposals on other special features in the area, particularly the power station.  Or  B. Develop a new policy for the airport but which takes account of the planning permission for the extended runway and new terminal buildings. | NPPF para 31,<br>33<br>Core<br>Strategy SS3,<br>SS5<br>Saved LP: TR14           | Lydd Airport has planning permission for an extended runway and new terminal building.  Both policy options promote Lydd Airport as a local and regional transport hub, with positive effects on the District's transport network (SA10), access to facilities and services (SA3) and employment opportunities (SA6).  Option A proposes the retention of a saved policy; option B proposes the creation of a new policy that considers the implications of the recent planning permission for the extended runway and new terminal buildings. It is likely that a new policy will provide more opportunity to capitalise on the latest developments at Lydd Airport, with more significant positive effects. | Both policy options promote the expansion of the airport and are likely to have adverse effects on the District's ability to mitigate and adapt to the effects of climate change (SA2) as well as increasing noise levels experienced by local communities (SA3, SA5).  Recommendation: If policy option B is pursued it should safeguard the internationally important wildlife communities in the Lydd/Dungeness area, have regard to the likely effect of proposals on other special features in the area and address the potential effects of increased noise on residential amenity, otherwise there is potential for adverse effects on the District's ecological assets (SA9), landscapes and townscapes (SA8) and the amenity of residents (SA3, SA5) and businesses (SA6). |
| Т7         | Lorry Parking                 | A. Proposals for a strategic lorry parking facility will only be   | NPPF para 31,   | Both policy options restrict the location and scale of lorry  | Policy option A is targeted at<br>'strategic lorry parking facilities'  |

| Policy No. | Issues                                       | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|--|--|---|---|---|
|            |  | approved where it can demonstrate it has:  i) good access to the M20  ii) no impact on the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or other local landscape designations or natural or ecological features  iii) no impact on villages or small settlements in the vicinity  OR  B. Proposals for small lorry parking facilities will only be approved where it can be demonstrated that they have:  i) provide good access to the M20  ii) have no impact on the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or other local landscape designations or natural or ecological features  iii) have no impact on villages or small settlements in the vicinity; and | Core Strategy<br>SS5<br>Saved LP: TR9,<br>TR10                                  | parking facilities in the District with the potential to reduce congestion on the District's strategic and minor road network (SA10), safeguard the special qualities of the AONB and countryside (SA8 and SA9) and the amenity of small settlements (SA5 and SA8). | assumed to be of a significant scale. Option B is targeted at 'small lorry parking facilities'. Restrictions on the scale of lorry parking facilities have the potential to have an adverse effect on employment in the District (SA6). |
|            |  | iv) provide choice and flexibility  Natural Env  | vironment   |   |   |
| NE1        | To enhance access to the natural environment | To enhance access to the A: To target opportunities for  | Core Strategy<br>CSD4   | Both policy options are likely to have a positive effect in improving accessibility to the  | Both policy options have the potential to put the biodiversity at risk where habitats and   |
|            |  | currently poor Or  | Saved LP: SD1   | natural environment and their open spaces (SA3 and SA14).   | species are sensitive to visitor pressure (SA9).  |
|            |  | B: To focus on a more general approach of improving access to  |   | Policy A is likely to have a more positive effect on improving access to environmental assets in the District's urban areas   | Recommendation: Have regard in this policy to the need to avoid negative effects on biodiversity assets that are sensitive to   |

| Policy No. | Issues                                 | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|--|---|---|--|
|            |  | key open spaces from all areas.  |   | (SA3), with indirect positive contributions to improving health and wellbeing (SA3) and reducing crime (SA4).  Policy B is likely to generate a greater range of benefits to strategic issues, such as climate change adaptation and mitigation (SA2) and flood resilience (SA1), at a more limited number of open spaces.  | visitor pressure.  |
| NE2        | To provide for biodiversity offsetting | Where major development proposals result in biodiversity loss, identify areas on site or off site for biodiversity offsetting on a case by case basis.  Or  Identify in the local plan boundaries for GI corridors which would be supported by developer contributions or CIL? | Core Strategy<br>CSD4<br>Saved LP: CO1  | Both policy options are likely to play a positive role in enhancing biodiversity in the District (SA9). Investment in green infrastructure can also result in indirect benefits to other strategic issues, such as climate change adaptation and flood resilience (SA1 and SA2), crime prevention (SA4), landscape and townscape improvements (SA8), improved access to environmental assets/open spaces (SA3 and SA14) and the promotion and provision of sustainable transport routes (SA10).  The second policy option has a greater potential to conserve and enhance biodiversity (SA9) by focussing investment in the areas where it is likely to have the greatest positive effect: GI corridors. Furthermore, establishing a link between biodiversity offsetting and CIL communicates more clearly the mechanism through which | As the catalyst for biodiversity offsetting is biodiversity loss, there is an inevitable risk of adverse effects on local biodiversity (SA9).  Recommendations:  It will be important to ensure that measures taken to offset loss significantly improve the condition and diversity of the wider habitat resource.  Target biodiversity enhancement to the Biodiversity Opportunity Areas to address targets in the Kent Biodiversity Action Plan and support the establishment/enhancement of landscape scale ecological networks, thereby maximising biodiversity benefits. |

| Issues   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies  | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|--|--|--|--|---|
|  |  |  | funds will be raised.  |   |
| Protecting the District's landscapes and countryside   | A: Commission a landscape appraisal that identifies areas of countryside in addition to the AONB that make an important contribution at a local level to the natural beauty of the district and develop policies to ensure that they continue to contribute to the character of the area, protect the natural environment and are not adversely impacted by new development.  And/or  B: Develop a criteria based policy, in addition to Core Strategy and national policy, to protect and manage the AONB, including views into and out of it, in line with the AONB Management Plan.  And/or  C: Develop policies to protect the high quality areas of landscape | Core Strategy<br>SS1, CSD4<br>Saved LP: CO1,<br>CO4, CO5   | All three policy options are likely to have a positive effect on improving the quality and character of the District's landscapes (SA8). The protection of the countryside is likely to have indirect benefits for other strategic issues, such as biodiversity enhancement (SA9).  Policy option A will result in creation of a robust evidenced-based policy that considers the landscape value of the entire District rather than just the AONB in Policy B and high quality areas that abut the AONB in Policy C and is therefore likely to result maximise the positive effect on the District's landscapes (SA8).  | Policy options B and C focus on the landscapes and countryside within and immediately adjacent to the AONB. Therefore, these policy options are likely to neglect other areas of the District with high quality landscapes and countryside (SA8).   |
|  |  |  |  |   |
| Achieving a balance<br>between accommodating<br>new growth and ensuring<br>the protection of important<br>habitats and species that<br>contribute to the<br>biodiversity of the District | protect, manage and enhance important habitats and species that are not already subject to Core Strategy policy and national planning guidance.  And/or  B: Promote additional sites of biodiversity value to ensure that they are protected and sensitively   | Core Strategy<br>SS1,CSD4<br>Saved LP:<br>CO11   | the protection and/or designation of additional areas of local conservation value to those already designated in National Planning Policy and the Core Strategy. This would likely result in the safeguarding of larger areas of habitat within the District (SA9).  Policy C would concentrate  | Policy options A and B are likely to result in the safeguarding of larger areas of habitat within the District making it harder to develop new residential (SA5), employment (SA6) and infrastructure schemes (SA10) in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.  Recommendation: Target biodiversity enhancement to the  |
|  | Achieving a balance between accommodating new growth and ensuring the protection of important habitats and species that contribute to the  | Protecting the District's landscapes and countryside appraisal that identifies areas of countryside in addition to the AONB that make an important contribution at a local level to the natural beauty of the district and develop policies to ensure that they continue to contribute to the character of the area, protect the natural environment and are not adversely impacted by new development.  And/or  B: Develop a criteria based policy, in addition to Core Strategy and national policy, to protect and manage the AONB, including views into and out of it, in line with the AONB Management Plan.  And/or  C: Develop policies to protect the high quality areas of landscape that abut the AONB.  Achieving a balance between accommodating new growth and ensuring the protection of important habitats and species that contribute to the biodiversity of the District  A: Commission a landscape appraisal that identifies areas of countryside in addition to the AONB that areas of inverted the natural beauty of the district and develop policies to protect the high quality areas of landscape that abut the AONB.  A: Develop additional policies to protect, manage and enhance important habitats and species that are not already subject to Core Strategy policy and national planning guidance.  And/or  B: Promote additional sites of biodiversity value to ensure that | Protecting the District's landscapes and countryside appraisal that identifies areas of countryside in addition to the AONB that make an important contribution at a local level to the natural beauty of the district and develop policies to ensure that they continue to contribute to the character of the area, protect the natural environment and are not adversely impacted by new development.  And/or  B: Develop a criteria based policy, in addition to Core Strategy and national policy, to protect and manage the AONB including views into and out of it, in line with the AONB Management Plan.  And/or  C: Develop policies to protect the high quality areas of landscape that abut the AONB.  Achieving a balance between accommodating new growth and ensuring the protection of important habitats and species that contribute to the biodiversity of the District  And/or  B: Promote additional sites of biodiversity value to ensure that they are protected and sensitively managed, and where appropriate | Protecting the District's landscapes and countryside landscapes and countryside in addition to the AONB that make an important contribution at a local level to the natural evaluroment and are not adversely impacted by new development.  And/or  B: Develop a criteria based policy, in addition to Core Strategy and national policy, to protect and manage the AONB. including views into and out of it, in line with the AONB Management Plan.  And/or  C: Develop policies to protect the high quality areas of landscape that abut the AONB and abute to the contribute to the core Strategy and national policy, to protect and manage the AONB, including views into and out of it, in line with the AONB Management Plan.  And/or  C: Develop policies to protect the high quality areas of landscape that abut the AONB.  Achieving a balance between accommodating new growth and ensuring the protection of important habitats and species that contribute to the biodiversity value to ensure that they are protected and sensitively managed, and where appropriate where appropriate and the positive videnced biodiversity value to ensure that they are protected and sensitively managed, and where appropriate |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|---|---|--|--|
|            |  | and education.  Or  C: Concentrate on improving existing sites such as Local Nature Reserves.   |   | to contain the most sensitive and valuable species and habitats in the District. Such an approach would maximise the existing ecological value of the District (SA9) and potentially improve public access to well-managed environments.   | address targets in the Kent<br>Biodiversity Action Plan and<br>support the<br>establishment/enhancement of<br>landscape scale ecological<br>networks, thereby maximising<br>biodiversity benefits.   |
| NE5        | Promoting the positive enhancement of biodiversity in the District | A: Require developers to demonstrate how major development will maintain and where possible, positively enhance the biodiversity of the site.  And/or  B: Require landscaping in new developments to use native species that reflect the landscape character of the area.  And/or  C: Where possible require developments to incorporate wildlife corridors / links between habitats.  And/or  D: Require developers to provide an ecological survey at the time of submitting a planning application unless there is clearly no ecological interest on the site. | Core Strategy<br>SS1, CSD4  | All four policy options are likely to have a positive effect on enhancing biodiversity in the District (SA9).  Option A focuses the requirements for local ecological mitigation and enhancement on major developments, which is likely to improve the deliverability of small-medium scale developments in the District, with positive effects for housing (SA5) and employment (SA6). Conversely, Policy D requires all developments to carryout ecological surveys helping to safeguard all habitats and species with ecological value (SA9).  Option B is likely to make a positive contribution to the District's landscapes (SA8) but a more limited contribution to biodiversity (SA9).  Option C has a more strategic focus, requiring developments to improve ecological networks and wildlife corridors. A strategic approach is likely to | Option A limits the ability for local planning policy to manage the cumulative effects of small-medium scape development on local biodiversity, with the potential for some adverse effects on biodiversity (SA9).  Conversely, Option D is likely to hinder the deliverability of small-medium scale housing (SA5) and employment (SA6) developments in the District as it requires developments of all sizes to carry out an ecological survey.  Recommendation: Target biodiversity enhancement to the Biodiversity Opportunity Areas to address targets in the Kent Biodiversity Action Plan and support the establishment/enhancement of landscape scale ecological networks, thereby maximising biodiversity benefits. |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|---|--|---|---|---|
|            |   |  |   | result in greater synergies with other strategic issues, such as climate change adaptation and flood resilience (SA1 and SA2), crime prevention (SA4), landscape and townscape improvements (SA8), improved access to environmental assets/open spaces (SA3 and SA14) and the promotion and provision of sustainable transport routes (SA10).   |   |
| NE6        | Preventing adverse impacts upon / loss of specific features that contribute to biodiversity such as trees and ponds | A: Develop policies to ensure protection of trees, hedgerows, ponds and other features within development sites where of ecological and landscape importance.  | Core Strategy<br>CSD4<br>Saved LP:<br>CO11, CO13                                | By requiring the protection and retention of all features with the potential for ecological or landscape value, the policy is likely to have a positive effect on landscape (SA8) and biodiversity (SA9) in the District.   | The policy is relatively rigid, protecting all trees, hedgerows, ponds and other ecological features on sites with ecological and landscape value. Without a degree of flexibility in the policy, it is likely to have an adverse effect on the deliverability of some housing (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District.  |
| NE7        | Ensuring that increased recreational pressure does not have an adverse impact upon the SAC/SPAs                     | A: Develop policies and allocate land to divert recreation activities away from the SAC by the provision of enhanced facilities elsewhere, for example urban parks.  And/or  B: Manage access to Dungeness SAC/SPAs complex. | Core Strategy<br>CSD4<br>Saved LP:<br>CO11, CO14                                | Both policy options are likely to have a positive effect on enhancing biodiversity in the District (SA9). Policy A proposes the allocation of Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG) to relieve pressure on the District's SAC/SPAs whereas Policy B proposes the active management of the District's international designations. While the former has the potential to alleviate pressure on the SAC/SPA the active management proposed in the latter is likely to more directly | The allocation of additional SANG through the implementation of Policy A would result in the safeguarding of larger areas of land within the District making it harder to develop new residential (SA5), employment (SA6) and infrastructure schemes (SA10) in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.  Recommendation: Provide links in the PPLP to existing evidence in relation to recreational pressure on the District's two European sites and on the most |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|--|---|--|--|
|            |   |  |   | manage the adverse effects associated with recreation pressures.   | appropriate strategy for mitigating such pressure, if relevant. If such evidence is absent, engage with Natural England to explore this issue and, if relevant, to agree an appropriate mitigation strategy.   |
| NE8        | Development and Disturbance of Birds in Dungeness Special Protection Areas and pRamsar site | A: Set out criteria based policy to protect sites of international nature conservation importance. This should take into account the zone of influence around the sites.  Or  B: Rely on national guidance and Core Strategy CSD4. | Core Strategy<br>SS1, CSD4<br>Saved LP:<br>CO14                                 | Policy option A is likely to result in a more significant positive effect on biodiversity (SA9).  Option B relies on the District's Core Strategy and National planning policy and therefore has a negligible effect relative to the SA baseline.                | Option A may result in the safeguarding of areas adjacent to Dungeness SAC/pSPA, making it harder to develop new residential (SA5), employment (SA6) and infrastructure schemes (SA10) in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.  Recommendation: Provide links in the PPLP to existing evidence in relation to recreational pressure on the Dungeness SAC/pSPA and on the most appropriate strategy for mitigating such pressure, if relevant. If such evidence is absent, engage with Natural England to explore this issue and, if relevant, to agree an appropriate mitigation strategy. |
|            |   | The C  |   |  |  |
| CP1        | Integrated Coastal Zone<br>Management   | A: Establish criteria that integrate the aims and objectives of the shoreline plan and marine plans with local plan policies for establishing Coastal Change Management Areas (see CP2 below).  Or                                 | NPPF para 105<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies CSD4,<br>CSD5                        | Policy options will help to reduce the risk of flood from coastal change by avoiding inappropriate development in vulnerable areas (SA1).  Both options may also have indirect positive effects in relation to safeguarding the special qualities of the Shepway | Both policy options are likely to result in the safeguarding of large areas of the District's coastline which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5), employment (SA6) and infrastructure schemes (SA10) in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their  |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies                                 | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|---|---|--|--|
|            |   | B: Define Coastal Change<br>Management Plans in the body of<br>the plan.  |   | Coastline, i.e. its heritage (SA7), landscape/seascape (SA8), biodiversity (SA9), climate change adaptation (SA2), local facilities, services and environmental assets (SA3) and water quality (SA12).  The protection and enhancement of these special qualities is likely to make the District's coastline a more popular place to visit, live and work, with positive effects on employment in the District (SA6).  | associated SA objectives.  |
| CP2        | To designate Coastal Change Management Areas and managed proposed development within those areas. | A. Development proposals for new dwellings or conversion of existing buildings to residential use will not be permitted in the Coastal Change Management Areas (CCMAs) identified on the Policies Map.  And  B. Planning applications for all other types of development within the CCMAs including redevelopment, extensions to existing property and development or intensification of land uses, will be permitted where a Coastal Change Vulnerability Assessment has been produced to demonstrate that there will be no increased risk to life, nor any significant increased risk to property.  And | NPPF para 106,<br>107.108<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies SS1,<br>SS3, SS5,<br>CSD4, CSD5<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>CO6 | All three policy options are likely to have a positive effect on reducing the risk of flooding (SA1) with potential indirect benefits in relation to safeguarding the special qualities of the Shepway Coastline, i.e. its heritage (SA7), landscape/seascape (SA8), biodiversity (SA9), climate change adaptation (SA2), local facilities, services and environmental assets (SA3) and water quality (SA12).  The protection and enhancement of these special qualities is likely to make the District's coastline a more popular place to visit, live and work, with positive effects on employment in the District (SA6). | All three policy options are likely to result in the safeguarding of large areas of the District's coastline which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5), employment (SA6) and infrastructure schemes (SA10) in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives, although the safeguarded areas would subject to high flood risk and therefore unlikely to be suitable for many forms of development in the long term. |

| Policy No. | Issues                                 | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies   | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|--|---|--|--|
|            |  | C. Proposals for new or replacement coastal defence schemes will be permitted where it can be demonstrated that the works are consistent with the management approach for the frontage presented in the relevant Shoreline Management Plan, and there will be no material adverse impact on the environment or that these impacts can be mitigated.  |   |  |  |
| CP3        | Development around the Coast (Quality) | A. Maintaining policies for protecting the undeveloped Folkestone and Dover Heritage Coast.  Or  B. Having no specific policy for the undeveloped Folkestone and Dover Heritage Coast relying instead on generic planning policies.  And/or  C. Maintaining the existing policy for Undeveloped Coast Sites at West Hythe, Dymchurch, St Mary's Bay and Dungeness.  Or  D. Having no specific policy for Undeveloped Coast sites at West Hythe, Dymchurch, St Mary's Bay and Dungeness.  Or  E. Identifying additional areas of Heritage Coast and/or Undeveloped Coast sites. | NPPF para 106,<br>107, 108, 114<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies SS1,<br>SS3,CSD4,<br>CSD5<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>TM5, CO6, LR7 | Policy options A, C and E protect undeveloped areas of the District's Heritage Coast and are likely to have more positive effects on safeguarding the special qualities of the District's coastline, i.e. its heritage (SA7), landscape/seascape (SA8), biodiversity (SA9), coastal flooding resilience (SA1), climate change adaptation (SA2), environmental assets (SA3) and water quality (SA12) than the other options.  A Places and Policies DPD that relies on existing generic planning policies in the Local Plan is likely to have a negligible effect (option B). | Option E that identifies additional areas of Heritage Coast and/or Undeveloped Coast sites (options, and areas for coastal defence work, could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5), employment (SA6) and infrastructure schemes (SA10) in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives. |

| Policy No. | Issues                                    | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|--|---|--|--|
|            |   | Or  F. General criteria that control development along the coast to include safeguarding areas of land to allow for coastal defence work and to prevent storm damage to buildings. May in certain circumstances limit development along the coast.   |   |  |  |
|            |   | Climate  | Change  |  |  |
| CC1        | Carbon emissions/ carbon reduction policy | A: Require the provision of a suite of energy efficiency, water efficiency and sustainable design measures in new housing and commercial developments e.g. use of ground or air source heat pumps, orientation for solar gain, provision of water butts, compost bins and outdoor drying facilities in addition to that required by the Building Regulations.  And/or  B: That the local planning authority rely on CIL contributions to fund local carbon reduction projects where it is not technically feasible to incorporate measures on site prior to the introduction of Allowable Solutions.  Or  C: Rely on the provisions of national guidance and the higher level policies expressed in the Core Strategy and the Building Regulations to ensure development contributes towards | Core Strategy<br>Policy SS3<br>Saved LP: SD1                                    | Policy option C relies on existing national planning policy and Building Regulations would have a negligible effect relative to the baseline (although these national policies are still likely to require all new residential developments of more than 10 homes to be zero carbon from 2016 and smaller developments to meet high standards).  Policy options A and B which go beyond existing national planning policy and building regulations would have a positive effect on improving the District's contribution to climate change mitigation and adaptation (SA2) and water efficiency (SA13). These positive effects relative to the baseline would be temporary since all new homes will have to meet zero carbon (larger developments) or stringent energy standards (smaller developments) from 2016. | Policy options A and B, which go beyond existing national planning policy and Building Regulations, would increase the financial burden on developers, which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5), employment (SA6) and infrastructure schemes (SA10) in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives. |

| Policy No. | Issues                   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|
|            |                          | minimising energy and water<br>usage, and carbon dioxide<br>emissions.   |   | to maximise the community benefits of carbon reduction projects by pooling C IL contributions for investment in larger local carbon reduction schemes, delivering the benefits of economies of scale.   |  |
| CC2        | Wind Turbine Development | Planning permission will be granted for the development of wind turbines, subject to considerations including noise impacts, safety, ecology, interference with electromagnetic transmissions, heritage, shadow flicker, energy output, cumulative landscape and visual impacts, decommissioning.  Extensions and Alterations to Existing Wind Farms  Extensions to existing wind farms will be supported provided that the proposals are in keeping with the character of the existing development and satisfy criteria a) to i) above.  Proposals to re-commission or repower a wind farm will be supported provided that the development meets criteria a) to i) above taking full account of the effects of the extended timescale.  Wind Turbine Development Affecting the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)  There will be a presumption | Core Strategy<br>Policy SS3<br>Saved LP: U14                                    | The policy is likely to encourage the development of Wind Turbines/Farms in the District with positive effects on the District's ability to mitigate the effects of climate change (SA2). It is also likely to have lesser, indirect positive effects on employment in the District (SA6).  The policy's presumption against large-scale wind turbines/farms in the AONB is likely to safeguard the special qualities of the AONB, i.e. its heritage (SA7), landscape/seascape (SA8), and biodiversity (SA9). | Development of wind turbines/farms in the District could have an adverse effect on the landscapes/ townscapes/ seascapes (SA8) as well as the settling of heritage assets (SA7). Furthermore, it has the potential to increase the rates of bird strike in the District, with adverse effects on biodiversity (SA9). |
|            |                          | against large scale wind   |   |   |  |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|---|---|--|--|
|            |  | development in the AONB. Developments involving more than one turbine, or turbines with a hub height of over 25m, will not be permitted.  Small scale wind development within the AONB will be permitted provided that its impacts on the environment are acceptable and its installed capacity is commensurate with the needs of the property or business. Development outside of the AONB which has a substantial impact on interior views within the AONB, or important views of the AONB, will not be permitted.  |   |  |  |
| CC3        | Wind turbines and existing residential development | Wind turbines in connection with existing residential use  A. Wind turbines to provide energy for existing residential dwellings will only be acceptable where:  A single turbine is proposed for an existing dwelling;  The scale of the turbine is not overwhelming in relation to the height of nearby dwellings;  There is no adverse impact on the setting of a listed building, a conservation area or other heritage asset;  The turbine does not cause any adverse impact on the amenity of a nearby dwelling(s) by way of obstructed outlook, noise or | Core Strategy<br>Policy SS3<br>Saved LP: U14                                    | Both policies are likely to have a positive effect in safeguarding the amenity of local residents (SA3, SA5) as well as the District's heritage assets (SA7), landscapes, townscapes and seascapes (SA8) and biodiversity (SA9).  Option B goes one step further than option A by requiring applicants to demonstrate that they have explored all reasonable alternatives for less intrusive forms of renewable energy. The second policy approach is more positive than the first as it promotes alternative low carbon and renewable technologies as opposed to just restricting the use of one. Therefore, option B may have a minor positive | Both policy options restrict the development of wind turbines in connection with residential uses which could have an adverse effect on promoting climate change mitigation in the District (SA2).  Recommendation: Option B should expand on what represents a 'reasonable alternative' renewable energy source, e.g. does this mean that an alternative is only reasonable if it can deliver the same amount of energy for the same installation cost? |

| Policy No. | Issues      | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|-------------|--|---|--|---|
|            |             | flicker;  The turbine does not have any adverse visual impact on the scenic beauty of the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or other sensitive local landscapes;  There are no adverse ecology impacts arising from the development;  The turbine is finished in an appropriate colour to minimise its visual impact;  The turbine is removed when no longer operational.  Or  B. Wind turbines for existing residential uses will only be acceptable where the above criteria are met and additionally the applicant has demonstrated that they have explored all reasonable alternatives for less intrusive forms of renewable energy such as ground source heating. |   | effect on the district's contribution to climate change mitigation (SA2).  |   |
| CC4        | Solar Farms | Solar farms  A. The development of new solar farms or the extension of existing solar farms will only be acceptable where:  The proposed solar farm does not have any adverse visual impact on the scenic beauty of the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding   | Core Strategy<br>Policy SS3<br>Saved LP: U14                                    | Both policy options are likely to have a positive effect in safeguarding the amenity of local residents (SA3, SA5) as well as the District's heritage assets (SA7), landscapes, townscapes and seascapes (SA8) and biodiversity (SA9). However, the second policy goes one step further by | Both policy options restrict the development of solar farms in the District which could have an adverse effect on promoting climate change mitigation (SA2).  However, the second policy goes one step further by preventing solar farms from being constructed on the best and most versatile agricultural land, and |

| Policy No. | Issues                                      | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|            |   | Natural Beauty or other sensitive local landscapes;  The proposed solar farm does not result in the direct loss of amenity to nearby residential properties by virtue of glare or other disturbance;  Any necessary ancillary building works are minimised so as not to adversely impact on the character of the surrounding area;  There are no adverse ecology impacts arising from the development;  A suitable landscaping and screening strategy is included with the application;  The solar panels and supporting frames are finished in an appropriate colour to minimise visual impact;  The solar panels are removed when no longer operational.  Or  B. Solar farms will only be acceptable where the above criteria are met and additionally the solar farm will not result in the loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land. |   | preventing solar farms from being constructed on the best and most versatile agricultural land, thereby safeguarding them (SA12). | therefore has greater potential for negative effects on SA2.  Recommendation: In line with national Planning Practice Guidance, policy criteria should include:  - Consideration of the need to conserve heritage assets.  - The need for and impact of security measures such as lights and fencing.  - Consideration of the energy generating potential of the proposed site. |
| CC5        | Renewable energy/ Off site renewable energy | A. Develop a renewable energy strategy that identifies suitable sites for renewable energy and promotes the development of Combined Heat and Power (CHP)  | Core Strategy<br>Policy SS3<br>Saved LP: U14                                    | Options A and B both have a positive effect on promoting renewable and low carbon energy technologies in the District (SA2).      | Option B requires all large scale residential, commercial and institutional planning applications to assess the potential for CHP solutions. This policy has the  |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|---|---|--|--|
|            |  | networks. Or  B. Establish policy that requires C HP solutions to be assessed for all large scale residential, commercial and institutional planning applications. Or C. Not have a policy relating to C HP.  |   | Option A is more comprehensive, committing to a renewable energy strategy which could identify opportunities and need for renewable and low carbon technologies in the borough. Therefore the significance of its positive effect on the District's contribution to climate change mitigation is likely to be greater. Development of a renewable energy strategy could help to avoid the need to assess every large scale development for its CHP potential, reducing the burden on developers, with positive effects on SA5 and SA6. | potential to make it harder to develop new residential (SA5), employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.   |
| CC6        | Encouraging and promoting sustainable transport measures | A: Require larger schemes to incorporate transport measures such as charging points for electric vehicles and parking space for one or more car club vehicles.  And/or  B: Ensure that the requirements of Travel Plans can be implemented on development sites through, for example allowing sufficient space for cycle parking / storage and easy access for pedestrians. | Core Strategy<br>Policy SS5<br>Saved LP: TR13                                   | Both policy options are likely to have a positive effect on promoting more sustainable and cleaner modes of transport (SA10) with indirect benefits for the District's ability to mitigate the effects of climate change (SA2). Furthermore, investment in public transport measures are likely to result in improved access to local public facilities, services and environmental assets (SA3).  | Both policy options go beyond existing national planning policy and Building Regulations, increasing the financial burden on developers, which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5), employment (SA6) and infrastructure schemes (SA10) in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives. |
| CC7        | Waste/Recycling  | A. Require all planning applications, other than for small extensions or minor development, to make external provision for on-  | Core Strategy<br>Policies SS3<br>SS6<br>Saved LP: U10                           | Both policy options are likely to<br>have a positive effect on<br>promoting more sustainable<br>developments in the District<br>with indirect benefits for the   | Both policy options go beyond<br>existing national planning policy<br>and Building Regulations,<br>increasing the financial burden<br>on developers, which could make  |

| Policy No. | Issues   | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|--|--|---|---|--|
|            |  | site waste and recycling storage. Or B. Allow internal waste and recycling storage.  |   | District's ability to mitigate the effects of climate change (SA2).   | it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.  |
| CC8        | Sustainable design<br>measures for extensions<br>to existing buildings | A. Require planning applications for extensions to dwelling houses and commercial buildings to include sustainable design measures when applicants apply for planning permission, unless the improvements are not viable.  Or  B. To rely on Building Regulations in respect of these matters.   | Core Strategy<br>Policy SS3<br>Saved LP: SD1                                    | The first policy is likely to have a positive effect on promoting more sustainable developments in the District with indirect benefits for the District's ability to mitigate and adapt to the effects of climate change (SA2).  The second policy relies on Building Regulations and is therefore likely to have a negligible effect relative to the SA baseline.                      | The first policy goes beyond existing national planning policy and Building Regulations, increasing the financial burden on developers, which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.   |
| CC9        | Efficient and sustainable water use                                    | A. Introduce a specific policy that limits water use requiring water saving measures in new homes with a per person consumption target lower than 105 litres per day.  Or  B. Introduce a specific policy that limits water use requiring water saving measures in new homes with a per person consumption target lower than 90 litres per day.  Or  C. Have no policy on water saving measures in new homes and rely on the Building Regulations, including possible future | Core Strategy<br>Policies SS3<br>CSD5 CSD9<br>Saved LP: U4                      | Policy options A and B are likely to have a positive effect on improving water efficiency in the District (SA13) and adapting to the effects of climate change (SA2). Option B has a lower consumption target and is therefore likely to have a more positive effect.  Option C relies on Building Regulations and will therefore have a negligible effect relative to the SA baseline. | Policy options A and B go beyond existing national planning policy and building regulations, increasing the financial burden on developers, which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.  Option B has a lower consumption target and is therefore likely to have a more significant adverse effect. |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|--|---|---|--|
|            |   | amendments.  |   |   |  |
|            |   | Health and   | Wellbeing   |   |  |
| HW1        | To consider the effects of hot food takeaways on health and potential planning policy actions   | A: A planning policy to restrict the development of new hot food takeaways within walking distance (e.g. 400 metres) of schools, parks, leisure centres, youth facilities and other similar locations.  Or  B: To limit the overall number of takeaways in a settlement or shopping frontage.  Or  C: Not to control the location of takeaways unless they have an adverse impact on residential amenity or highway safety.  | Core Strategy<br>SS3  | Shepway has a high proportion of people with limiting long term illness.  Options A and B are likely to have a positive effect on encouraging healthier lifestyles in the District (SA3).  Policy C relies largely on existing planning policy would have a negligible effect relative to the SA baseline.  | By restricting/reducing the number of hot food takeaways in the District, policy options A and B have the potential to have an adverse effect on employment in the District (SA6).   |
| HW2        | Development should contribute to addressing the causes of ill-health, improving the health and well-being of the local population and reducing health inequalities. | A: For residential development of 100 or more units and non-residential development in excess of 1,000 sq. m a Health Impact Assessment will be required, which will measure wider impact upon healthy living and the demands that are placed upon health services and facilities arising from the development. Where significant impacts are identified, measures to address the health requirements of the development should be provided and/or secured by planning obligations or planning conditions as appropriate. A Health Impact Assessment for smaller forms of development may also be required | Core Strategy<br>SS3  | The requirement of Health Impact Assessments and the implementation of their recommendations in policy options A and B are likely to have a positive effect on promoting healthier lifestyles and improving access to facilities and services (SA3) including open spaces (SA14).  Option C relies on existing planning policy which will have a negligible effect relative to the SA baseline. | Option A adopts a scale threshold at which Health Impact Assessments are required whereas option B proposes requiring Health Impact Assessments for specific forms of development. Both go beyond existing national planning policy, increasing the financial burden on developers, which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives. |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects   | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|---|--|---|--|---|
|            |   | where the proposal is likely to give rise to a significant impact on health.  Or  B: The above but identify specific forms of development.  Or  C: No requirements for Health Impact Assessments.  |   |  |   |
| HW3        | Development that supports healthy, fulfilling and active lifestyles | A: To increase create and safeguard opportunities for healthy, fulfilling and active lifestyles, and the creation of healthy neighbourhoods in Shepway and to reduce the environmental impact of importing food, development proposals should:  a. Incorporate food growing in the design and layout of buildings and landscaping of all major developments;  b. Not result in the loss of existing allotments; and  c. Not result in the loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 and 3a).  Or  B: A policy that:  a. Prevents the net loss of existing allotments;  b. Requires all homes of 3 bedrooms or more to include garden space that can be used for | Core Strategy<br>SS3<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>TM5, LR3,<br>LR11,                    | Shepway has a high proportion of people with limiting long term illness.  Both policy options are likely to have positive effects on encouraging healthier lifestyles in the District (SA3) and the protection of the Districts best and most versatile land (SA11).  Policy A restricts development on all Grades 1, 2 and 3a agricultural land and requires the incorporation of food growing facilities on all major developments, which is likely to have a greater positive effect than policy B. | Both policy options go beyond existing national planning policy, increasing the financial burden on developers, which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.  Policy A restricts development on all Grades 1, 2 and 3a agricultural land and requires the incorporation of food growing facilities on all major developments, which is likely to have a greater adverse effect than policy B.  Recommendation: Consider adding Grades 1 and 2 agricultural land to policy option 3(c); protection of moderate quality land without protection of excellent and very good quality seems illogical. |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects   |
|------------|---|--|---|---|---|
|            |   | growing food; and c. Which protects the loss of grade 3a agricultural land to new development unless there is a compelling and overriding planning reason to do so.  |   |   |   |
|            |   | Historic En  | vironment   |   |   |
| HE1        | Promoting and reinforcing the special character of designated conservation areas in the District                    | A: Require the design of new development in conservation areas to draw inspiration from local street patterns, building heights, local architectural styles and prevalent materials while remaining distinctive in its own right. Proposed development should take account of approved Conservation Area Appraisals.  OR  B: Promote high quality design of new buildings in conservation areas that while having reference to historical surroundings is innovative, distinctive and contemporary in character.  And/or  C: Require advertisements to reflect historic or locally distinct design wherever possible and to minimise visual obtrusiveness (whilst recognising their function). | SS3<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>BE4  | All three policy options are likely to have a positive effect in safeguarding the historic character (SA7) of the District's landscapes and townscapes (SA8). | All policy options go beyond existing national planning policy, increasing the financial burden on developers, which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.  Policy B is more flexible than Policy A in that it encourages high quality, innovative, distinctive and contemporary design in conservation areas and is therefore likely to have a lesser adverse effect. |
| HE2        | Balancing the need for change and new development against the need to protect the historic environment and heritage | A: Require all new development to preserve or enhance the architecture, historic interest and setting of our built heritage and heritage assets.   | Core Strategy<br>SS3<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>BE5, BE6                              | Policy options A, B and C all contribute positively to safeguarding the historic character (SA7) of the District's landscapes and townscapes                  | Policy options A, B and C go<br>beyond existing national planning<br>policy, increasing the financial<br>burden on developers, which<br>could make it harder to develop<br>new residential (SA5) and  |

| Policy No. | Issues  | Policy Options  | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects  | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects  |
|------------|---|---|---|---|--|
|            | assets.   | And/or  B: Recognise that even in historic environments in some cases modern design can contribute to a sense of place and economic vitality, particularly where the existing character is poorly defined, or of limited visual amenity.  And/or  C: Give a greater weight to the need for preservation of listed buildings as "going concerns" when considering the use of buildings, as opposed to requiring strict compliance with the preferred land uses in the development plan.  Or  D: Rely upon generic design policies for the assessment of proposals affecting the use of listed buildings. |   | (SA8). Option D largely relies on existing planning policy and is therefore likely to have a negligible effect.   | employment (SA6) schemes in the District with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.  However, options B and C are more flexible than A, recognising that modern design can contribute to a sense of place and economic vitality and recognising that listed buildings are "going concerns" when considering the use of buildings, as opposed to requiring strict compliance with the preferred land uses. Therefore, options B and C are likely to have a less adverse effect that Policy A. |
| HE3        | Ensuring adequate and proportional protection of buildings, gardens, landscapes, structures and archaeological features which are of local historical merit, but which do not meet the national standards for statutory listing | A: Establish a policy that, informed by a Heritage Strategy, identifies buildings, gardens, landscapes, structures and archaeological features that should be afforded an appropriate level of importance and protection as heritage assets.  Or  B: Establish a policy that requires the assessment of development on non-designated heritage assets on a case by case basis having  | Core Strategy<br>SS3<br>Saved LP: SD1,<br>BE18                                  | All three policy options are likely to help safeguard local heritage assets not recognised as being of national importance (SA7); however policy options A and C protect specific heritage assets, buildings, gardens, landscapes, structures and archaeological features.  Policy B largely has regard to generic design policy options and the requirements of the NPPF, which would suggest that it is likely to have a negligible | Policy options A and C go beyond existing national planning policy, increasing the financial burden on developers, which could make it harder to develop new residential (SA5) and employment (SA6) schemes in the District, with potentially adverse effects against their associated SA objectives.  |

| Policy No. | Issues | Policy Options   | NPPF and<br>Core Strategy<br>Policies /<br>Saved 2006<br>Local Plan<br>Policies | Likely positive sustainability effects | Likely negative sustainability<br>effects |
|------------|--------|--|---|--|---|
|            |        | regard to generic design policies and the requirements of the NPPF.  And/or  C: In Areas of Archaeological Potential and where appropriate elsewhere require the preservation in situ of archaeological remains that are considered to be of national or local interest unless there is an overriding case based on the needs and requirements of a development. |   | effect relative to the SA<br>baseline. |   |

# Appendix 6

Policy-off appraisal matrices of development site alternatives

## Preferred Development Sites

**SHLAA Reference Number: 656** 

| Site Name | 'Adc | lress: | Silver | Spring | Site, | Park | r Farn |
|-----------|------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|
|-----------|------|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|

| SA Objectiv   | es  | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, the effects of climate change.  | aking into account  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |   | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, four schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and an employment area (site also sits within a large employment area).   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 250 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  5(b) Dwellings for older people   |   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 250 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high employment opportunities.   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |      | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and also sits within a larger employment area.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fa  | bric and setting of   | 0?   | The site is within the urban area of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |

| SA Obj  | ectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of                                  | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is within 1km of the AONB although the development would be screened from the wider AONB by existing development. A negligible rather than minor negative effect on the character of the AONB is therefore likely although this would be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. |
| the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The site sits on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | -?   | The north-eastern part of the site is within 250m of Folkestone to Etchinghill Escarpment SAC and SSSI. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on previously developed land. This is considered to be a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | ++   | The site is on land that is considered to be contaminated through historical works. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and therefore a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |

| SA Objectives   |              | Site | Justification   |
|---|--------------|------|---|
|   | safeguarding |      |   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |              | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |              | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |              | 0    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.  |

Site Name/Address: East Station Goods Yard, Southern Way, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** Extent of onsite contaminated land to be investigated and remediated as part of the development.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities, schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and employment areas. There are two railway lines which separate the site from most of these facilities however access is still available via the A260 Southern Way/Warren Road.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le housing  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 70 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 70 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |   | ++   | A large part of the site is on land earmarked for employment. Whilst the development of the site for housing could reduce the viability of the remainder of the employment land being developed, there is access to other employment areas within the immediate vicinity as well as Folkestone town centre where there are a number of shopping parades. A significant positive effect is likely overall. |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of   | 0?   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The whole of this site is on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need<br>to travel  | ++   | There is access to employment areas within the immediate vicinity as well as Folkestone town centre where there are a number of shopping parades. A significant positive effect is likely overall.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and also within easy access of a cycle route.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | +    | The whole of the site is on previously developed land. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | ++   | The site is considered to be contaminated given its historical use. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective. |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   |

#### Site Name/Address: Car and Coach Park, Marine Parade, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should fit with the character of the area. Any net loss of open space on site should be provided elsewhere or in the immediate vicinity of the site. The development should seek to enhance the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Conservation Area.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area, although an area at 'moderate' risk of flooding in 2115 in directly adjacent to the east of the site. Overall, there is considered to be no risk of flooding. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built   | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for   |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.  |  |      | renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | ++   | The site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District. Therefore, a significant positive effect is likely for this objective. In addition, the site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities, schools, an outdoor sports and recreational facility, open spaces, a post office and a major employment area.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the f   | ear of crime.                          | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | e housing                              | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 65 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for                     | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 65 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   | - , ,                                  | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | -?   | The site sits within the urban area of Folkestone and is within the Folkestone Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. There are also a number of Listed Buildings within 100m of the site. This site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land, and therefore a minor negative effect is therefore likely as opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features given that the site is now unused, although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape                         | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration           | ++?  | 90% of the site is on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
|   |   |      | design of the development.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose                                       | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.  |
| sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and is surrounded by several cycle routes.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | 90% of the site is on previously developed land. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site itself is not considered to be affected by contaminated land; however there are other contaminated land areas in close proximity to the site.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.               |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                              |   | 0?   | About 6% of the site is in an open space area; however, the location of the space in combination within the site proportion, suggest that the redevelopment of the site would not result in a significant loss of this space. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely, although this is uncertain and relates to the extent to which the development will contribute to alternative provision of open space that is lost to development. |

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should fit with the character of the area. Any net loss of open space on site should be provided elsewhere or in the immediate vicinity of the site. The development should seek to enhance the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Conservation Area.

| SA Objectiv   | SA Objectives                          |    | Justification  |
|---|--|----|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t<br>the effects of climate change.   | aking into account                     | 0  | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in environment, the proportion of renewable sources and resilience climate and extreme weather.   | energy use from                        | 0  | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | ++ | The site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District. Therefore, a significant positive effect is likely for this objective. In addition, the site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities, schools, an outdoor sports and recreational facility, open spaces, a post office and a major employment area.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing             | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people        | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | ?  | The site sits within the urban area of Folkestone and is within the Folkestone Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. There are also a number of Listed Buildings within 100m of the site, including the Leas Lift and Folkestone War Memorial directly adjacent. This site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land in the southern half of the parcel; however, the northern half of the parcel represents a prominent ridge, the development of which is likely to have a |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | significant effect on the setting on this historic area. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely, although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development, resulting in some uncertainty overall.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.                |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | 56% of the site is on previously developed land associated with the former Folkestone Bathing Establishment. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest, particularly the wooded and scrubbed ridge in the northern half of the site.                                    |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and is adjacent to a cycle route.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | 56% of the site is on previously developed land. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site itself is not considered to be affected by contaminated land; however there are other contaminated land areas in close proximity to the site.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |

| SA Objectives   |              | Site | Justification   |
|---|--------------|------|---|
|   | safeguarding |      |   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |              | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |              | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |              | ?    | 43% of the site is covered by an area of open space. A significant negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain and relates to relates to the extent to which the development will contribute to alternative provision of open space that is lost to development. |

### Site Name/Address: Royal Victoria Hospital, Radnor Park Avenue

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk onsite. Contaminated land onsite should be fully remediated prior to construction works.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | -    | Approximately 9% of the site area is within Flood Zone 3 and 12% is within Flood Zone 2. Overall, a minor negative effect is likely.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of six medical surgeries, five schools, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and employment areas.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
|   |   |      | the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le housing  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 36 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 36 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two employment areas.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of   | 0?   | The site is in an urban area of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of                                  | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is partially within 1km of the AONB although the development would be screened from the wider AONB by existing development. A negligible rather than minor negative effect on the character of the AONB is therefore likely although this would be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. |
| the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | ++?  | The majority of the site sits on previously developed and associated with the Royal Victoria Hospital. Redevelopment of the site could make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of employment areas.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone Central train station and a number of bus stops.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.    | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | +    | The majority of the site is on previously developed land. This is considered to be a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | ++   | The site is identified as being contaminated due to its historical use as a hospital. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and therefore a significant positive effect is likely on this objective. |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | Approximately 3% of the land within the site is designated as open space. This proportion of the site, however, is not considered to be significant enough to result in the substantial loss of open space.   |

## Site Name/Address: 3 to 5 Shorncliffe Road, Folkestone

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site                       | Justification  |  |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | +                          | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including open spaces, medical facilities, schools and employment sites. There are no barriers that would potentially restrict access to these services. A positive effect is likely for this objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |  | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |  |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordat housing, having regard to needs of all sections of society, including the elder  | ole                                    | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.                                |
|   | 5(b) Dwellings for                     |                            | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.                     |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | ++                         | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre to the east, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | ?                          | The site sits in the urban area of Folkestone and is within 100m of the Grade II United Reformed Church. The effect is therefore significant negative due to the potential for adverse effects on the setting of the asset and Conservation Area.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(a) Landscape                         |                            | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.      |
|   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence |                            | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration           |                            | ++?  | The site sits wholly on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape with some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |  | 0?                         | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre to the east, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within 800m of Folkestone Central train station as well as a number of bus stops, two of which are directly adjacent to the north of the site on the A259. There are also a number of cycle routes within walking distance to the south. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site sits wholly on brownfield land and this represents a more efficient use of land, protecting greenfield land from unnecessary development.   |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  |   | 0    | The site is not in an area of open space.  |

# Site Name/Address: Ingles Manor, Castle Hill Avenue, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** The scale, design and layout of the development should seek to limit direct effects on Ingles Manor Grade II Listed Building as well as the setting of the conservation area.

| SA Objectiv   | es  | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t<br>the effects of climate change.   | aking into account  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |   | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including open spaces, medical facilities, schools and employment sites. There are no barriers that would potentially restrict access to these services. A positive effect is likely for this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |   | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 46 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 46 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high employment opportunities.   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |      | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre to the east, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of   |   |      | The site sits in the urban area of Folkestone and contains the Grade II Ingles Manor. The site is also within the Folkestone   |

| SA Objectives  |   | Site | Justification  |
|--|---|------|--|
| historic assets.   |   |      | Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. The effect is therefore significant negative due to the potential for adverse effects on the setting of the asset and Conservation Area.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and                  | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.      |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.                                | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The site sits wholly on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape with some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance  | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase  | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre to the east, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   |
| modes and avoid development that will  | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within 800m of Folkestone Central train station as well as a number of bus stops, two of which are directly adjacent to the north of the site on the A259. There are also a number of cycle routes within walking distance to the south.             |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves. | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site sits wholly on brownfield land and this represents a more efficient use of land, protecting greenfield land from unnecessary development.   |
|  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                | 0    | The site is not in an area of open space.  |

Site Name/Address: Shepway Close, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** Any net loss of open space on site should be provided elsewhere or in the immediate vicinity of the site.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++   | The site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District. Therefore, a significant positive effect is likely for this objective. In addition, the site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities, schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and major employment opportunities. The site is also within convenient walking distance of a post office.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of 5(a) Affordable   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.   |

| SA Obje   | ectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| homes, including affordable   |   |      | This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde                  | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
|   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |      | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades. A railway line sits between the site and the town centre; however, it is possible to access the centre via Foord Road North.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of   | 0?   | The site sits within the urban area of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and                                   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence  | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the whole of the site sits on open space. Therefore, an uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose                                       | 10(a) Reduce the need<br>to travel  | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades. A railway line sits between the site and the town centre; however, it is possible to access the centre via Foord Road North, therefore a significant positive effect is likely.    |
| sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes   | ++   | The site is within walking distance of several bus stops. There are also a number of cycle routes within walking distance to the south.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site currently sits in an area of open space; therefore a minor negative effect is likely.  |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives                   |   | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0 | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0 | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0 | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0 | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0 | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | ? | 98.8% of the site is on land currently designated as open space. Therefore a significant negative effect is anticipated although this is uncertain as it is not yet known the extent to which the development will contribute to alternative provision of open space that is lost to development. |

# Site Name/Address: Former Gas Works, Ship Street, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** The scale, design and layout of the development should seek to limit effects on the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Building.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | Approximately 12% of the site is within Flood Zones 2 and 3, but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. A negligible effect is therefore likely.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. |      | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | ++   | The site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District. Therefore, a significant positive effect is likely for this objective. In addition the site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | e housing                              | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 91 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for                     | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 91 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades. A railway line sits between the site and the town centre; however, it is possible to access the centre via Foord Road North.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | -?   | The site sits in the urban area of Folkestone and partly within 100m of the Grade II Listed railway viaduct. No other heritage assets are within 100m of the site. This site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land; therefore there may be opportunities to enhance the setting of heritage features given that the site is now unused, although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   |  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration        | ++?  | The site sits wholly on brownfield land previously used for gas works. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
|   | 10(a) Reduce the need<br>to travel  | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades as well as a number of other employment areas. A railway line sits between the site and the town centre; however, it is possible to access the centre via Foord Road North. |
| sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within 800m of Folkestone Central train station as well as a number of bus stops. There are also a number of cycle routes within walking distance to the south.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site sits wholly on brownfield land and this represents a more efficient use of land, protecting greenfield land from unnecessary development.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | ?    | The land within the site is recognised as contaminated land. It is noted that remediation took place between Feb and October 2009. It is therefore uncertain as to the remaining nature of the contaminated land.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.               |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                              |   | 0    | The site is not in an area of open space.   |

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should ensure that the amenity of residents on Downs Road is not adversely affected.

| SA Objectiv   | es   | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t<br>the effects of climate change.   | 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. |      | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | +    | The site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities including the Royal Victoria Hospital, schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities including those associated with Highview School, Park Farm Primary and Folkestone Academy, open spaces and employment areas. The site is directly adjacent to an area falling within one of the 20% most deprived areas in the District. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.   |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 27 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 27 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | ++   | The site is in close proximity of a number of major employment areas within Folkestone, therefore a significant positive effect is likely.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | 0?   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The site is on previously developed land which is soon to be vacant once Highview School relocates. The whole of this site is on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is in close proximity of a number of major employment areas within Folkestone.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops, two of which are directly adjacent on the A259.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on previously developed land which is soon to be vacant once Highview School relocates. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve  | the quality of  | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not  |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                          |      | fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.  |

# **Site Name/Address: Brockman Family Centre**

**Recommendations:** The loss of good quality agricultural land and thus growing potential should be minimised through design for example by including allotments on site.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of some services and facilities, including open spaces, outdoor recreational facilities and schools. There is a railway line which separates the site from these services; however access is still available for all via Holm Street and Underhill Road. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of 5(a) Affordable   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 26 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  |

| SA Obje   | SA Objectives   |          | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|----------|------|---|
| homes, including affordable   |   |          |      | This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elder   | 5(b) Dwel   | -        | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 26 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | diverse  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of a major employment area or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and se   | tting of | 0?   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of                                  | relevant enhance, the   |          | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |
| the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coale                                |          | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape regeneration                                     | :        | ++?  | The site sits on mostly previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                           |          | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce th<br>to travel                                    | ne need  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of a major employment area or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>sustainable tran<br>modes |          | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient ι<br>land                                       | ise of   | +    | The site sits on mostly previously developed land and the development of such would represent a more efficient use of land than developing on greenfield sites.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | Approximately 30% of the site is on Grade 2 agricultural land; however, this portion of the site, and the land immediately surrounding it, have largely been developed and are no longer considered suitable for cultivation. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely. |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.  |

SHLAA Reference Number: 687

Site Name/Address: Cherry Pickers, Cheriton

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater if this site is taken forward for development.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.   | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area, although a Flood Zone 2 and 3 area is directly adjacent to the south. Overall, there is no risk of flooding.                                |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site                            | Justification   |   |
|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| climate and extreme weather.  |   |                                 |   |   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | facilities and avoid creating   | +   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including medical facilities, open spaces, outdoor recreational facilities and schools. There is also a post office on Cheriton High Street. A positive effect is likely for this objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the f   | fear of c   | crime.                          | 0   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | ole   | 5(a) Affordable housing         | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
|   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                 | +   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Cheriton High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.            |                                 | 0?  | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   |   | 0?                              | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ` '   | Settlement<br>cter: coalescence | 0   | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   |   | ownscape:<br>eration            | ++?   | The site sits on mostly previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodive   | ersity.                         | 0?  | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |    | Justification  |
|---|---|----|--|
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | +  | The site is within convenient walking distance of Cheriton High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +  | The majority of the site sits on previously developed land. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0  | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0  | The land is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0  | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | -? | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does however fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. Therefore, the possibility of a minor negative effect is recorded, although this is uncertain and dependent on construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | open space and ensure   | 0  | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   |

## Site Name/Address: Affinity Water, Land at Cherry Garden Avenue, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater if this site is taken forward for development. The loss of good quality agricultural land and thus growing potential should be minimised through design for example by including allotments on site.

| SA Objectiv   | es                                     | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t the effects of climate change.  | aking into account                     | 0    | Approximately 1% of the land within the site is within Flood Zones 2 and 3 although there is no risk of flooding overall. The site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in environment, the proportion of renewable sources and resilience climate and extreme weather.   | energy use from                        | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including medical facilities, open spaces, outdoor recreational facilities, schools and employment areas. There is also a post office on Cheriton High Street. A positive effect is likely for this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing             | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 56 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people        | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 56 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and Cheriton High Street which contains a number of shopping parades is also within easy access.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fa historic assets.   | bric and setting of                    | 0?   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |     | Justification   |
|---|---|-----|---|
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?  | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.                                   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0   | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | ++? | Almost the entire site sits on previously developed land associated with the former pumping station for Folkestone Water Works. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?  | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and Cheriton High Street which contains a number of shopping parades is also within easy access.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +   | Almost the entire site sits on previously developed land. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -   | Approximately 34% of the site is covered by Grade 3 agricultural land. A minor negative effect is therefore likely.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0   | The site itself is not considered to be affected by contaminated land; however there are other contaminated land areas adjacent to the site.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0   | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve  | the quality of  | -?  | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does however fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone, and a minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain   |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                          |      | and dependent on construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Shepway Resource Centre, Sandgate

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should ensure that the setting of the nearby Roman Catholic Church Grade II Listed Building is not adversely affected but enhanced.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | nt 0 | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use fron renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is in convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, schools, open spaces and employment areas. A minor positive effect is likely.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of 5(a) Affordable   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 41 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.   |

| SA Obj  | ectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| homes, including affordat   |   |      | This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 41 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | ++   | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance directly to the north; therefore a significant positive effect is likely.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | -?   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone and is within 100m of a Roman Catholic Chapel which is a Grade II Listed Building. Whilst it is recognised that the location of this site could have adverse effects on the setting of this asset, the site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land, and therefore a minor negative effect is therefore likely as opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The site is on land that has been previously developed. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance directly to the north; therefore, a significant positive effect is likely.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and there are cycle routes to the south.  |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification   |
|---|--|------|---|
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land  | +    | The majority of the site is on land that has been previously developed. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land. |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity  | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated however it is adjacent to a number of other industrial areas deemed to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding   | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |  | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sandgate which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                      |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs.                                   | 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |      | The site is not in an area of designated open space.  |

Site Name/Address: Coolinge Lane Land, Sandgate

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should ensure that the setting of the nearby Penfold House School for Girls Grade II Listed Building is not adversely affected but enhanced. The design of the site should look to incorporate as much of the existing greenspace as possible.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.   | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding. |
| Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed                  |

| SA Objectives   |                      | Site                            | Justification  |   |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| climate and extreme weather.  |                      |                                 | development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                      | cilities and<br>oid creating    | +  | The site is in convenient walking distance of a medical facility, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, schools – in particular Sandgate Primary which is directly adjacent to the site, open spaces and employment areas. A minor positive effect is likely.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the f   | fear of crir         | me.                             | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordab  | ole ho               | (a) Affordable<br>ousing        | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 54 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(                   | (b) Dwellings for<br>der people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 54 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                      | ++                              | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance to the west of the site. Cheriton Road which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north. It is recognised that the site is separated from Cheriton Road by a railway line; however access is possible via Coolinge Lane, Shorncliffe Road and Beachborough Road.  |   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                      | ?                               | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone and is within 100m of Penfold House School for Girls Grade II Listed Building. The site has remained undeveloped either as agricultural land or sports/playing fields. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely, although this is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development and opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. |   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   |                      | 0?                              | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Set<br>characte | ttlement<br>er: coalescence     | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Tow             | wnscape:<br>ation               | 0  | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversi           | ity.                            | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need<br>to travel  | ++   | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance to the west of the site. Cheriton Road which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north. It is recognised that the site is separated from Cheriton Road by a railway line. While access is possible via Coolinge Lane, Shorncliffe Road and Beachborough Road, this route is over 800m in length. |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance to Folkestone West train station, and there are a number of bus stops within close proximity as well as cycling routes to the south.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site sits wholly on undeveloped greenfield land. Therefore, a minor negative effect is likely due to the loss of greenfield land which represents a less efficient use of land compared with developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | ·   | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   |

# SHLAA Reference Number: 113 Site Name/Address: Former Encombe House, Sandgate

**Recommendations:** The development should seek to enhance the setting of the nearby Scheduled Monument.

| SA Objectiv   | es    | Site | Justification   |
|---|-------|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |       | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |       | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |       | 0    | The site is in convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities including those associated with Sir John Moore Plain, open spaces and employment areas. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |       | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | , , , |      | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 36 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  5(b) Dwellings for older people   |       | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 36 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |       | ++   | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance to the north; therefore a significant positive effect is likely.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |       | -?   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone and is within 100m of Martello Tower 7 Listed Building and Scheduled Monument. Whilst it is recognised that the location of this site could have adverse effects on the setting of this asset, the site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land, and therefore a minor negative effect is therefore likely as opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features although this will depend on the exact scale, |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
|   |   |      | design and layout of the new development.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The majority of the site is on land designated as having previously been developed but is now vacant. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within easy access of a major employment area which is to the north; therefore, a significant positive effect is likely.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | There are a number of bus stops within easy access to the south as well as a cycle route.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of +  |      | Approximately 53% of the site is on previously developed land. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.  |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |

| SA Objectives   |              | Site | Justification  |
|---|--------------|------|--|
|   | safeguarding |      |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |              | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sandgate which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |              | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |              | 0    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Smiths Medical, Boundary Road, Hythe

**Recommendations:** Mitigation required to reduce flood risk onsite. The design of the development should incorporate measures to minimise the effect on the LWS. Contaminated land onsite should be fully remediated prior to construction.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | Approximately 70% of the site is within a Flood Zone 3 area and 80% is within a Flood Zone 2 area and identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is in convenient walking distance of a medical facility, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, a school, open spaces and employment areas. A minor positive effect is likely.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordab                                    | le housing   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 122 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 122 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.         |  | ++   | The site is on land earmarked for employment. Whilst the development of the site for housing could reduce the viability of the employment land being developed, there is access to other employment areas within the immediate vicinity, and Hythe High Street which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north-east. Overall, a significant positive effect is likely. |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of  | 0?   | The site sits within the urban area of Hythe. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and                   | 8(a) Landscape   | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the existing built-up area of Hythe screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.                                 | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                             | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                                    | ++?  | The site is on land that has been previously developed. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                              |      | The site is partly within the Hythe Ranges Local Wildlife Site. Loss of any portion of the local ecological designation is likely to have a significant negative effect on this objective.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport | 10(a) Reduce the need<br>to travel                                 | ++   | The site is on land earmarked for employment. Whilst the development of the site for housing could reduce the viability of the employment land being developed, there is access to other employment areas within the immediate vicinity, and Hythe High Street which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north-east. Overall, a significant positive effect is likely. |
| modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion.       | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance to Hythe train station, and there are a number of bus stops within close proximity as well as cycle route to the north.   |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives                   |    | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|----|--|
|   | modes                           |    |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | +  | The site is on land that has been previously developed. This is considered to be an efficient use of land and a minor positive effect is likely.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0  | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | ++ | The site is known to be contaminated with asbestos. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective. |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?  | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0  | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0  | The site is not a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Land opposite 24 Station Road, Hythe

**Recommendations:** The development should mirror the density of surrounding development insofar as this area comprises mostly individual houses in large gardens. The design should be sympathetic with the character of the AONB.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. | 0    | 6% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 7.5% is within Flood Zone 2. The site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, the site is not considered to be a risk of flooding. |

| SA Obje   | SA Objectives   |                                 | Site   | Justification   |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |   | 0                               | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | facilities and avoid creating   | +  | The site is in convenient walking distance of two surgeries, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces. A minor positive effect is likely.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |   | crime.                          | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | le  | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 40 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 40 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
|   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                 | +  | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.            |                                 | 0?   | The site sits within the urban area of Hythe. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  |                                 | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, its location next to existing development and surrounding trees screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.                         |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ` '   | Settlement<br>cter: coalescence | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   |   | ownscape:<br>eration            | 0  | The site is on land that is undeveloped. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations, but is wholly greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. Therefore, a minor negative effect is likely as this represents less efficient use of land.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | open space and ensure   | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  |

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to minimise adverse effects on the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Building and character of the AONB, and make provision for enhancement of these features where possible.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 | 0    | Approximately 1% of the site area falls within Flood Zone 3 and 1.5% falls within Flood Zone 2 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. A negligible effect is therefore likely.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, Saltwood Primary School, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open space.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 90 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
|   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 90 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of   |                                 | -?   | The site adjoins the settlement of Hythe and is within 100m of two Grade II Listed Buildings; however, both listed buildings   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| historic assets.  |   |      | sit within the urban area of Hythe. Furthermore, the southern half of the site has already been developed; therefore, the significance of adverse effects from further development in the site is likely to be more moderate. This effect is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and  | 8(a) Landscape  | -?   | The site is not within the Kent Downs AONB but is directly adjacent. A minor negative effect is likely although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The majority of the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site sits on greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.   |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The majority of the site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield land.  |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                | Site | Justification  |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--|
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | ?    | The western part of the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for Limestone Hythe Formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zones.                       |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

## Site Name/Address: Foxwood School, Seabrook Road, Hythe

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to enhance the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Building and Scheduled Monument and minimise effects on the character of the AONB. Mitigation/enhancement measures should seek to minimise effects on the Royal Military Canal LWS.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site represents the redevelopment of the former Foxwood School, which is soon to be relocated to Folkestone. The site is in convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and Hythe High Street where there is a parade of shops and facilities. A minor positive effect is likely.                           |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this  |

| SA Objectives  |                 | Site                            | Justification  |   |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
|  |                 |                                 | stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab housing, having regard to needs of all sections of society, including the elde | ole housing     |                                 | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 126 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
|  |                 | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 126 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities  |                 | uality and diverse              | +  | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.   |                 | -?                              | The site sits within the settlement of Hythe. The site is within 100m of the Grade II Listed Black Cottage and 200m of the Royal Military Canal Scheduled Monument. Whilst it is recognised that the location of this site could have adverse effects on the setting of these assets, the site provides the opportunity to regenerate previously developed land associated with Foxwood School. A minor negative effect is recorded as opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and  | 8(a) L          | _andscape                       | -?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is directly adjacent to the AONB. Significant densification of development within the site could have a minor negative effect on the AONB to the north; however, the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.  |                 | Settlement<br>cter: coalescence | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.  |
|  |                 | Townscape:<br>eration           | 0?   | Much of the land within the site has been previously developed; however there are portions which are wooded and open (formally school grounds). While the redevelopment of some portions of the site could contribute to the regeneration of the wider townscape, a significant proportion of the site would not contribute in this regard and a negligible effect is recorded overall. There is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |                 | -?                              | The site is within 250m of the Royal Military Canal Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is likely; however, appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects so this effect is uncertain.   |   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase  | 10(a)<br>to tra | Reduce the need<br>vel          | +  | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street.  |
| opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes and avoid  |                 | Increase<br>tunities to choose  | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| development that will result in significant traffic congestion.                         | sustainable transport<br>modes  |      |   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | +    | Much of the land within the site has been previously developed; however there are portions which are wooded and open (formally school grounds). Overall, the site is likely to have a positive effect on this portion of the objective. |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  |

# SHLAA Reference Number: 1018 Site Name/Address: St Saviour's Hospital

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should be sensitive to the setting of the Royal Military Canal Scheduled Monument. Mitigation/enhancement measures should be incorporated into the design to avoid adverse effects on the LWS.

| SA Objectives Si   |   | Justification   |
|--|---|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. | 0 | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding. |

| SA Objectives   |      | Site                            | Justification  |   |
|---|------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |      | 0                               | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |      | facilities and avoid creating   | 0  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a school, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces.  Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |      | 0                               | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 35 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |      | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 35 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |      | 0                               | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |      | -?                              | The site is located in the settlement of Hythe. The Royal Military Canal Scheduled Monument is within 200m to the south. A minor negative effect rather than a significant negative effect is likely as the site offers the opportunity to redevelop brownfield land which is already developed. Indeed, opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features through this. Effects are assumed to be uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development.                            |   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) | Landscape                       | 0?   | The site is within 1km of the AONB although the site already contains development suggesting that new development would adversely affect the landscape. A negligible rather than minor negative effect on the character of the AONB is therefore likely, although this is uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known. |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and  | 8(b) | Settlement                      | 0  | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| townscape.  | character: coalescence  |      |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The site sits on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site has the potential to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?   | The site is within 250m of the Royal Military Canal Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.                                       |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on previously developed land and this represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  |   | 0    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to maintain the openness of the coastline landscape within the site, conserving the setting of the neighbouring Royal Military Canal Scheduled Monument and the role the site plays as supporting green infrastructure to the neighbouring Royal Military Canal Local Wildlife Site. Any net loss of open space on site should be provided elsewhere within the site or in the immediate vicinity. Contaminated land within the site should be fully remediated prior to construction works.

| SA Objecti  | ives                            | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.         |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is in convenient walking distance of formal open spaces and outdoor sports facilities, Seabrook Church of England Primary School and Foxwood School.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.                  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | ?    | The long northern edge of the site borders the Royal Military Canal Scheduled Monument. The open setting of the canal makes a major contribution to the significance of the monument and the ability to appreciate its function. Development here would have a major impact on the monument's setting. The beach front here was heavily fortified during WW2 and a |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
|   |   |      | number of defensive features can be seen on the site on wartime aerial photographs that may survive as buried archaeological remains. This effect is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. While a significant proportion of the site (80%) is recorded as previously developed land associated with old mineral working and quarrying, the site is now vacant and vegetated and is not considered to have an adverse effect on the setting of the Scheduled Monument. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the  | 8(a) Landscape  | -?   | The site does not sit in or within close proximity to the Kent Downs AONB; however, the site is within 1km of the AONB and development within the site is likely to change the coastline landscape.   |
| quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | Hythe and Folkestone have already merged. Therefore, the development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of these two neighbouring settlements.  |
| townscape.  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | While a significant proportion of the site (80%) is recorded as previously developed land associated with old mineral working and quarrying, the site is now vacant and vegetated. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance b   | piodiversity.   | -?   | The site's northern edge follows the Royal Military Canal which is designated as a Local Wildlife Site.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not with walking distance of a Major Employment Site or shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within walking distance of several bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | A significant proportion of the site (80%) is recorded as previously developed land associated with old mineral working and quarrying; however, the site is now vacant and vegetated.   |
| and safeguard soils,<br>geology and economic<br>mineral reserves.   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | ++   | The land within the site is recognised as contaminated land, which would be remediated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve t  | he quality of groundwater,  | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |      |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | ?    | A significant proportion of the site (roughly 80%) is designated as a local open space. Development of the site is likely result in the loss of a significant proportion of this open space; however, it uncertain the extent to which the development will contribute to the alternative provision of open space that is lost to development. |

# Site Name/Address: Hythe Swimming Pool, Hythe

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t effects of climate change.  | aking into account the          |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open space. A minor positive effect is likely.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | crime.                          | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing   |                                 | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance Hythe High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | 0?   | The site sits within the urban area of Hythe. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the existing built-up area of Hythe screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.            |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements – Hythe and Folkestone have already merged.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The site is on land that has been previously developed but no longer represents a viable community facility. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance b   | iodiversity.  | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations, but is wholly greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance Hythe High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of a train station or bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on land that has been previously developed. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.  |
| and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve t  | he quality of groundwater,  | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall   |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |      | within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   |

### Site Name/Address: Land off Victoria Road West, Littlestone

**Recommendations:** Appropriate mitigation should be employed to manage flood risk on site. Appropriate mitigation/enhancement measures should be employed to prevent adverse effects on the nearby Ramsar, SAC and SSSI, and where possible provide biodiversity enhancements.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The whole site is within a Flood Zone 2 and 3 area and identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'significant' and 'moderate' coastal flooding. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and an employment area. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of 5(a) Affordable   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 300 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| homes, including affordab   | _   |      | considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 300 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.               |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of   | 0?   | The site adjoins the settlement of Littlestone-on-Sea. There are no heritage features within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.         |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | relevant enhance, the   |      | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Littlestone-on-Sea with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site consists of undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site is within 250m of Dungeness Ramsar/SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney train station and a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land and this represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | Approximately 21% of the site area is on Grade 3 agricultural land. This is not deemed to be a significant enough proportion to result in a negative effect; therefore a negligible effect is likely. |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The eastern part of the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.                                    |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                            |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

#### SHLAA Reference Number: 436 and 230

## Site Name/Address: Land to rear of Old School House, Church Lane, New Romney

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to minimise adverse effects on the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument, and where possible seek opportunities to enhance setting. The development should take into account the setting of the cemetery directly adjacent to the west.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.   | t 0  | A small proportion of the land within the site is within Flood Zones 2 and 3. The site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Overall, there is no risk of flooding.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site                  | Justification  |  |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| climate and extreme weather.  |   |                       |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | +                     | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and an employment area.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |   |                       | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | e housing   |                       | +  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 13 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dw   | vellings for<br>eople | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 13 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 1 1   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                       | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | -?                    | The site is within t 250m of a number of listed buildings and within 500m of a Scheduled Monument. However, this relatively small site is relatively self-contained and screened by trees. Therefore, development of the site has the potential to have minor adverse effects on the setting of the neighbouring heritage assets, although this is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. Opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   |   | 0?                    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settleme<br>character: coa   |                       | 0  | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townsca<br>regeneration  | oe:                   | 0  | The site consists of undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This is considered to represent less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs.   | open space and ensure   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument.

| SA Objectiv   | es                                     | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  |      | The land within the site is almost completely designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.               |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, a number of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, a shopping parade (New Romney High Street) and open spaces.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.                        |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |      | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 64 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people        | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 64 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | ?    | The site lies adjacent to New Romney in rural fields. There are a number of Grade II Listed Buildings within 250m of the site as well as one Scheduled Monument (Romney Priory) within 200m directly to the south of the site within the historic core of New Romney. Significant development within the site has the potential to have a significant negative effect on |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | these historic assets, although this is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.                    |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | Almost all of the site is on undeveloped land. This is considered to be a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |      | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                | 0    | The site itself is not in a designated area of open space although there is open space directly adjacent.  |

### **Site Name/Address: Land to the South of New Romney**

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should seek to reduce effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument. Incorporating allotments into the design could offset the loss of good quality agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | Approximately 67% of the site lies in a Flood Zone 3 area and 86% lies within a Flood Zone 2 area. The site is also within 'moderate' and 'significant' areas of flood risk, however the proportion of the site covered by these areas (approximately 20% and 3%) is not considered to be significant and thus represent a high flood risk. Overall however, a significant negative effect is likely. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |      | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces, an employment area and a shopping parade.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of                                |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification   |
|---|--|------|---|
|   |  |      | development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable                               | e housing  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 400 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elder | 5(b) Dwellings for older people                                    | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 400 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities                                   | <del>-</del> ' '   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there is a shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                    |  | ?    | The site adjoins the settlement of New Romney and is in a rural location. The northern part of the site is within 100m of three Grade II Listed Buildings. The site is also partially within 250m of a number of other Listed Buildings and within 500m of Romney Priory Scheduled Monument. There is therefore potential for significant negative effects on historic assets; however these are uncertain until the will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and                   | 8(a) Landscape   | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.                                 | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                             | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                                    | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land currently used for agriculture. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |  | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel                                    | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there is a shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport | ++   | The eastern part of the site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney train station and a number of bus stops.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| result in significant traffic congestion.   | modes                           |      |   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic                      | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | -    | The whole site sits on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| mineral reserves.   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity |      | Approximately 37% of the land within the site is classified as being of Grade 2 agricultural quality, and 42% is classed as Grade 3 agricultural quality.             |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

Site Name/Address: Marsh Academy, Station Road, New Romney

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.     |      | The site is wholly within a Flood Zone 2 and 3 area. It is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.                       |
| Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from |      | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed |

| SA Objectives   |                | Site                             | Justification   |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.   |                |                                  | development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                | , facilities and avoid creating  | +   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, two schools, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces, an employment area and a parade of shops.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                | crime.                           | 0   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing   |                | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing       | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 29 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |                | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 29 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                | quality and diverse              | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of both an employment area and shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                | bric and setting of              | 0?  | The site adjoins New Romney and is in a rural location. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape |                                  | 0?  | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   |                | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence | 0   | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   |                | Townscape:<br>neration           | ++?   | The site sits on previously developed land associated with Marsh Academy. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of both an employment area and shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney train station and a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site sits on previously developed land. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  |   | 0    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   |

# SHLAA Reference Number: 195 Site Name/Address: North Lydd Sites

|--|

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of an outdoor sports and recreational facility (Lydd Golf Club) and two employment areas. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable housing         | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 17 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 17 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two employment areas.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | -?   | The site forms part of the settlement of Lydd and is in a rural location outside the town. Jacks Court Farmhouse Grade II Listed Building is within 250m of the site. Whilst it is considered that the development could have a negative effect on the setting of this asset, the site is on brownfield land and development here could provide the opportunity to enhance the setting of the feature. This, however, is uncertain until the detailed design, scale and landscaping of the new development are known. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | a) Landscape                    | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.                                   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence        | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Lydd with neighbouring settlements.  |
| ·   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration                  | ++?  | The site sits on brownfield land and contains a significant area of hardstanding. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.                                 | -?   | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. There is therefore potential for adverse effects on the SSSI although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel               | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two employment areas.  |
|   | opportunities to choose sustainable transport | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land                   | +    | The site is on previously developed land. This is considered to represent more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity               | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination                      | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding                | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Lydd in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources e   | efficiently.                                  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance   | open space and ensure                         | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

| SA Objectives              | Site | Justification |
|----------------------------|------|---------------|
| that it meets local needs. |      |               |

# Site Name/Address: North Lydd Sites

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  | -    | Approximately 24% of the site is within a Flood Zone 2 area and 15% is within a Flood Zone 3 area. The site does not include a flood storage area and is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'moderate' or 'significant' coastal flooding.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of an outdoor sports and recreational facility (Lydd Golf Club) and three employment areas.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.                            |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |      | The site is 0.7ha and expected to be able to accommodate 14 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to make a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  5(b) Dwellings for older people   |  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 14 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of three employment areas.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | -?   | The site forms part of the settlement of Lydd and is in a rural location. Jacks Court Farmhouse Grade II Listed Building is within 250m of the site. Whilst it is considered that the development could have a negative effect on the setting of this asset, the site is on brownfield land and development here could provide the opportunity to enhance the setting of the |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | feature. This, however, is uncertain until the detailed design, scale and landscaping of the new development are known.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Lydd with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | ++?  | The site sits on brownfield land associated with a welding company. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?   | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. There is therefore potential for adverse effects on the SSSI although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of three employment areas.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on previously developed land. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | ++   | The site is considered to be contaminated due to historical light industrial works. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective.         |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|------|---|
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. | 0    | The site is within the parish of Lydd in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

### Site Name/Address: Former Sands Motel, St Mary's Bay

**Recommendations:** Measures should be undertaken to manage flood risk on site. The development should avoid adverse effects on the SSSI and where possible provide biodiversity enhancement measures.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | Approximately 90% of the site is covered by a Flood 2 and 3 zone and identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'moderate' and 'significant' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a post office and an outdoor sports and recreational facility (Littlestone Golf Club). There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of 5(a) Affordable   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 85 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This  |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site        | Justification   |
|---|--|-------------|---|
| homes, including affordab   |  |             | is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwelling  | s for<br>++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 85 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |  | erse 0      | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting   | g of 0?     | The site forms part of St Mary's Bay which is a coastal settlement. There are no heritage features within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | t enhance, the   |             | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character: coalesce                                 | nce 0       | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of St Mary's Bay with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration   | ++?         | The site sits on previously developed land associated with the former Sands Motel. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                  |             | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is likely although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the note to travel  | eed 0       | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment site or shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to cho<br>sustainable transpo<br>modes |             | The site is within convenient walking distance of St Mary's Bay railway station and a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land  | of +        | The site is on previously developed land and this represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.               |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of St Mary in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

## Site Name/Address: Land rear Varne Boat Club, Coast Drive, Greatstone

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. Biodiversity enhancement measures should be investigated to minimise the potential adverse effects on the Dungeness Ramsar, SAC and SSSI.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site                             | Justification   |   |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|---|
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | 0                                | The site is within convenient walking distance of a post office and two areas of open space. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.  |   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the f   | fear of   | crime.                           | 0   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordab  | ole   | 5(a) Affordable housing          | +   | The site is 0.23ha and is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. This is considered to make a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| needs of all sections of society, including the elde  | older people  |                                  | 0   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. A negligible effect is likely due to the low potential for the site to deliver homes for older people.  |
| 1   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                  | 0   | There are no employment areas or shopping parades within convenient walking distance of the site.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.            |                                  | 0?  | The site sits within the settlement of New Romney. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site.  Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | the   |                                  | 0?  | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |   | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence | 0   | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   |
| 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  |   |                                  | 0   | The site sits on mostly undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | -?                               | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness Ramsar and SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. |   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site sits on mostly undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | open space and ensure   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. Biodiversity enhancement measures should be investigated to minimise the potential adverse effects on the Dungeness Ramsar and SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI.

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two areas of open space. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing             | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 16 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people        | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 16 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | 0?   | The site sits within the settlement of New Romney. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.               | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | ++?  | The site sits on previously developed land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?   | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness Ramsar and SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase                             | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| modes and avoid opposed development that will sus                   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops, two of which are directly adjacent to the north on Grande Parade.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,                       | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on previously developed land associated with a car park. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.                              | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve  | the quality of  | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not   |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                          |      | fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |      | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

### Site Name/Address: The Old Slaughterhouse, 'Rosemary Corner', Brookland

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the setting of nearby heritage features, and where possible make enhancements. The incorporation of allotments into the design of the development could help to offset the loss of Grade 1 agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'moderate' or 'significant' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Brookland Church of England Primary School, two outdoor recreation and sports facilities and an area of open space. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of 5(a) Affordable   |  | +    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is  |

| SA Objectives   |                                       | Site   | Justification |   |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|---|
| homes, including affordat   |                                       | housing  |               | considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  5(b) Dwellings for older people       |                                       | . ,  | 0             | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. The site therefore has limited potential for delivering homes for older people.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   | _                                     | quality and diverse  | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                       | bric and setting of  | -?            | The site forms part of the settlement of Brookland which is rural in character. The site is directly adjacent to the Brookland Conservation Area and is within 100m of a number of Listed Buildings, including the Grade I Listed St. Augustine Church. Whilst it is considered that the development could have a negative effect on the setting of this asset, the site is on brownfield land associated with the former slaughterhouse which is now in an advanced state of dereliction. Development here could provide the opportunity to enhance the setting of the features. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a)                                  | Landscape  | 0?            | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   |                                       | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence                             | 0             | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Brookland with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration       |  | ++?           | The site sits on brownfield land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity. |  | 0?            | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a<br>to tra                        | ) Reduce the need<br>avel                                    | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | oppo                                  | ) Increase<br>rtunities to choose<br>ainable transport<br>es | ++            | The site is within walking distance of a number of bus stops and is also directly adjacent to a cycle route.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently  | 11(a                                  | ) Efficient use of   | +             | The site is on previously developed land. This is considered to represent a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| and safeguard soils,  | land                            |      |  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity |      | The site is wholly on Grade 1 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is likely.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | Then site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Zone.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of Brookland in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs.                                   |                                 | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

### Site Name/Address: Land North of Pod Corner, Brookland

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should be sensitive to the setting of the nearby Grade I and II Listed Buildings and Conservation Area. The incorporation of allotments into design of the development should be considered to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification   |
|---|--|------|---|
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a school and a sports facility. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the   | fear of crime.                         | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordal  | ole housing                            | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for                     | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation o employment opportunitie   | - '                                    | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | ?    | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Brookland. The site is within 250m of several Grade I and Grade II Listed Buildings. The site sits close to a Conservation Area comprised of significant areas of undeveloped land. Therefore, the proposed construction of 9 homes would significantly detract from the conservation area's setting, and result in a spread of the built area of the village. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape                         | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Brookland with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration           | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |  | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, a significant proportion of the site is made-up of undesignated greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to 10(a) Reduce the need  |  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment site or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| travel; increase  | to travel   |      | likely.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield land and a minor negative effect is likely.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | The site is wholly on Grade 1 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is likely.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Brookland which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | •   | 0    | The site itself is not in a designated area of open space.   |

### Site Name/Address: Land Adj to Moor Close, Brenzett

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should be sensitive to the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Buildings. Opportunities for incorporating allotments into the design of the development should be explored to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land.

| SA Objectives | Site | Justification |
|---------------|------|---------------|

| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  |                                 | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3, although not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Overall, however, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 2. Increase energy efficient<br>environment, the proportical<br>renewable sources and re-<br>climate and extreme weat     | on of e<br>silience                    | nergy use from                  | 0  | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vib<br>opportunities to access se<br>environmental assets for<br>inequalities of opportunity         | rvices,<br>all and                     | facilities and avoid creating   | +  | The site is within convenient walking distance of Brenzett Church of England Primary School, a post office and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities. Therefore, a minor positive effect is likely.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the f   | ear of o                               | crime.                          | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le                                     | 5(a) Affordable housing         | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 25 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde                                      |  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 25 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |  | uality and diverse              | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | ?                               | The site adjoins the small village of Brenzeet and is in a rural location. There are three Grade II Listed Buildings within 100m of the site, with limited development in between these historic assets and the development site. Therefore, there is potential for significant negative effects, although this is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. Opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape                         |                                 | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence |                                 | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Brenzett with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) T                                 | Townscape:                      | 0  | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   | regeneration  |      | regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the site is made up of undesignated greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | Approximately 73% of the land within the site sits on Grade 2 agricultural land and 27% sits on Grade 1 agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Brenzett which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources   | 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |      | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  |   | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the character of the AONB. Providing allotments onsite could offset the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Churchill Primary School, an outdoor sports and recreational facility and open spaces. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | 0?   | The site is within the settlement boundary of Hawkinge although on the edge of the town. The site is not within close proximity of any heritage assets. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of                                  | 8(a) Landscape  | ?    | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered that it would be adequately screened from the wider AONB due to open views from the north and west. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely, although this is uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known. |
| the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hawkinge with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The site sits on brownfield land previously used as an RAF base and includes multiple derelict buildings and areas of hardstanding. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.           |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and there is a cycle path directly adjacent to the north.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on previously developed land. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on brownfield sites.   |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -    | The whole site lies on Grade 3 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for brickearth (other areas) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. | -?   | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues; however, the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

### SHLAA Reference Number: 334 Site Name/Address: Mill Lane r/o Mill Farm, Hawkinge

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the character of the AONB and the setting of Maypole Farm Grade II Listed Building. Providing allotments onsite could offset the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, Hawkinge Primary School and Churchill Primary School, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of |

| SA Objectives  |  | Site                                       | Justification  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  | the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.   |
| 5. Improve the provision o homes, including affordable                                       | le hou   | a) Affordable<br>using                     | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 22 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde         | 5(b  | o) Dwellings for<br>ler people             | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 22 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities  |  | ty and diverse                             | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.   | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets. |  | -?   | The site lies within the settlement of Hawkinge and is within 100m of Maypole Farm Grade II Listed Building; however, existing development already separates the site from the listed building. Therefore, the potential for negative effect are considered to be less significant that would ordinarily be the case in the open countryside. These minor adverse effects are uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of | 8(a) Land  | dscape                                     | -?   | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. The site is surrounded by existing development and therefore represents 'in-fill' development. It is therefore considered that development here would be somewhat screened from views in the wider AONB. Effects are uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Sett<br>character   | :lement<br>r: coalescence                  | 0  | The development is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hawkinge with neighbouring settlements.  |
|  | 8(c) Towi  | -  | 0  | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase  | 10(a) Red<br>to travel   | duce the need                              | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes and avoid<br>development that will |  | crease<br>ities to choose<br>ole transport | ++   | The site is within convenient access of a number of bus stops and there is a cycle route to the west.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| result in significant traffic congestion.   | modes                           |      |   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This is considered to be a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | -    | The site is wholly on Grade 3 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | -?   | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues, however the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs.                                   | open space and ensure           | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Land adj Kent Battle of Britain Museum, Aerodrome Road, Hawkinge

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the character of the AONB. Contaminated land should be fully remediated prior to construction.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding. |

| SA Obje   | ectives                                | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Churchill Primary School, an outdoor sports and recreational facility and open spaces. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision o homes, including affordabl   | e housing                              | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 110 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elder   | 5(b) Dwellings for                     | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 110 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities.  | <del>-</del> ' '                       | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | 0?   | The site adjoins the settlement of Hawkinge although is on the edge of the town. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| relevant enhance, the quality, character and  | 8(a) Landscape                         | ?    | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered that it would be adequately screened the wider AONB due to open views from the west. Therefore, a significant negative effect is recorded. These effects are uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence | 0    | The development is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hawkinge with neighbouring settlements.  |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |     | Justification   |
|---|---|-----|---|
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++? | The site sits on brownfield land previously used as an RAF base and includes areas of hardstanding. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.                  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?  | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++  | The site sits within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops. There is also a cycle route directly adjacent to the north.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +   | The site is on previously developed land. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -   | The whole site sites on Grade 3 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | ++? | The site is on land deemed to be contaminated from former airfield uses. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0   | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | -?  | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues, however the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0   | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance   | open space and ensure   | 0   | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

| SA Objectives              | Site | Justification |
|----------------------------|------|---------------|
| that it meets local needs. |      |               |

# Site Name/Address: Land at Duck Street, Elham

**Recommendations:** The design, layout and landscaping of the site should complement the existing character of the village of Elham, maintaining the rural nature and openness of the wider landscape.

| SA Objectiv   | SA Objectives                   |   | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 | 0 | The land within the site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0 | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | + | The site is in convenient walking distance of open spaces and outdoor sports and recreation facilities associated with Elham Church of England Primary School, which is also within a convenient walking distance. The site is also within convenient walking distance of the village post office.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | crime.                          | 0 | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | + | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a minor contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
|   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | 0 | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings. This is considered to have a negligible effect on the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | 0 | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | -?   | The site sits on the edge of the historic village of Elham. The Elham Conservation Area and eight of the listed buildings which sit within it are within 250m of the site; however many buildings sit between the site and these heritage assets. No heritage assets are within 100m of the site. Therefore, development within the site is only considered to pose a minor risk to the heritage assets. This effect is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the  | 8(a) Landscape  | ?    | The site sits on the edge of the village of Elham in the Kent Downs AONB. Therefore, its development has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on this designated landscape. This effect is uncertain until the detailed design and layout of the development on the site are known.  |
| quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Elham with neighbouring settlements.   |
| townscape.  | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on greenfield land. There is no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is on the edge of Elham village, which does not contain a Major Employment Site or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within walking distance of two bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site sits on greenfield land.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -    | The land within the site is designated as Grade 3 Agricultural Land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals  | ?    | Land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sub-alluvial river terrace deposits in the Kent  |

| SA Objectives   |              | Site | Justification   |
|---|--------------|------|---|
|   | safeguarding |      | Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |              | -?   | The site is within the parish of Elham which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. A small proportion of the site falls within a groundwater Source Protection Zone within which there is a risk of contamination of groundwater resources from certain activities. Construction activities have the potential to have an adverse effect on groundwater; however, this is uncertain. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |              | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |              | 0    | The site is not designated as a local open space. Therefore, development of the site would not result in the loss of open space.  |

## Site Name/Address: Land South of Canterbury Road, Lyminge

**Recommendations:** Appropriate mitigation measures should be employed to prevent pollution to groundwater. The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the character of the AONB and where possible seek to enhance the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Building. Opportunities should be explored for incorporating allotments into the design in order to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land. The detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site should seek to reduce the adverse effects that could result on settlement and landscape character as a result of settlement coalescence.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, one of which is directly adjacent to the north, a school, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces.  |

| SA Objectives  |  | Site | Justification  |
|--|--|------|--|
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.   |  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordation                                | ole housing  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde | 5(b) Dwellings for   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities                                  | · · ·  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.   | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets. |      | The site adjoins the settlement of Lyminge and is in a rural location. The site is within 250m of Broadstreet House Grade II Listed Building which is set back from the village to the south of the site. There is therefore the potential for a significant negative effect on the setting of this building, although this is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the   |  |      | The site is within the Kent Downs AONB. Therefore there is potential for significant negative effects on landscape, although this will be uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the development are known.  |
| quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.         | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                             | -?   | The site represents about 20% of the strategic gap between Lyminge and Etchinghill. Development of the site is therefore considered to have a minor negative effect this objective, although this effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known.  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration                                       |      | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the site sits on greenfield land associated with Etchinghill Golf Course. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase  | 10(a) Reduce the need<br>to travel                                 | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |

| SA Obj  | ectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land (currently part of Etchinghill Golf Course). This represents less efficient use of land compared to developing on brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -    | The whole of the site is on graded agricultural land (approximately 89% of which is on Grade 3 agricultural land and 11% is on Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land). A minor negative effect is therefore likely.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | -?   | The site is within the parish of Lyminge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues; however, the site is within a Groundwater Source Protection Zones. A minor negative effect is therefore likely, although this would be uncertain and dependent on the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | open space and ensure   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

# Site Name/Address: The Piggery, Main Road, Sellindge

**Recommendations:** Incorporating allotments on site would help to offset the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. The development should avoid direct effects on the nearby ancient woodland.

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy opportunities to access services environmental assets for all and inequalities of opportunity for a                                     | , facilities and<br>I avoid creating   | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Sellindge Primary School and an outdoor sports and recreational facility associated with the school. There are no other services within convenient walking distance.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing             | +    | The site is 0.3 ha and is expected to be able to accommodate 8 dwellings, 1-2 of which will need to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people        | 0    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 8 dwellings. A negligible effect is likely due to the low potential for the site to deliver homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | 0?   | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Stone Hill. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| townscape.  |   |      | effect is likely although this is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?  | The site lies wholly on vacant previously developed land which was once used as a piggery. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of this portion of the village, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?   | The site is within 250m of an area of ancient woodland. There is therefore potential for a minor negative effect although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on land that was previously used as a piggery but which is no longer in use and has been vacant since the early 1980s. The development of this site is considered to represent a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield land. Therefore a minor positive effect is likely.                         |
| mineral reserves.   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | •   | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  |

## SHLAA Reference Number: 618 Site Name/Address: Land west of Jubilee Cottage, Swan Lane, Sellindge

**Recommendations:** Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite would reduce the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. The development should seek to minimise the effect on the setting of the Holly Cottage Grade II Listed Building through careful design.

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.             |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Sellindge Primary School and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities, one of which (Sellindge Sports and Social Club) is directly adjacent and also designated as open space.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.                      |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing             | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people        | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | ?    | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Sellindge. The Grade II Listed Holly Cottage is within 100m of the site. There is therefore potential for a significant adverse effect on the setting of this listed building; however, this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |    | Justification  |
|---|---|----|--|
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0? | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.                    |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Sellindge with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0  | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0? | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -  | The site is on previously undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared with developing brownfield sites, and a minor negative effect is likely.  |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |    | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0  | The site itself is not considered to be contaminated but is directly adjacent to an area of contaminated land.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0  | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve  | the quality of  | 0  | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall   |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                          |      | within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site itself is not a designated area of open space however there is open space directly adjacent and associated with the Sellindge Sports and Social Club. |

## Site Name/Address: Land rear of Brook Lane Cottages, Brook Lane, Sellindge

**Recommendations:** The detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site should seek to reduce adverse effects on the character of the AONB. Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite should be explored to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land. Appropriate mitigation/enhancement measures should be incorporated into the design to reduce effects on the nearby SSSI.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0    | The site is only within convenient walking distance of an outdoor sports and recreational facility. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |

| SA Objectives   |                                       | Site   | Justification |   |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|---|
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le                                    | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing                                   | +             | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |                                       | 5(b) Dwellings for older people                              | 0             | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. There is therefore limited potential for providing homes for older people.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |                                       | quality and diverse  | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fa                                | bric and setting of  | 0?            | The site adjoins the settlement of Sellindge and is in a rural location. The site is not within close proximity of heritage assets. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain. |
| 8. Conserve, and where 8 relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a)                                  | Landscape  | -?            | The site is not within the Kent Downs AONB but is within 1km. A minor negative effect is likely although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.           |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ` '                                   | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence                             | 0             | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Sellindge with neighbouring settlements.   |
| ,   |                                       | Townscape:<br>neration                                       | 0             | Most of the site consists of undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity. |  | -?            | The site is within 250m of Gibbin's Brook SSSI. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to to travel; increase  |                                       | ) Reduce the need<br>avel                                    | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | oppo                                  | ) Increase<br>rtunities to choose<br>ainable transport<br>es | ++            | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently  | 11(a                                  | ) Efficient use of   | -             | The majority of the site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| and safeguard soils,  | land                            |      |   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity |      | The whole of the site is on Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The site is not on land that is considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for silica sand/construction sand – sandstone: Folkestone formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Land at Barrow Hill, Sellindge

**Recommendations:** Incorporating allotments onsite would offset the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |           | Site                            | Justification   |   |
|---|-----------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |           | 0                               | The site is not within convenient walking distance of any services or facilities.   |   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the   | fear of o | crime.                          | 0   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordate   | ole       | 5(a) Affordable housing         | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |           | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |           | uality and diverse              | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |           | 0?                              | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Barrow Hill. There are no heritage features within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain. |   |
| relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.  | 8(a) L    | _andscape                       | 0?  | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
|   |           | Settlement<br>cter: coalescence | 0   | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Barrow Hill with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   |           | Fownscape:<br>Peration          | 0   | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |           | 0?                              | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.              |   |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |    | Justification   |
|---|---|----|---|
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -  | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared with developing brownfield sites, and a minor negative effect is likely.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |    | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0  | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?  | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone (Sandgate formation) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.               |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   |   | 0  | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | •   | 0  | The site itself is not a designated area of open space.   |

# SHLAA Reference Number: 1007 Site Name/Address: Silver Spray, Sellindge

**Recommendations:** Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite would reduce the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. The development should seek to minimise the effect on the setting of nearby Listed Buildings through careful design.

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Sellindge Primary School and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities, one of which is associated with the school. The other (Sellindge Sports and Social Club) is also designated as open space. There are no other facilities within convenient walking distance.                                |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing             | +    | The site is 0.5ha and expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings, 30% of which would be required to be affordable. A site of this size is considered to make a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people        | 0    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. A site of this size is considered to have limited potential for providing homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | ?    | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Sellindge. The site is within 250m of two Grade II Listed Buildings. A significant negative effect is therefore likely, although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site already contains several buildings which look to be in relatively good condition. Therefore the development of the site is not likely to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the village.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site lies within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The majority of the site already contains development. Therefore, the redevelopment of this area is considered to represent a more efficient use of land than developing on greenfield land.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone – Sandgate formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources   | efficiently.  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | open space and ensure   | 0    | The site itself is not a designated area of open space.  |

SHLAA Reference Number: 209 Site Name/Address: Lympne Airfield

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to minimise the effects on and where possible enhance the character of the Kent Downs AONB and nearby Listed Buildings. Adequate waste water infrastructure should be provided. Contaminated land should be fully remediated prior to construction works.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Lympne Church of England Primary School, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facility, areas of open space and an employment area. A minor positive effect is likely.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | ++   | The site is directly adjacent to an employment area.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | ?    | The site sits within a rural location and adjoins the settlement of Lympne. The site is within 250m of Belle Vue House Grade II Listed Building and Lympne Hall Grade II Listed Building. Much of the site is located on the former Lympne airfield, which can be considered to be previously developed land (including hardstanding) related to the airfield's wartime use. The openness of the site combined with its historic past and proximity to neighbouring listed buildings suggests that the |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
|   |   |      | development of the site has the potential to have a significant effect on this objective, although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(a) Landscape  | -?   | The site is directly adjacent to, although not within, the Kent Downs AONB. The openness of the site combined with its close proximity to the AONB result in the potential for minor adverse effects on the landscape, although this is uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character: coalescence                                   | ?    | The site contains the vast majority of the open land between the settlement of Lympne to the east and the Lympne Industrial Park. Whist the industrial park is not a standalone settlement, in landscape terms it does represent an isolate and defined urban area. Development of the entire site would result in the perceived coalescence of Lympne with the neighbouring Lympne Industrial Park, with a significant adverse effect on this part of the objective. There is an element of uncertainty attached to this effect until such time as the detailed design, scale and landscaping of the site are known, |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | 0    | Much of the site is located on the former Lympne airfield, which can be considered to be previously developed land (including hardstanding); however, there are no longer any significant buildings within this relatively large site, and much of the hardstanding has become overgrown with vegetation, giving this previously developed site a relatively rural and open character. Therefore, the development of the site is not considered to have a significant effect on the regeneration of Lympne.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is directly adjacent to an employment area.  |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | 0?   | Much of the site is located on the former Lympne airfield, which can be considered to be previously developed land (including hardstanding); however, there are no longer any significant buildings within this relatively large site, and much of the hardstanding has become overgrown with vegetation, giving this previously developed site a relatively rural and open character. However, the much of the site can no longer be considered as greenfield land. Overall, a negligible uncertain effect is recorded against this part of the objective.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | Approximately 25% of the site is on land classified as being of Grade 2 and 3 quality; however, the site is considered to be contaminated. Therefore, the arable value of the soil within the site is already considered to have been compromised. Therefore, a negligible effect is recorded against this portion of the objective.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | ++   | The site is considered to be contaminated. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and therefore a significant positive effect is likely.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | -?   | The Water Cycle Study identified a potential capacity issue in the strategic wastewater link between the Westenhanger and Lympne area and Sellindge wastewater treatment works. It is therefore assumed that residential allocations in the Lympne area have the potential for minor adverse effects on this SA objective with uncertainty relating to whether the development is of sufficient size to require a new link to Sellindge wastewater treatment works or whether such a link will be secured, e.g. via developer contributions. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Land at rear of Barnstormers, Stone Street, Stanford

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |         | Site                             | Justification  |  |
|---|---------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |         | 0                                | The site is not within convenient walking distance of any services or facilities.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the   | fear of | crime.                           | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordation   | ole     | 5(a) Affordable housing          | ++   | The site is 0.5a and is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |         | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  | 0  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. A negligible effect is likely due to the low potential for the site to deliver homes for older people.   |
| 6. Support the creation or employment opportunities   |         | uality and diverse               | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |         | oric and setting of              | ?  | To the north west the site immediately adjoins the Grade II Listed Stanford Windmill. Therefore, the site contributes to the wider setting of these important historic assets. Its development has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on the special character and setting of the historic assets within the immediate vicinity. This effect is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of  |         | 0?                               | The site is partially within 1km of the AONB although the development would be screened from the wider AONB by existing development. A negligible rather than minor negative effect on the character of the AONB is therefore likely although this would be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development |  |
| the landscape and townscape.  | ` ′     | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Westenhanger with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   |         | Townscape:<br>neration           | ++?  | The site is on land that has been previously developed. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |         | 0?                               | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the site is made up of undesignated greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on  |  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
|   |   |      | undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within 800m of a railway station.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on previously developed land. This is considered to represent a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -    | Almost all of the site sits within Grade 3 agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for silica sand/construction sand – sandstone: Folkestone formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   |   | -?   | The Water Cycle Study identified a potential capacity issue in the strategic wastewater link between the Westenhanger and Lympne area and Sellindge wastewater treatment works. It is therefore assumed that residential allocations in the parish of Stanford could have the potential for minor adverse effects on this SA objective with uncertainty relating to whether the development is of sufficient size to require a new link to Sellindge wastewater treatment works or whether such a link will be secured, e.g. via developer contributions. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources   | efficiently.  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | open space and ensure   | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  |

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should be sympathetic towards the setting of Westenhanger Castle and the nearby Listed Buildings, as well as on the character of the Kent Downs AONB. The racecourse site as a whole would be an appropriate candidate for a master plan which could include the future operation and appearance of the Westenhanger Castle. Incorporating allotments onsite would help to offset the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. The development should ensure that there is no adverse impact on water quality from wastewater overflow.

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.       |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of any services or facilities.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.                |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing             | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people        | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | ?    | The site is in a rural location and part of the settlement of Westenhanger. The site is within 200m of Westenhanger Castle which is a Scheduled Monument, 250m of Westenhanger Manor and Barn, both Grade I Listed Buildings, and partially within 500m of the Sandling Park Registered Park and Garden. Driving through the present racecourse is how one first |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | experiences the historic site. Therefore, the site contributes to the wider setting of these important historic assets. The land within the site is recognised as previously developed land associated with racecourse; however, the vast majority of the land is open and rural in nature. Therefore, its development has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on the special character and setting of the historic assets within the immediate vicinity. This effect is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | -?   | This relatively rural and open site is within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. There is therefore potential for minor negative effects on the wider landscape, although this is uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Westenhanger with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The land within the site is recognised as previously developed land associated with racecourse; however, the vast majority of the land is open and rural in nature. Therefore, its development is not considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the area.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is directly adjacent to Westenhanger train station as well as a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The land within the site is recognised as previously developed land associated with racecourse; however, the vast majority of the land is open and rural in nature. Overall, the development of this site represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.  |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site itself is not considered to be contaminated but is directly adjacent to an area of contaminated land.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                | Site | Justification   |
|---|--------------------------------|------|---|
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for Silica Sand/ Construction Sand- Sandstone: Folkestone Formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | -?   | The Water Cycle Study identified a potential capacity issue in the strategic wastewater link between the Westenhanger and Lympne area and Sellindge wastewater treatment works. It is therefore assumed that residential allocations in the parish of Stanford could have the potential for minor adverse effects on this SA objective with uncertainty relating to whether the development is of sufficient size to require a new link to Sellindge wastewater treatment works or whether such a link will be secured, e.g. via developer contributions. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  |

# Site Name/Address: Camping and Caravan Site, Minnis Lane

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the AONB and LWS. Where possible biodiversity enhancement measures should be incorporated into the design of the development. Providing allotments onsite could offset the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a post office. There are no other services within close proximity.   |

| SA Objectives  |  | Site                            | Justification  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.   |  | ime.                            | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordation  | ole h  | 6(a) Affordable<br>nousing      | +  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 9 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde         | 5  | o(b) Dwellings for older people | 0  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 9 dwellings. A site of this size would have limited potential for providing homes for older people.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities  | eation of high quality and diverse ortunities.                     |                                 | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.   | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets. |                                 | 0?   | The site forms part of the settlement of Stelling Minnis. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of |  | indscape                        | ?  | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered that it would be adequately screened from the wider AONB due to open views from the south-west. Therefore, there is potential for significant negative effects on the wider the landscape, although this is uncertain until the specific design and layout of the new development are known.   |
| the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                             |                                 | 0  | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Stelling Minnis with neighbouring settlements.  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                                    |                                 | 0  | The majority of the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | -?                              | The site is within 250m Stelling Minnis Common and Church Wood Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this will be uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase 10(a) Reduce the need to travel                      |  |                                 | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two bus stops, both of which are directly adjacent.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The majority of this site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.                                    |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -    | The whole site is on Grade 3 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for brickearth (other areas) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.       |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Stelling Minnis which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  |   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

# **Site Name/Address: Land adjoining 385 Canterbury Road, Densole**

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the character of the AONB. Providing allotments on site could reduce offset loss of Grade 3 agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t<br>the effects of climate change.   | 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. |      | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The only service or facility within convenient walking distance of the site is a post office. A negligible effect is likely.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 63 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
|   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 63 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of   |  | 0?   | The site adjoins the settlement of Densole. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Densole with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site sits on undeveloped land. This is not considered to be an efficient use of land compared to developing on brownfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -    | Almost all of the site sits within Grade 3 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Zone.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | -?   | The site is within the parish of Swingfield which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues; however, the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification                                       |
|--|------|---|
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space. |

# Site Name/Address: Etchinghill Nursery, Etchinghill

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to minimise effects on the character of the AONB and setting of the nearby Listed Buildings. Mitigation/enhancement measures should be investigated to avoid adverse effects on the Folkestone to Etchinghill Escarpment SSSI.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces. There are no other services within convenient walking distance.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 30 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
|   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 30 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | ?    | The site sits within a rural location and adjoins the settlement of Etchinghill. The site is within 250m of four Grade II Listed Buildings within the village's historic core, which designated as a Conservation Area. Development within the site has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on the setting of these listed buildings; however this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | ?    | The site sits within a rural location adjacent to the village of Etchinghill within the Kent Downs AONB. There is therefore the potential for significant negative effects on landscape, although potential effects will be uncertain until the design, scale and layout of the new development are known.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Etchinghill with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | -?   | The site is within 250m of Folkestone to Etchinghill Escarpment SSSI and an Ancient Woodland Inventory site. A minor negative effect is likely although this will be uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents less efficient use of land compared with developing brownfield sites.  |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not within a graded area of agricultural land.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                | Site | Justification   |
|---|--------------------------------|------|---|
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | 0    | The site is within the parish of Lyminge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  |

## Site Name/Address: Land adjacent the Golf Course, Etchingill

**Recommendations:** The design of the site should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Buildings and character of the Kent Downs AONB. Incorporating allotments onsite would help to offset the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land. The development should avoid adverse effects on groundwater.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating                               | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces. There are no other services within convenient walking distance.  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site    | Justification  |
|---|---|---------|--|
| inequalities of opportunity for access.   |   |         |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |   | 0       | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable have in a least to the second | le housing  | +       | The site is 0.74 ha and is expected to be able to accommodate 10 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings fo   | r<br>++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 10 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| * *   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |         | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | ?       | The site sits within a rural location and adjoins the settlement of Etchinghill. The site is within 250m of five Grade II Listed Buildings within the village's historic core, which designated as a Conservation Area. Development within the site has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on the setting of these listed buildings; however this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.                              |
| relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.  8(b) S character and stownscape.  | 8(a) Landscape  | -?      | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. The site is bound by existing residential development and trees, screening the site from the wider landscape and the AONB. Therefore, a minor negative effect is recorded, although potential effects will be uncertain until the design, scale and layout of development is known.  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character: coalescence                                     | 0?      | The site lies between the settlement of Etchinghill and the settlement of Lyminge; however, the village of Etchinghill extends northwards along the full length of the site's western edge and there is further ribbon development to the north. Therefore the development of the site is not considered to make a significant contribution to the coalescence of these neighbouring settlements. This effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known. |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0       | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of three bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -    | The site is wholly on Grade 3 agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | -?   | The site is within the parish of Lyminge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues; however, the site does fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain and would be dependent on the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs.   | open space and ensure   | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   |

# Reasonable Alternative Sites

### **SHLAA Reference Number: 689**

# Site Name/Address: Westbrook School Playing Field, Shorncliffe Road, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** Any net loss of greenfield land on site should be provided elsewhere or in the immediate vicinity of the site

| SA Objectiv   | es                              | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t<br>the effects of climate change.   | aking into account              | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including open spaces and recreational facilities, medical facilities, schools and employment sites. There are no barriers that would potentially restrict access to these services. A positive effect is likely for this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 127 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 127 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a major employment area located to the north-east. A railway line sits between the site and this area; however, it is possible to access the area via the A259 Radnor Park Road.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of   |                                 | 0?   | The site sits within the urban area of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| historic assets.  |   |      | such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.                                    |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a major employment area located to the north-east. A railway line sits between the site and this area; however, it is possible to access the area via the A259 Radnor Park Road.                          |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within 800m of Folkestone Central train station as well as a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on greenfield land associated with South Kent College and development here and subsequent loss would represent a less efficient use of land.  |
| geology and economic<br>mineral reserves.   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve  | the quality of  | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not  |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                          |      | fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.  |

#### **SHLAA Reference Number: 416 (317 & 416)**

#### Site Name/Address: Fisherman's Beach, Land off Range Road, Hythe

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should ensure that the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Building and Scheduled Monument is not adversely affected but enhanced. The design of the development should seek to avoid direct effects on the LWS and provide biodiversity enhancement measures. Contaminated land should be fully remediated prior to construction works.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area, however there are a number of flood risk zones immediately adjacent and surrounding the site. Overall, however, there is no risk of flooding for this site. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                 |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is in convenient walking distance of a medical facility, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, a school, open spaces and employment areas. A minor positive effect is likely.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.                          |
| 5. Improve the provision of 5(a) Affordable   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 60 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.   |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |     | Justification  |
|---|---|-----|--|
| homes, including affordat   |   |     | This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 60 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | ++  | There are major employment areas within convenient walking distance, one of which is directly adjacent to the north.  Hythe High Street which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north-east.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | -?  | The site sits within the settlement of Hythe and directly adjacent to Hythe Lifeboat Station Grade II Listed Building as well as being within 200m of Martello Tower number 14 which is a Scheduled Monument. Whilst it is recognised that the location of this site could have adverse effects on the setting of these assets, the site provides the opportunity to redevelop vacant land, and therefore a minor negative effect is therefore likely as opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?  | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the existing built-up area of Hythe screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0   | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements – Hythe and Folkestone have already merged.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++? | The site is on land that has been previously developed and is now vacant. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?  | The site is directly adjacent to Hythe Ranges Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain given that appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++  | There are major employment areas within convenient walking distance, one of which is directly adjacent to the north.  Hythe High Street which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north-east.  |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of a train station or bus stops.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | +    | The site is on land that has been previously developed and is now vacant, representing a more efficient use of land.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | ++   | The site is considered to be contaminated given its past use as a sewage treatment works. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective. |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Vale Farm (The Piggeries) Horn Street, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should minimise the setting effects on the nearby Scheduled Monuments and seek to mitigate/enhance effects on the nearby LWS. Contaminated land should be fully remediated prior to construction works.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. | 0    | 7% of the site is within a Flood Zone 3 area and 8% is within a Flood Zone 2 area. However, the site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Overall, there is a low risk of flooding and a negligible effect is likely. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built   | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for  |

| SA Obje   | ectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.  |   |      | renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | 0    | The site is in convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities and a school. There is also an employment area within 800m of the site to the east; however the terrain to the east of the site makes significantly less accessible. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fe  | ear of crime.   | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | e housing   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 26 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elder   | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 26 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
|   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |      | There is an employment area within 800m of the site to the east; however the terrain to the east of the site makes it inaccessible.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | -?   | The site is on the outskirts of Horn Street and sits within 500m of two Scheduled Monuments; however, the topography of the land within the immediate vicinity suggest that the site does not make a significant contribution to the setting of these historic assets. Therefore, a minor negative effect is recorded, although actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development and opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, its location next to existing development and the topography of the surrounding land screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character: coalescence                                     | ?    | The land within the site plays an important role in maintaining separation between the settlement of Horn Street and Folkestone to the south. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely against this portion of the objective, although this is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:   | 0    | The site is on land that is undeveloped but has had some agricultural and military activity in the past. Therefore, a   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   | regeneration  |      | negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | -?   | The site is within 250m of Paraker Wood and Seabrook Stream LWS. A minor negative effect is therefore likely, although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. There is uncertainty attached to the effect associated with the fact that the land within the site is largely greenfield and may hold some important ecological habitats and species, which are currently not recorded. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | There is an employment area within 800m of the site to the east; however the terrain to the east of the site makes it inaccessible.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on land that is undeveloped but has had some agricultural activity in the past. Therefore, a minor negative effect is likely as this represents less efficient use of land.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | ++   | Past agricultural activity is thought to have left contaminated land on a small part of the site. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | ·   | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Rectory Field, Eversley Road, Hythe

**Recommendations:** The design of the site should be sensitive to the nearby LWS and AWI and mitigation/enhancement measures should be investigated to avoid adverse effects. Any net loss of open space should be provided elsewhere.

| SA Object   | ives  | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| -   | 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| environment, the proportion renewable sources and resilie   | 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. |      | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | 0    | The site is in convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces and Seabrook Primary School. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear  | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |      | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment site or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | 0?   | The site sits within an urban area of Hythe. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where 8(   | a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the site is surrounded by  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| relevant enhance, the quality, character and  |   |      | existing development which would screen it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | 0    | The site is on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?   | The site is within 250m of Paraker Ancient Woodland and Seabrook Stream Local Wildlife Sites. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is now within convenient walking distance of either an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops as well as a cycle route to the south.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The whole of the site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing on brownfield.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone (Sandgate formation) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | -?   | Approximately 17% of the site is on land designated as open space. A minor negative effect is therefore likely, although this is uncertain as it is recognised that this open space could be incorporated into the design of the development or alternative open space could be provided elsewhere to compensate for any that is lost. |

### Site Name/Address: Land opposite Rock Cottage, Botolph's Bridge Road, Hythe

**Recommendations:** Development on the site should seek to avoid the small area of 'significant' flood hazard on the easternmost part of the site. The design of the development should seek to minimise the effects on and where possible enhance the setting of the Dymchurch Redoubt Scheduled Monument. The design of the scheme should minimise the effects on, and where possible create enhancement measures for the LWS. Contaminated land onsite should be fully remediated prior to construction works.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 as well as being identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' and 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area.  Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.                                |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0    | The site is only within convenient walking distance of an area of open space. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of 5(a) Affordable   | +    | The site is 0.6ha and is expected to be able to accommodate 12 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable   |

| SA Objectives   |                                       | Site   | Justification |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|--|
| homes, including affordat   |                                       | housing  |               | dwellings. This is considered to be a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |                                       | 5(b) Dwellings for older people                          | ++            | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 12 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |                                       | uality and diverse                                       | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment site or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fab                               | oric and setting of                                      | ?             | The site sits within a rural location and adjoins the settlement of Hythe. Dymchurch Redoubt Scheduled Monument is within 500m of the site, with limited development between the monument and the site. A significant negative effect is therefore likely, although until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, this effect is uncertain. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the  | relevant enhance, the                 | Landscape  | 0?            | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is likely, although this is uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.  |                                       | Settlement<br>cter: coalescence                          | -?            | The site lies between the boundary of the settlement of Hythe and the settlement of Dymchurch. The development of the site would result in the loss of roughly 10% of the gap between these two settlements, which is likely to have a minor negative effect. This is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known.                              |
|   | ` '                                   | Fownscape:<br>neration                                   | 0             | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity. |  | -?            | The site is directly adjacent to the Hythe Ranges Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a)<br>to tra                       | Reduce the need vel                                      | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | oppor                                 | Increase<br>tunities to choose<br>inable transport<br>is | ++            | The site is within convenient walking distance of two bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a)<br>land                         | Efficient use of   | -             | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land when compared with the redevelopment of brownfield land. Therefore, a minor negative effect is recorded.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| geology and economic mineral reserves.                | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | Approximately 90% of the site is on land designated as Grade 3 agricultural quality; however, the site is considered to be contaminated. Therefore, the arable value of the soil within the site is already considered to have been compromised. Therefore, a negligible effect is recorded against this portion of the objective.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | ++   | The site is considered to be contaminated. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve groundwater, surface wat     | · '                             | -?   | The Water Cycle Study identified a potential capacity issue in the strategic wastewater link between the Westenhanger and Lympne area and Sellindge wastewater treatment works. It is therefore assumed that residential allocations in the Lympne area have the potential for minor adverse effects on this SA objective with uncertainty relating to whether the development is of sufficient size to require a new link to Sellindge wastewater treatment works or whether such a link will be secured, e.g. via developer contributions. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources e                             | efficiently.                    | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs. | •                               | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: South of Ashford Road, Taylor Wimpey lands, Sellindge

**Recommendations:** Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite would reduce the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. The development should seek to minimise the effect on the setting of nearby Listed Buildings through careful design and avoid perceived coalescence of Sellindge with Barrowhill and thus adverse effects on settlement character.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built   | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for  |

| SA Obje  | ectives                                | Site | Justification   |
|--|--|------|---|
| environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.   |  |      | renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access.  |  | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Sellindge Primary School and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities, one of which is associated with the school. The other (Sellindge Sports and Social Club) is also designated as open space. There are no other facilities within convenient walking distance.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fo   | ear of crime.                          | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable in the control of the con | e housing                              | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 250 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  5(b) Dwellings older people  | 5(b) Dwellings for                     | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 250 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  |  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.   |  | ?    | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Sellindge. The site is within 100m of four Grade II Listed Buildings and within 250m of a further six Grade II Listed Buildings. A significant negative effect is therefore likely, although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.   |
| relevant enhance, the<br>quality, character and<br>local distinctiveness of<br>the landscape and   | 8(a) Landscape                         | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
|  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence | ?    | The site lies at the southern edge of Sellindge. The development of the entire site would result in Sellindge only being separated from the settlement of Barrow Hill (directly to the south) by the M20 and a railway line, which would result in the perceived coalescence of these two separate settlements and a significant adverse effect on this part of the objective. Overall, this effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site are known. |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration        | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |

| SA Obj  | jectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |  | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel                                    | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land  | -    | The site sits on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared with developing brownfield sites.  |
| · ·   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity                                    |      | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.   |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding                                     | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone – Sandgate formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |  | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | •  | 0    | The site itself is not a designated area of open space.  |

# Site Name/Address: Land east of Ashford Road, New Romney

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument.

| SA Objectiv   | es                              | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t the effects of climate change.  | aking into account              |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, a number of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, an employment site, a shopping parade (New Romney High Street) and open spaces.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 101 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  5(b) Dwellings for older people   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 101 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | ?    | The site lies adjacent to New Romney in rural fields. There are a number of Grade II Listed Buildings within 250m of the site as well as one Scheduled Monument (Romney Priory) within 500m directly to the south of the site within the historic core of New Romney. Significant development within the site has the potential to have a significant negative effect on these historic assets, although this is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | design and layout of the new development.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.                    |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site sits on undeveloped land. This is considered to be a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                | 0    | The site itself is not in a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Land at Cockreed Lane, New Romney

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, a number of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, an employment site, a shopping parade (New Romney High Street) and open spaces.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of 5(a) Affordable   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings.  |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |    | Justification   |
|---|---|----|---|
| homes, including affordat   |   |    | This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | ?  | The site lies adjacent to New Romney in rural fields. There are a number of Grade II Listed Buildings within 250m of the site as well as one Scheduled Monument (Romney Priory) within 500m directly to the south of the site within the historic core of New Romney. Significant development within the site has the potential to have a significant negative effect on these historic assets, although this is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0? | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | 0  | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0? | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | -    | The site sits on undeveloped land. This is considered to be a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.     |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site itself is not in a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: St Nicholas Playing Field, Rolfe Lane, New Romney

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', or 'significant' coastal flooding although 18% of the site area is in a 'moderate' coastal flooding zone. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                      |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification   |
|---|--|------|---|
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, a number of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, an employment site, a shopping parade (New Romney High Street) and open spaces.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the f   | ear of crime.                          | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le housing                             | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 55 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for                     | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 55 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   | - , ,                                  | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | ?    | The site lies adjacent to New Romney in rural fields. There are a number of Grade II Listed Buildings within 250m of the site as well as one Scheduled Monument (Romney Priory) within 500m directly to the south of the site within the historic core of New Romney. Significant development within the site has the potential to have a significant negative effect on these historic assets, although this is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(a) Landscape                         | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
|   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration           | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |  | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.                                |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site sits on undeveloped land. This is considered to be a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.     |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs.   | open space and ensure   | 0    | The site itself is not in a designated area of open space.   |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be undertaken to manage flood risk onsite.

| SA Objectiv   | es                              | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'moderate' or 'significant' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, a shopping parade (New Romney High Street) and open spaces.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | crime.                          | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 65 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 65 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there is a parade of shops.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | 0?   | The site forms part of New Romney which is a more rural settlement. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |     | Justification  |
|---|---|-----|--|
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?  | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0   | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++? | The site sits on previously developed land associated with a relatively large food processing facility, containing multiple buildings area areas of hardstanding. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape and landscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?  | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | +   | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there is a parade of shops.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +   | The site is on previously developed land associated with the former Romney Potato Marsh Company. This represents more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0   | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0   | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?   | The land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve  | the quality of  | 0   | The site is within the parish of St Mary in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site  |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                          |      | does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Brickyard Poultry Farm, New Romney

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to minimise flood risk on site. The design of the development should seek to minimise adverse effects on the setting on the nearby Scheduled Monument.

| SA Objectiv   | es                         | Site | Justification  |
|---|----------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                            |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'moderate' or 'significant' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                            | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                            | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, a shopping parade (New Romney High Street) and open spaces.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                            | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 28 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of  | 5(b) Dwellings for         | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 28 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| society, including the elde   | erly. older people  |      | standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of   | ?    | The site forms part of New Romney which is a more rural settlement and is within 500m of Romney Priory Scheduled Monument directly to the south of the site within the historic core of New Romney. Significant development within the site has the potential to have a significant negative effect on these historic assets, although this is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on mostly undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of public transport.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on mostly undeveloped greenfield land. This is considered to be a less efficient use of land and the site currently represents encroachment into the countryside.   |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | Approximately 10% of the site falls within Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land although this is not considered to represent potential for large scale loss. A negligible effect is therefore likely.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                | Site | Justification  |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--|
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | 0    | The site is within the parish of St Mary in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

### SHLAA Reference Number: 1002 Site Name/Address: Land at Spitfire Way, Hawkinge

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the character of the AONB. The development should avoid adverse effects on the ancient woodland and where possible provide biodiversity enhancement measures. Contaminated land should be fully remediated prior to construction.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, Churchill Primary School, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces.   |

| SA Objectives  |  | Site | Justification  |
|--|--|------|--|
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.   |  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordab   | le housing   | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde         | 5(b) Dwellings for older people                                    | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities  | <del>-</del>   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.   | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets. |      | The site is on the edge of Hawkinge. The site is not within close proximity of any heritage assets. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of | 8(a) Landscape   | ?    | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered to be adequately screened from the wider AONB due to open views to the south. Therefore, there is potential for significant negative effects on this objective, although this is uncertain until the specific scale, design and layout of the new development is known.  |
| the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                             | 0    | The development is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hawkinge with neighbouring settlements.  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration                                       | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | -?   | The site is within 250m of an area of ancient woodland. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase  | 10(a) Reduce the need<br>to travel                                 | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes and avoid                          | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose                             | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| development that will result in significant traffic congestion.                         | sustainable transport<br>modes  |      |   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | -    | The site is on greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | -    | The whole site lies on Grade 3 agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | ++   | The site is considered to be contaminated after the areas historic use as an airfield. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Zone.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | -?   | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues, however the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

#### SHLAA Reference Number: 388 Site Name/Address: Land west of Canterbury Road, Hawkinge

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the character of the AONB. Providing allotments on site could offset the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land. The development should avoid harm to the adjacent ancient woodland.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.              |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, Hawkinge Primary School and Churchill Primary School, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of   |                                 | 0?   | The site adjoins the settlement of Hawkinge. There are no heritage features within close proximity. Therefore, until such  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| townscape.  | character: coalescence  |      | effect is likely until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | Some of the land within the parcel already been developed; however, the majority has not. Therefore, the development of the site is not considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of area.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?   | The site is directly adjacent to an area of ancient woodland (Killing Wood) and there is also another area of ancient woodland (Coombe Wood) within 250m. A minor negative effect is likely although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | 0    | Some of the land within the parcel already been developed; however, the majority has not. This is therefore not considered to represent the most efficient use of land.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -    | The site is wholly on Grade 3 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | -?   | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues, however the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure   |   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

| SA Objectives              | Site | Justification |
|----------------------------|------|---------------|
| that it meets local needs. |      |               |

#### Site Name/Address: Cherry Gardens, New Romney

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the Ramsar and SSSI, and where possible, incorporate biodiversity enhancement measures into the design. Providing allotments on site should be explored to avoid complete loss of Grade 3 agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |  |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'moderate' or 'significant' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two outdoor sports and recreational facilities, one of which is directly adjacent (Littlestone Golf Club) and two areas of open space. There are no other services within close proximity.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing   |  | +    | The site is 0.53 ha and is expected to be able to accommodate 10 dwellings. A site of this size is considered to be make a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| needs of all sections of  | housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  5(b) Dwellings for older people |      | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 10 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of   | 0?   | The site forms part of the settlement of New Romney although is on the edge of the town. There are no heritage features within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of                                  | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.                           |
| the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence  | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |      | The site is directly adjacent to Dungeness Ramsar and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this will be uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.            |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two bus stops and New Romney train station.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                | Site | Justification   |
|---|--------------------------------|------|---|
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | I I (b) Soil dilality and      |      | The whole of the site sits on Grade 3 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

SHLAA Reference Number: 674 Site Name/Address: Digby Road, CT20 3NB

**Recommendations:** The impact of the development on nearby commercial premises should be assessed.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site                             | Justification   |  |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|--|
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | +                                | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, three schools, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, an employment site, open spaces and a shopping parade.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |   | crime.                           | 0   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le  | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing       | +   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 9 dwellings. A site of this size is considered to be make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  | 0   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 9 dwellings. The site therefore has limited potential for providing homes for older people in the District.   |
|   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                  | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of both an employment area and shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.            |                                  | 0?  | The site is within the urban area of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   |   | 0?                               | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. |  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ` '   | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence | 0   | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   |   | Townscape:<br>neration           | 0   | The site currently represents a car park in Folkestone and constitutes previously developed land. However, this land is not derelict and its redevelopment would not constitute a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?                               | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.                                    |  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of both an employment area and shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone West train station and a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on previously developed land. This is considered to represent more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.                       |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sandgate which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  |   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

**Recommendations:** The design of the site should seek to minimise any adverse effects on the setting of the nearby Scheduled Monuments. The development should also seek to minimise effects on the character of the AONB as well as effects of settlement coalescence. Where possible, biodiversity enhancement measures should be incorporated into the development to minimise effects on Reinden Wood ancient woodland and LWS. Allotments onsite could help to reduce the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater.

| SA Objectiv   | SA Objectives  |    | Justification  |
|---|--|----|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t<br>the effects of climate change.   | 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. |    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |  | 0  | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |  | 0  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a post office and an outdoor sports and recreational facility (Hawkinge Cricket Club). There are no other services or facilities within close proximity.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |  | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing   | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 44 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 44 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | -?   | The site sits on the edge of the settlement of Densole. The site is within 500m of two Bronze Age barrow cemeteries (Scheduled Monuments) in Reinden Woods. A minor rather than significant negative effect is likely due to the assets being located within woodland which could help to screen effects on their setting. This effect is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development and opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of                                  | 8(a) Landscape  | ?    | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered that it would be adequately screened from the wider AONB due to open views from the north. Therefore, there is potential for significant negative effects on landscape, although this is uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known.   |
| the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The site sits between the villages of Densole and Hawkinge; however, development and woodland to the south limit the role of the land within the site in maintaining separation between these two neighbouring towns.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site is within 250m of Reinden Wood ancient woodland which is also designated as a Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is likely although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | The site is wholly within Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land  | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                | Site | Justification   |
|---|--------------------------------|------|---|
|   | contamination                  |      |   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for brickearth (other areas) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | -?   | The site is within the parish of Swingfield which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is, however, within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain relating to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

### **SHLAA Reference Number: 316 (Revised)**

## Site Name/Address: East Hawkinge Lands

**Recommendations:** The development should seek to minimise effects on the character of the AONB. Where possible, biodiversity enhancement measures should be incorporated into the development to minimise effects on Coombe Wood ancient woodland. Allotments onsite would help to reduce the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land. Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating                               | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, Hawkinge Primary School, three outdoor sports and recreational facilities and a number of open spaces.  |

| SA Objectives  |   | Site                             | Justification   |  |
|--|---|----------------------------------|---|--|
| inequalities of opportunity for access.  |   |                                  |   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.   |   | crime.                           | 0   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordab   | ole   | 5(a) Affordable housing          | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| needs of all sections of   |   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| * *  | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                  | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.   | the fal   | oric and setting of              | 0?  | The site adjoins the settlement of Hawkinge. There are no heritage features within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of |   | ?                                | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered that it would be adequately screened from the wider AONB due to open views from the east. There is therefore the potential for significant negative effect on landscape, although this is uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known. |  |
| -  | ` '   | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence | 0   | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hawkinge with neighbouring settlements.   |
|  | 1 '   | Townscape:<br>neration           | 0   | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance  | biodive   | ersity.                          | -?  | The site is within 250m of Coombe Wood ancient woodland. A minor negative effect is likely although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.  |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |    | Justification   |
|---|---|----|---|
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and there is a cycle route to the north.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -  | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing on brownfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -  | The site is wholly within Grade 3 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0  | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?  | The northern part of the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for brickearth (other areas) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | -? | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is, however, within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain relating to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | open space and ensure   | 0  | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

SHLAA Reference Number: 602 Site Name/Address: Land between Valebrook Close and Valestone Close, Horn Street, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** Where possible, biodiversity enhancement measures should be incorporated into the development to minimise effects on Paraker Wood and Seabrook Stream LWS. The design of the development should seek to avoid coalescence between Horn Street and Folkestone.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of St Martin's Church of England Primary School and Cheriton Primary School, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces. There is also an employment area within 800m of the site to the east; however the terrain to the east of the site makes it significantly less accessible. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 45 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 45 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | 0    | There is an employment area within 800m of the site to the east; however the terrain to the east of the site makes it less accessible.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | 0?   | The site is in a rural location between the settlements of Horn Street and Folkestone. There are no heritage features within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site next to existing built up area, as well as the topography of the area, screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | ?    | The site represents what remains of an undeveloped gap between the between the settlements of Horn Street and Folkestone. Therefore development within the site would have a significant adverse effect on this part of the objective This effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the sites are known.                  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?   | The site is within 250m of Paraker Wood and Seabrook Stream Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is likely, although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects, so the effect is uncertain.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | There is an employment area within 800m of the site to the east; however the terrain to the east of the site makes it less accessible.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not within an area of graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The western part of the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone – Sandgate formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve  | the quality of  | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not   |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                          |      | within a Groundwater Source Protection Zones.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Black Bull Allotments, Dolphins Road, Folkestone

**Recommendations:** The loss of open space on site in the form of allotments should be offset through the provision of open space/allotments elsewhere.

| SA Objectives   |                            | Site | Justification  |
|---|----------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                            | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                            | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                            | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of three medical surgeries, the Royal Victoria Hospital, a number of schools, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces and four employment areas.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                            | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 65 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |

| SA Obj  | ectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | older people  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 65 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four employment areas.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of   | 0?   | The site is within an urban residential area of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the site represents infill development and is screened from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the whole site sits on allotments Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.                                   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four employment areas.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of<br>land  | -    | The site is on undeveloped land currently used as allotments. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and  | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                | Site | Justification  |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--|
|   | quantity                       |      |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0    | The land on which the site sits is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | 0    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zones.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                | ?    | Despite not being formally designated as a public open space, the entire site is currently used as allotments. The development of the site would result in the loss of the allotments with significant adverse effects against this objective. This effect is uncertain until such time as it is clear to what extent the allotments will be provided for elsewhere in Folkestone. |

## Site Name/Address: Land adj. 43 Horn Street

**Recommendations:** the design of the development should seek to minimise adverse effects on the character of the AONB and the settlement of Horn Street. Biodiversity enhancement measures should be incorporated where possible alongside mitigation measures to minimise adverse effects on nearby nature designations.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 although a Flood Zone 2 and 3 area is directly adjacent to north and east. The site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.            |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |     | Site                                 | Justification  |  |
|---|-----|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |     | , facilities and<br>I avoid creating | +  | The site is within convenient walking distance of St. Martin's Primary School, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open space, an area of which is directly adjacent to the south.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |     | crime.                               | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordat  | ole | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing           | +  | The site is 1.2ha and is expected to be able to accommodate 8 dwellings, 30% of which will need to be affordable. This would make a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |     | 5(b) Dwellings for older people      | 0  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 8 dwellings and is therefore considered to have limited potential for providing homes for older people.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   | _   | quality and diverse                  | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |     | bric and setting of                  | 0?   | The site sits adjoins the settlement of Horn Street and is not within close proximity of any heritage features. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   |     | Landscape                            | -?   | The site is not within the Kent Downs AONB but is within 1km. A minor negative effect is likely although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   |     | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence     | 0  | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Horn Street with Hythe.   |
|   |     | Townscape:<br>neration               | 0  | The site is on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |     | -?                                   | The site is within 250m of Paraker Wood ancient woodland and Paraker Wood and Seabrook Stream Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. |  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land and this represents less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone – Sandgate formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.             |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zones. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | open space and ensure   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space but is directly adjacent to the north of an existing area.  |

### Site Name/Address: Land east of former railway, Teddars Leas Road, Etchinghill

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the character of the AONB. Appropriate mitigation/enhancement measures should be incorporated into the design to reduce adverse effects on the nearby SAC, SSSI and ancient woodland.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities. There are no other services or facilities within close proximity.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 30 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 30 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | 0?   | The site is on the outskirts of the settlement of Etchinghill in a rural location. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | -?   | The site is within the Kent Downs AONB, however it is considered likely that it would be screened from the wider AONB by existing built-up development and forestry. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Etchinghill with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site is within 250m of the Folkestone to Etchinghill Escarpment SAC and SSSI and directly adjacent to ancient woodland known as The Lince. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not in an area of graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. | 0    | The site is within the parish of Lyminge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zones. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

SHLAA Reference Number: 328 Site Name/Address: Sellindge East

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to enhance the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Buildings. Opportunities should be explored for incorporating allotments into the design in order to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land. The detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site should seek to reduce the adverse effects that could result on settlement and landscape character as a result of settlement coalescence. Appropriate mitigation/enhancement should be employed to reduce effects on the nearby Gibbin's Brook SSSI.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Sellindge Primary School and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities. There are no other services or facilities within close proximity.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of |

| SA Objectives  |                 | Site  | Justification   |   |
|--|-----------------|---|---|---|
|  |                 |   | the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.  |   |
| 5. Improve the provision homes, including affordation  | ole             | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing                            | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 200 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde         |                 | 5(b) Dwellings for older people                       | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 200 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities  | _               | uality and diverse                                    | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                           |                 | oric and setting of                                   | ?   | The site adjoins Sellindge and is in a rural location. The site is within 100m of three Grade II Listed Buildings and 250m of two other Grade II Listed Buildings. There is therefore potential for a significant negative effects on the setting of these historic assets. This will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development, so these effects are currently uncertain   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and                          | 8(a) Landscape  |   | 0?  | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| -  | ` '             | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence                      | ?   | The site lies at the southern edge of Sellindge. The development of the entire site would result in Sellindge only being separated from the settlement of Barrow Hill (directly to the south) by the M20 and a railway line, which would result in the perceived coalescence of these two separate settlements and a significant adverse effect on this part of the objective. Overall, this effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site are known. |
|  |                 | Townscape:<br>neration                                | 0   | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |                 | -?  | The site is within 250m of the Gibbin's Brook SSSI. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. |   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase  | 10(a)<br>to tra | ) Reduce the need<br>avel                             | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes and avoid<br>development that will | oppo            | ) Increase<br>rtunities to choose<br>inable transport | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| result in significant traffic congestion.   | modes                           |      |   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | -    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity |      | The whole site is on Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone – Sandgate formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.                |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs.                                   | open space and ensure           | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: The Mount, Barrow Hill, Sellindge

**Recommendations:** Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite should be investigated to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |
|--|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.     | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding. |
| Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed                  |

| SA Objectives   |                                     | Site        | Justification  |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.   |                                     |             | development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                     | 0           | The site is not within convenient walking distance of any services or facilities.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                     | 0           | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le housing                          | e ++        | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwelling                       | s for<br>++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |                                     | erse 0      | There are no employment sites or shopping parades within convenient walking distance of the site.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                     | of 0?       | The site adjoins the rural settlement of Barrowhill. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site.  Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape                      | 0?          | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalesce | nce 0       | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Barrowhill with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration     | 0           | The majority of the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | There are no employment sites or shopping parades within convenient walking distance of the site.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is mostly on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | The whole site is on Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone – Sandgate formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | •   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

**Recommendations:** The design of the site should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Buildings. The detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site should seek to reduce the adverse effects that could result on settlement and landscape character as a result of settlement coalescence. Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite should be explored to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land.

| SA Objectiv   | SA Objectives              |    | Justification  |
|---|----------------------------|----|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t<br>the effects of climate change.   | aking into account         | 0  | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                            | 0  | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                            | 0  | The site is within convenient walking distance of Sellindge Primary School and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                            | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 25 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  5(b) Dwellings older people   |                            | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 25 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                            | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fa  | bric and setting of        | ?  | The site is in a rural location in close proximity to the settlement of Sellindge. The site is within 100m of Guinea Hall Grade II Listed Building and 250m of Elm Tree Farm House Grade II Listed Building. Therefore, there is the potential for   |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site | Justification  |
|---|--|------|--|
| historic assets.  |  |      | significant adverse effects on the setting of these buildings. This is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the development are known. Opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and                                   | 8(a) Landscape   | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence   | -?   | The site lies on the edge of the village of Sellindge, in between the village and the smaller village of Stone Hill to the west. The development of multiple dwellings within the site would reduce the size of the gap between Sellindge and Stone Hill by roughly 10%. Development of the site is therefore considered to have a minor negative effect this objective, although this effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known. |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration   | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel  | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | nodes and avoid opportunities to choose sustainable transport esult in significant modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land  | -    | The site is on undeveloped land and this represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity  |      | The whole site is on Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals   | ?    | The site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone – Sandgate formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |

| SA Objectives   |              | Site | Justification   |
|---|--------------|------|---|
|   | safeguarding |      |   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |              | 0    | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |              | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |              | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

#### SHLAA Reference Number: 216a & 216b

# Site Name/Address: Station Approach, New Romney

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to managed flood risk on site. Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land could be explored.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|------|---|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The whole site is within a Flood Zone 2 and 3 area, although not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' and 'moderate' coastal flooding. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, Marsh Academy, an employment area, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |  |
|---|---|------|---|--|
|   |   |      | the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le housing  | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 21 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  The site is expected to be able to accommodate 21 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  The site is directly adjacent to an employment area and is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades. |  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5(b) Dwellings for  | ++   |   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   |   | ++   |   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of   | 0?   | The site adjoins the settlement of New Romney. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | Site 216b has already been developed and can be considered brownfield land; however the largely site 216a (which represents approximately 70% of the proposed site) has not and is greenfield land. Therefore, development of the site, including the redevelopment of site 216b, is not considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the area.   |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is directly adjacent to an employment area and is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.   |  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney train station and a number of bus stops.   |  |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | -    | Site 216b has already been developed and can be considered brownfield land; however the largely site 216a (which represents approximately 70% of the proposed site) has not and is greenfield land. Therefore the development of the site as a whole is not considered to represent an efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites. |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | -    | Approximately 45% of the land within the site is on Grade 3 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs.                                   | open space and ensure           | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Commercial Land, Station Approach, New Romney

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to remediate land contamination and manage flood risk on site.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |  |
|--|------|--|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.   | -    | The whole site is in a Flood Zone 2 area and approximately 20% is in a Flood zone 3 area. The site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' and 'moderate' coastal flooding. A minor negative effect is therefore likely.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |

| SA Objectives   |                | Site                             | Justification   |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| climate and extreme weather.  |                |                                  |   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                | +                                | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, Marsh Academy, an employment area (site sits within a large employment area), outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                | crime.                           | 0   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le             | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing       | +   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a minor contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |                | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  | 0   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings. The site therefore has limited potential for providing homes for older people.   |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   | -              | quality and diverse              | ++  | The site is directly adjacent to an employment area and is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fal        | bric and setting of              | 0?  | The site is within the settlement of New Romney. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of  | 8(a) Landscape |                                  | 0?  | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| the landscape and 8   | ` '            | Settlement<br>acter: coalescence | 0   | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   |
| 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  |                | ++?                              | The site currently contains small blocks used by local businesses. The redevelopment of the site has the potential to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodive        | ersity.                          | 0?  | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
|   |   |      | assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney train station and a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is on brownfield land. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.   |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | ++   | The site is considered to be contaminated following its uses associated with the settlement's railway station. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective. |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance of that it meets local needs.   | •   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should minimise effects on the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument, and where possible seek to enhance these settings. Appropriate mitigation/enhancement measures should be employed to minimise effects on the LWS, and opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite should be explored to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 |      | The whole site is within a Flood Zone 2 and 3 area as well as 'moderate' and 'significant' flood zones as identified in the District's SFRA (2015).  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, Dymchurch Primary School, a post office and outdoor sports and recreational facilities.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 87 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 87 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of   |                                 | -?   | The site adjoins the settlement of Dymchurch and is in a rural location. The site is within 250m of four Grade II Listed Buildings and also within 500m of Martello Tower number 24 Scheduled Monument; however, these heritage assets sit   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| historic assets.  |   |      | within the urban area of Hythe. Furthermore, the site sites on the opposing side of a railway line; therefore, the significance of adverse effects from further development in the site is likely to be more moderate. This effect is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Dymchurch with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site consists of undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?   | The site is within 250m of a Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is directly adjacent to Dymchurch train station and within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | Approximately 35% of the site area is on Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification   |
|---|------|---|
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. | 0    | The site is within the parish of Dymchurch which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

SHLAA Reference Number: 1014 Site Name/Address: Craythorne Farm

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The site is wholly within a Flood Zone 2 and 3 area but not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two schools, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and an area of open space. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |

| SA Objectives   |                                       | Site  | Justification |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|--|
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to t needs of all sections of society, including the elder | le                                    | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing                                    | 0             | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 3 dwellings. This site therefore has limited potential for providing affordable homes in the District.  |
|   |                                       | 5(b) Dwellings for older people                               | 0             | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 3 dwellings. This site therefore has limited potential for providing homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of employment opportunities   | _                                     | quality and diverse   | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fa                                | bric and setting of   | 0?            | The site adjoins the settlement of New Romney and is in a rural location. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape                        |   | 0?            | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.                      |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ` '                                   | Settlement acter: coalescence                                 | 0             | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | ` '                                   | Townscape:<br>neration  | 0             | The site is on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity. |   | 0?            | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a<br>to tra                        | ) Reduce the need avel  | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion.             | oppo                                  | ) Increase<br>ortunities to choose<br>ainable transport<br>es | ++            | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently  | 11(a                                  | ) Efficient use of  | -             | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification   |
|---|---------------------------------|------|---|
| and safeguard soils,<br>geology and economic<br>mineral reserves.                       | land                            |      |   |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination     | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | 0    | The site is within the parish of St. Mary in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |

# Site Name/Address: Fisher Field, Dungeness Road, Lydd

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should be sensitive to the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Building.

Appropriate mitigation/enhancement should be incorporated into the design to minimise adverse effects on the SSSI and LWS.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |      | The land within the site is in a Flood Zone 2 area and identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'significant' and 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.   |
| Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site                             | Justification   |   |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|---|
| climate and extreme weather.  |   |                                  |   |   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | facilities and<br>avoid creating | +   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, a school, a post office, a number of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, an employment site and open spaces.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the f   | ear of c  | rime.                            | 0   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | le I  | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing       | +   | The site is 0.45ha and is expected to be able to accommodate 9 dwellings. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people  | 0   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 9 dwellings. A negligible effect is likely for this objective as there is limited potential for this site to provide homes for older people.   |
| 1 ' '   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                  | ++  | The site is in close proximity of a of major employment site within Lydd, therefore a significant positive effect is likely.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   | -?                               | The site forms part of the settlement of Lydd. 'Herring Hang to south of Brook House' Grade II Listed Building is within 250m of the site; however, a significant number of buildings sit between the proposed development site and the historic asset, limiting the role of the site's undeveloped land on its setting. There is therefore potential of minor adverse effects on this objective, although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   |   | andscape                         | 0?  | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   |   | ettlement<br>ter: coalescence    | 0   | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Lydd with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) To   | ownscape:<br>eration             | 0   | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | 0?                               | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is in close proximity of an employment site within Lydd, therefore a significant positive effect is likely.   |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites and a minor negative effect is likely.   |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Lydd which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  |   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. Appropriate mitigation/enhancement should be incorporated into the design to minimise adverse effects on the SSSI and LWS.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t<br>the effects of climate change.   | aking into account              | -    | Approximately 2% of the site is within a Flood Zone 3 area and 72% is within a Flood Zone 2 area and identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'moderate' coastal flooding. A minor negative effect is therefore likely.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of open space and employment sites. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | crime.                          | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | ++   | The site is in close proximity of a number of major employment areas within Lydd, therefore a significant positive effect is likely.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | 0?   | The site adjoins the settlement of Lydd. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Effects are assumed to be negligible but uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development and opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features.    |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Lydd with neighbouring settlements.   |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | -?   | The site is within 250m of the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI and Lydd Common and Pastures Local Wildlife Site. There is therefore potential for adverse effects on the SSSI and LWS although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | ++   | The site is in close proximity of a number of major employment areas within Lydd, therefore a significant positive effect is likely.  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites and a minor negative effect is likely.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?    | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | 0    | The site is within the parish of Lydd which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

## Site Name/Address: Pepperland Nurseries, Boarmans Lane, Brookland

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the development should be sensitive to the setting of the nearby Grade I and II Listed Buildings and Conservation Area. Contaminated land should be remediated prior to construction works.

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                 |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | 0    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Brookland Primary School and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities, one of which is associated with the school. The other (Brookland Cricket Club) is also designated as open space. There are no other facilities within convenient walking distance.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                 | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.          |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 35 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
|   | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 35 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification   |
|---|---|------|---|
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |   | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | the fabric and setting of   | ?    | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Brookland. The site is within 250m of several Grade I and Grade II Listed Buildings. The site abuts a Conservation Area comprised of significant areas of undeveloped land. Therefore, the proposed construction of 9 homes would significantly detract from the conservation area's setting, and result in a spread of the built area of the village. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence  | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Brookland with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site sits on previously developed land used as a plant nursery; however the redevelopment of this housing would not make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the area.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiversity.   | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | to travel proportunities to choose pustainable transport nodes and avoid levelopment that will esult in significant  to travel 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes |      | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment site or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. |   |      | The site is within convenient walking distance of a bus stop.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | +    | The site is wholly on previously developed land and this represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.  |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | The site is wholly on Grade 1 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is likely.   |
|   | 11(c) Land  | ++   | The land within the site is recognised as contaminated land, which would be remediated.   |

| SA Objectives   |                                | Site | Justification  |
|---|--------------------------------|------|--|
|   | contamination                  |      |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Zone.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | 0    | The site is within the parish of Brookland which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |

**SHLAA Reference Number: 261** 

Site Name/Address: Limuru, Cowgate Lane

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should be sensitive to the character of the AONB. Opportunities for the incorporation of allotments into the design of the development should be explored to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land. Measures should be taken to prevent pollution to groundwater.

| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  |
|---|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0    | The site is only within convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open space. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of   |

| SA Objectives   |  | Site   | Justification |   |  |   |
|---|--|--|---------------|---|--|---|
|   |  |  |               |   |  | green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. |
| homes, including affordat   | 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing    |  | +             | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  |  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people                              | 0             | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings. The site therefore has limited potential for providing homes for older people in the District.  |  |   |
| 6. Support the creation o employment opportunitie   | _  | quality and diverse  | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |  |   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance historic assets.  | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets. |  | 0?            | The site adjoins the settlement of Hawkinge and is in a rural location. There are no heritage assets within close proximity o the site. Effects are assumed to be negligible but uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development and opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. |  |   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the  | 8(a) Landscape   |  | ?             | The site is within the Kent Down AONB. A significant negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |  |   |
| quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                             |  | 0             | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hawkinge with neighbouring settlements.   |  |   |
| townscape.  |  | Townscape:<br>neration                                       | 0             | The majority of the site is on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |  |   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | biodiv   | ersity.  | 0?            | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |  |   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a)<br>to tra  | ) Reduce the need avel                                       | 0             | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  |  |   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | oppo   | ) Increase<br>rtunities to choose<br>ninable transport<br>es | ++            | The site is within convenient walking distance of two bus stops and is also directly adjacent to a cycle route.   |  |   |

| SA Objectives   |                                 | Site | Justification  |  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|--|
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land     | -    | The majority of the site is on undeveloped land. This represents less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   |  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity | -    | The whole site is on Grade 3 agricultural land.  |  |
| 11(c) Land contamination  |                                 | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                 | -?   | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does, however, fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as actual effects would relate to construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                 | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                 | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  |  |

#### **SHLAA Reference Number: 617**

## Site Name/Address: Black Horse Caravan Site, 385 Canterbury Road, Densole

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should be sensitive to the character of the Kent Downs AONB. Opportunities for incorporating allotments into the design of the development should be explored to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land. Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater.

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification  |
|--|------|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.   | 0    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |
| Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing. | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

| SA Objectives   |   | ;                               | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|---------------------------------|------|--|
| climate and extreme weather.  |   |                                 |      |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |   | acilities and<br>void creating  | 0    | The only service or facility within convenient walking distance of the site is a post office. A negligible effect is likely.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the f   | fear of cri   | ime.                            | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordab   | ole h   | o(a) Affordable<br>nousing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 73 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| housing, having regard to<br>needs of all sections of<br>society, including the elde  | 5   | o(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 73 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 1 ' '   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                 | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment site or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |   |                                 |      | The site sits within a rural location and adjoins the settlement of Densole. Bowl Barrow Scheduled Monument is within 500m of the site to the north. However, the Scheduled Monument sits within a ploughed field with no significant setting. Therefore, development in the site is not considered to have a significant effect on this heritage asset. All effects are uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. Opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the  | 8(a) La   | indscape                        | ?    | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. There is therefore potential for significant negative effect, although this is uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known.   |
| quality, character and<br>local distinctiveness of<br>the landscape and   | ` '   | ettlement<br>er: coalescence    | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Densole with neighbouring settlements.   |
| townscape.  | 8(c) To<br>regener  | wnscape:<br>ration              | 0    | The site is currently in use as a campsite. Despite containing areas of hardstanding and semi-permanent dwellings this is not considered to be brownfield land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | sity.                           | 0?   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, a significant proportion of the site is made-up of undesignated greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |

| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |    | Justification   |
|---|---|----|---|
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment site or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of three bus stops.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -  | The site is currently in use as a campsite. Despite containing areas of hardstanding and semi-permanent dwellings this is not considered to be brownfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land and a minor negative effect is likely.   |
|   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   | -  | The whole site is on land that is designated as Grade 3 agricultural quality. A minor negative effect is therefore likely.  |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0  | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0  | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                                       |   | -? | The site is within the parish of Swingfield which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues; however, it falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain and would be dependent on the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |
| 13. Use water resources   | 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance that it meets local needs.  | •   | 0  | The site is not a designated area of open space.  |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk on site. The design of the site should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Scheduled Monument, and where possible lead to enhancement. The provision of allotments on site should be explored to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land.

| SA Objectiv   | es                              | Site | Justification  |  |
|---|---------------------------------|------|--|--|
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t<br>the effects of climate change.   | aking into account              |      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 and identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'significant' and 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                                 | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                           |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and a number of open spaces. A minor positive effect is likely.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of   | crime.                          | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.                                    |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                 | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                 | ?    | The site is within close proximity of the settlement of New Romney in a rural location. The site is within 500m of Romney Priory Scheduled Monument. Therefore, development of the site has the potential to have significant negative effects on the setting of this heritage asset, although this is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are |  |

| SA Objectives   |   | Site | Justification  |
|---|---|------|--|
|   |   |      | known. Opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and   | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.                            |
| local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                                      | 0    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | 0    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                                       |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the site is made up of undesignated greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase   | 10(a) Reduce the need to travel   | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there is a parade of shops.   |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to choose<br>sustainable transport<br>modes | 0    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of public transport modes.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient use of land   | -    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity   |      | Approximately 34% of the site is on land that is classified as being of Grade 1 and 2.   |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in an Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve  | the quality of  | 0    | The site is within the parish of St. Mary in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |

| SA Objectives  | Site | Justification   |  |
|--|------|---|--|
| groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.                          |      |   |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at the stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  |  |

# Appendix 7

Detailed appraisal matrices of the Preferred Options PPLP site allocations and development management policies

# Preferred Site Allocations Policies

## **Policy UA4 – Silverspring Site Park Farm**

**Recommendations:** Mitigation/enhancement measures should be incorporated into the design of the development to minimise effects on the SAC and SSSI.

**SHLAA Reference Number: 656** 

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>656 | Justification  |                            | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, four schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and an employment area (site also sits within a large employment area).   | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a full assessment is provided outlining what the impact of any proposed town centre uses would have on the vitality and viability of Folkestone Town Centre and other town centres, relating to the scale and the type of development proposed. The site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including medical facilities, schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and employment areas and so a minor positive effect is likely. |  |

|   | SA Objectives                         |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|----|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objecti  |                                       |    | Justification  | Policy<br>UA4              | Justification  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                       | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective          |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having       | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 250 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 250 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District   |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.        | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 250 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 250 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                       | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and also sits within a larger employment area.  | ++                         | The policy states that the site been allocated for mixed use development including business uses. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhan and setting of historic a                               |                                       | 0? | The site is within the urban area of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?                         | The site is investigated and evaluated to establish if it is of archaeological interest and if so an appropriate mitigation strategy is prepared and implemented. The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain. |  |

|   |   |     | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|---|-----|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obj  | SA Objectives   |     | Justification   | Policy<br>UA4              | Justification   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of                      | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?  | The site is within 1km of the AONB although the development would be screened from the wider AONB by existing development. A negligible rather than minor negative effect on the character of the AONB is therefore likely although this would be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain   |  |
| the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                      | 0   | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                             | ++? | The site sits on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |   | -?  | The north-eastern part of the site is within 250m of Folkestone to Etchinghill Escarpment SAC and SSSI. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   | -?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. As the north-<br>eastern part of the site is within 250m of Folkestone to<br>Etchinghill Escarpment SAC and SSSI, a minor negative<br>effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as<br>appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may<br>even result in beneficial effects. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development | 10(a) Reduce the<br>need to travel                          | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  | ++                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where transport improvements are made to encourage cycling and walking and to provide a bus stop.  The site is already within convenient walking distance of a   |  |

|   |   |    | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---|----|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obj  | SA Objectives                           |    | Justification   | Policy<br>UA4              | Justification  |  |
| that will result in significant traffic congestion.                                     | transport modes                         |    |   |                            | number of bus stops. A significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land          | +  | The site is on previously developed land. This is considered to be a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |
| mineral and quantity reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality<br>and quantity      | 0  | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination             | ++ | The site is on land that is considered to be contaminated through historical works. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and therefore a significant positive effect is likely on this objective. | ++                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where any potential contamination from earlier uses is investigated and mitigated. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a significant positive effect on this objective. |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding          | 0  | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |   | 0  | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | nhance open space<br>meets local needs. | 0  | The site is not in an area of designated open space.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

| SHLAA Reference Number: 382  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Justification  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the cy is considered to have a negligible effect on this ctive.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| policy makes no reference to domestic energy sumption or the potential for renewable energy use. See factors are influenced by design and construction mods encouraged through detailed development agement policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to be a negligible effect on this objective.     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| policy makes no reference to the provision of services or ities. As the site is already within convenient walking ance of a number of services and facilities, including ical facilities, schools, outdoor sports and recreational ities, open spaces and employment areas, a minor tive effect is likely. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear ime depend on detailed design factors, such as the rporation of green space or the use of appropriate ing. The policy makes no reference to design factors as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   |                               |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|-------------------------------|----|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectiv   | SA Objectives                 |    | Justification  | Policy<br>UA6              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                               | 0  | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                               | 0  | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                               | +  | The site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities, schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and employment areas. There are two railway lines which separate the site from most of these facilities however access is still available via the A260 Southern Way/Warren Road.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including medical facilities, schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and employment areas, a minor positive effect is likely.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                               | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 70 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution   | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 68 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of  |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Object  | ives                                   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>382 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA6              | Justification  |  |
| housing, having  |  |                      | to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |                            | affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  5(b)  Dwellings for older people |  | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 70 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 68 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.       |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.                            |  | ++                   | A large part of the site is on land earmarked for employment. Whilst the development of the site for housing could reduce the viability of the remainder of the employment land being developed, there is access to other employment areas within the immediate vicinity as well as Folkestone town centre where there are a number of shopping parades. A significant positive effect is likely overall. | ++                         | The policy supports the delivery of 1000 sqm complimentary Class B1/B8 commercial floorspace. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective. |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                                       |  | 0?                   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local   | a) Landscape                           | 0?                   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain  |  |
| and townscape. ch  | b) Settlement<br>aracter:<br>alescence | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 8(   | c) Townscape:                          | ++?                  | The whole of this site is on previously developed land.   | ++?                        | The policy states that development proposals will be   |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obj   | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>382 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA6              | Justification  |  |
|  | regeneration   |                      | Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |                            | supported where approximately 1000 sqm B1/B8 commercial floorspace is provided in a way that would be compatible with new housing without having an adverse impact upon the ongoing viability of the commercial uses or the amenities of future residential occupants. Therefore a significant positive effect is expected for this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
| 9. Conserve and e biodiversity.  | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |                      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.    | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce the<br>need to travel   | ++                   | There is access to employment areas within the immediate vicinity as well as Folkestone town centre where there are a number of shopping parades. A significant positive effect is likely overall.                          | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and also within easy access of a cycle route.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.                         | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +                    | The whole of the site is on previously developed land.  Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |
|  | 11(b) Soil quality<br>and quantity   | 0                    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

|   |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   |   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>382 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA6              | Justification  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination             | ++                   | The site is considered to be contaminated given its historical use. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective. | ++                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where any potential contamination from earlier uses is investigated and mitigated. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a significant positive effect on this objective. |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding          | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |   | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |   | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | nhance open space<br>meets local needs. | 0                    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

SHLAA Reference Number: 045 & 342

**Recommendations for SHLAA Sites 045 and 342:** The design of the development should fit with the character of the area. Any net loss of open space on site should be provided elsewhere or in the immediate vicinity of the site.

|   |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------|-------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>UA7              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 045            | 0     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area, although an area at 'moderate' risk of flooding in 2115 in directly adjacent to the east of the site. Overall, there is considered to be no risk of flooding. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a Flood Risk assessment is provided to establish any potential risk associated from the proximity to the Pent Stream. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.                                       |  |
|   | 342            | 0     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |                            |   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience                              | 045            | 0     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
| renewable sources and resilience<br>to a changing climate and<br>extreme weather.   | 342            |       |  |                            |   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of | 045            | ++    | The site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District. Therefore, a significant positive effect is likely for this objective. In addition, the site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities, schools, an outdoor sports and recreational facility, open spaces, a post office and a   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services/facilities. As the site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District, a significant positive effect is likely for this objective.   |  |

|   | SA Objectives                          |     |       | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|-----|-------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   |  |     | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>UA7              | Justification   |  |
| opportunity for ac  | ccess.                                 |     |       | major employment area.  |                            |   |  |
|   |  | 342 | ++    | The site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District. Therefore, a significant positive effect is likely for this objective. In addition, the site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities, schools, an outdoor sports and recreational facility, open spaces, a post office and a major employment area. |                            |   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime a crime.  | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |     | 0     | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.     | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
|   |  |     |       |   |                            |   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable                       | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing          | 045 | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 65 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |                            | The Rotunda Car Park is allocated for residential development with an estimated capacity of 100 dwellings and the Marine Car and Coach Park is allocated for residential development with an estimated capacity of 65 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to                      |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the |  | 342 | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++                         | be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |  |
| elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older<br>people  | 045 | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 65 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes  | ++                         | The Rotunda Car Park is allocated for residential development with an estimated capacity of 100 dwellings and the Marine Car and Coach Park is allocated for residential development with an estimated capacity of 65 dwellings, 20% of which will  |  |

| SA Objectives   |  |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|----------------|-------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
|   |  | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>UA7              | Justification   |  |
|   |  |                |       | for older people in the District.  |                            | be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of   |  |
|   |  | 342            | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |                            | homes for older people in the District.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |  | 045            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   |                            | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.   |  |
|   |  | 342            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   | ++                         | objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and efabric and setting assets.                                   |  | 045            | -?    | The site sits within the urban area of Folkestone and is within the Folkestone Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. There are also a number of Listed Buildings within 100m of the site. This site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land, and therefore a minor negative effect is therefore likely as opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features given that the site is now unused, although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. | -?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the scheme preserves or enhance the character and setting of nearby Heritage Assets, including the Folkestone Conservation Area, the Area of Archaeological Interest and nearby Listed Buildings. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
|   |  | 342            | ?     | The site sits within the urban area of Folkestone and is within the Folkestone Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. There are also a number of Listed Buildings within 100m of the site, including the Leas Lift and Folkestone War Memorial directly adjacent. This site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land in the southern half of the parcel; however, the northern half of the parcel represents a   |                            |   |  |

|   |  |     |       | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|-----|-------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives                          |     | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>UA7              | Justification   |  |
|   |  |     |       | prominent ridge, the development of which is likely to have a significant effect on the setting on this historic area. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely, although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development, resulting in some uncertainty overall.                 |                            |   |  |
| 8. Conserve,<br>and where<br>relevant<br>enhance, the<br>quality,<br>character and<br>local | 8(a)<br>Landscape                      | 045 | 0?    | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | 03                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain                                 |  |
| distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   |  | 342 | 0?    | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | 0?                         |   |  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence | 045 | 0     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   |  | 342 | 0     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | U                          |   |  |
|   | 8(c)<br>Townscape:<br>regeneration     | 045 | ++?   | 90% of the site is on previously developed land.<br>Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a<br>significant contribution to the regeneration of the<br>wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty   | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. The site sits on previously developed land, however. There is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the |  |

|   | SA Objectives                          |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|--|----------------|-------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje   | ectives                                | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>UA7              | Justification  |  |
|   |  |                |       | relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |                            | development an uncertain significant positive is expected.   |  |
|   |  | 342            | ++?   | 56% of the site is on previously developed land associated with the former Folkestone Bathing Establishment. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |                            |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and e biodiversity.   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |                | 0?    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.          |  |
|   |  |                | 0?    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest, particularly the wooded and scrubbed ridge in the northern half of the site.                                    |                            |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase                                   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 045            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   |                            | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for |  |
| opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes<br>and avoid |  | 342            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   | ++                         | this objective.  |  |
| development<br>that will result<br>in significant                         | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose | 045            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and is surrounded by several cycle routes.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected         |  |

|   | SA Objectives                     |     |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----|-------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   |                                   |     | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>UA7              | Justification   |  |
| traffic<br>congestion.  | sustainable<br>transport<br>modes | 342 | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and is adjacent to a cycle route.  |                            | for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land    | 045 | +     | 90% of the site is on previously developed land.  Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.    |                            | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.  |                                   | 342 | +     | 56% of the site is on previously developed land.  Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.    | +                          |   |  |
|   | 11(b) Soil                        | 045 | 0     | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |                            | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect   |  |
|   | quality and quantity              | 342 | 0     | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | on this objective   |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination       | 045 | 0     | The site itself is not considered to be affected by contaminated land; however there are other contaminated land areas in close proximity to the site.                       |                            | The policy states that proposals will be supported where any potential contamination from earlier car parking uses is investigated and mitigated as part of the development proposal. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor |  |
|   |                                   | 342 | 0     | The site itself is not considered to be affected by contaminated land; however there are other contaminated land areas in close proximity to the site.                       | +                          | positive effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals safeguarding       | 045 | ?     | The land within the site has been identified as a<br>Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in<br>the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.                     | ?                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan  |  |
|   |                                   | 342 | 0     | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |                            | 2013-203, an uncertain effect is expected.  |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                   | 045 | 0     | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |

|  | Policy-off Appraisal |       |   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|----------------------|-------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives  | SHLAA<br>Sites       | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>UA7              | Justification   |  |
|  | 342                  | 0     | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |                            |   |  |
| 13. Use water resources  | 045                  |       | Development standards in relation to water efficiency   |                            | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency.  |  |
| efficiently.   | 342                  | 0     | are not related to a development site's location.   | 0                          | Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 045                  | 0?    | About 6% of the site is in an open space area; however, the location of the space in combination within the site proportion, suggest that the redevelopment of the site would not result in a significant loss of this space. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely, although this is uncertain and relates to the extent to which the development will contribute to alternative provision of open space that is lost to development. | ?                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. However 43% of the Rotunda car park site is covered by an area of open space. Therefore an uncertain significant negative effect is likely for this objective. |  |
|  | 342                  | ?     | 43% of the site is covered by an area of open space. A significant negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain and relates to relates to the extent to which the development will contribute to alternative provision of open space that is lost to development.   |                            |   |  |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk onsite – should negative implication be assessed action should betaken

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>103 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA8 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | -                    | Approximately 9% of the site area is within Flood Zone 3 and 12% is within Flood Zone 2. Overall, a minor negative effect is likely.   | 0             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a Flood Risk Assessment is provided to assess the implications of developing in close proximity to Flood Zone 3a. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of six medical surgeries, five schools, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and employment areas.  | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where contributions are to be provided to enhance play and open space at Radnor Park. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of six medical surgeries, five schools, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and employment areas, a minor positive effect is likely. |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on | 0             | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |  |

|   |   | Policy-off Appraisal |   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|---|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obje   | ctives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>103 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA8 | Justification   |  |  |
|   |   |                      | this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.   |               |   |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having         | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing   | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 36 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 42 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |  |
| regard to the needs<br>of all sections of<br>society, including<br>the elderly. | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older<br>people   | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 36 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 36 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |  |
| 1 1   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                      | The site is within convenient walking distance of two employment areas.   | ++            | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.   |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.              |   | 0?                   | The site is in an urban area of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | +?            | The main building is considered to be an undesignated heritage asset. It is to be re-used and converted into residential apartments to provide approximately 16 new homes. Development proposals will be supported where a high quality conversion is sought that preserves the character and setting of the Victorian element of the building. A minor positive effect is therefore expected on this objective. However, this effect is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and             | (a) Landscape   | 0?                   | The site is partially within 1km of the AONB although the development would be screened from the wider AONB by existing development. A negligible rather than minor negative effect on the character of the AONB is therefore likely although this would be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout | +?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the converted and new build elements are properly masterplan to ensure coherence and where the rear aspect of the site is redeveloped in a manner that would enhance the wider setting of the area. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain   |  |  |

| SA Objectives  |  | Policy-off Appraisal |  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|
|  |  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>103 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA8 | Justification   |  |  |
| local<br>distinctiveness   |  |                      | of the new development.  |               | effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |  |  |
| of the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                   | 0                    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++?                  | The majority of the site sits on previously developed and associated with the Royal Victoria Hospital. Redevelopment of the site could make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?           | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the converted and new build elements are properly masterplan to ensure coherence and a high quality conversion is sought that preserves the character and setting of the Victorian element of the building. Therefore a significant positive effect is expected on this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and e biodiversity.  | enhance  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce the<br>need to travel   | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of employment areas.  | ++            | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone<br>Central train station and a number of bus stops.   | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |  |

|   |   |    | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---|----|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA OL   | SA Objectives                             |    | Justification   | Policy<br>UA8              | Justification  |  |
| congestion.                                   |   |    |   |                            |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, | 11(a) Efficient use of land               | +  | The majority of the site is on previously developed land. This is considered to be a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |
| geology and<br>economic<br>mineral            | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity           | 0  | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| reserves.                                     | 11(c) Land<br>contamination               | ++ | The site is identified as being contaminated due to its historical use as a hospital. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and therefore a significant positive effect is likely on this objective. | ++                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where contaminated land onsite should be fully remediated prior to construction works. The policy will help ensure a significant positive effect is likely on this objective. |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding            | 0  | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | improve the quality<br>surface waters and | 0  | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.          |   | 0  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | nhance open space<br>t meets local needs. | 0  | Approximately 3% of the land within the site is designated as open space. This proportion of the site, however, is not considered to be significant enough to result in the substantial loss of open space.   | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where contributions are to be provided to enhance play and open space at Radnor Park. Therefore a minor positive effect is likely on this objective.                          |  |

## Policy UA9 – 3 to 5 Shorncliffe Road, Folkestone

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>625 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA9 | Justification   |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, ta into account the effects of climat change.   | _                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion energy use from renewable source and resilience to a changing climand extreme weather.               | of<br>es 0           | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environme assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                      | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including open spaces, medical facilities, schools and employment sites. There are no barriers that would potentially restrict access to these services. A positive effect is likely for this objective.  | +             | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including open spaces, medical facilities, schools and employment sites, a minor positive effect is likely.   |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0             | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having   | e ++                 | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 20 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |  |

**SHLAA Reference Number: 625** 

|  |  |     | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|--|-----|---|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obj   | SA Objectives                                |     | Justification   | Policy<br>UA9 | Justification   |  |  |
| regard to the need<br>of all sections of<br>society, including t<br>elderly.                               | Dwellings for                                | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.                | ++            | The policy supports and allocation of 20 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |  |
| 6. Support the creat quality and diverse opportunities.  |  | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre to the east, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.  | ++            | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.   |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.   |  | ?   | The site sits in the urban area of Folkestone and is within 100m of the Grade II United Reformed Church. The effect is therefore significant negative due to the potential for adverse effects on the setting of the asset and Conservation Area.           | -?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the scale and design of the proposal would be compatible with the character of the surrounding area and would preserve or enhance the setting of the nearby Folkestone Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known   |  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape | 8(a) Landscape                               | 0?  | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. | +?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where The scale and design of the proposal would be compatible with the character of the surrounding area and would preserve or enhance the setting of the nearby Folkestone Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |  |
| and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence | 0   | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:                              | ++? | The site sits wholly on previously developed land.<br>Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant   | ++?           | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where The scale and design of the proposal would   |  |  |

|  |  | Policy-off Appraisal |  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| SA Obj   | SA Objectives  |                      | Justification  | Policy<br>UA9 | Justification  |  |  |  |
|  | regeneration   |                      | contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape with some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |               | be compatible with the character of the surrounding area and would preserve or enhance the setting of the nearby Folkestone Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. Therefore a significant positive effect is expected on this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and e biodiversity.  | nhance   | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.                             | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |  |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce the<br>need to travel   | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre to the east, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   | ++            | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within 800m of Folkestone Central train station as well as a number of bus stops, two of which are directly adjacent to the north of the site on the A259. There are also a number of cycle routes within walking distance to the south. | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +                    | The site sits wholly on brownfield land and this represents a more efficient use of land, protecting greenfield land from unnecessary development.   | +             | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land, a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |  |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic<br>mineral   | 11(b) Soil quality<br>and quantity   | 0                    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |  |
| reserves.  | 11(c) Land contamination   | 0                    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect  |  |  |  |

| SA Objectives  |   | Policy-off Appraisal |  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
|  |   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>625 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA9              | Justification   |  |
|  |   |                      |  |                            | on this objective.  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding          | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.      |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. | mprove the quality<br>urface waters and | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                   |   | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.           |  |
|  | hance open space<br>meets local needs.  | 0                    | The site is not in an area of open space.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                 |  |

## **SHLAA Reference Number: 46**

|   |                     | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|---------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>46 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA10             | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                   | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                   | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including open spaces, medical facilities, schools and employment sites. There are no barriers that would potentially restrict access to these services. A positive effect is likely for this objective.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including open spaces, medical facilities, schools and employment sites, a minor positive effect is likely.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                   | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 5. Improve the 5(a) Affordable  | ++                  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 46  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 46 dwellings, 30% of   |  |

| SA Objectives   |                                    | Policy-off Appraisal |   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|--|--|
|   |                                    | SHLAA<br>Site<br>46  | Justification   | Policy<br>UA10 | Justification  |  |
| provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly. | housing                            |                      | dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |                | which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |  |
|   | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 46 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++             | The policy supports and allocation of 46 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                    | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre to the east, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.  | ++             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                    |                      | The site sits in the urban area of Folkestone and contains the Grade II Ingles Manor. The site is also within the Folkestone Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. The effect is therefore significant negative due to the potential for adverse effects on the setting of the asset and Conservation Area. | -?             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposed design adequately takes account of the sites setting within a conservation area and tree constraints and that an assessment is carried out of the impact on the setting of the listed buildings within the site and appropriate measures but in place to preserve or enhance the buildings and their settings. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and                  | 8(a) Landscape                     | 0?                   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   | +?             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposed design adequately takes account of the sites setting within a conservation area and tree constraints. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |  |
| townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement                    | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.   |  |

| SA Objectives   |  | Policy-off Appraisal |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |
|---|--|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|
|   |  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>46  | Justification  | Policy<br>UA10 | Justification  |  |
|   | character:<br>coalescence  |                      | to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  |                | Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++?                  | The site sits wholly on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape with some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposed design adequately takes account of the sites setting within a conservation area and tree constraints. Therefore a significant positive effect is expected on this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   | 0?             | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre to the east, a major employment area containing several shopping parades.   | ++             | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
|   | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within 800m of Folkestone Central train station as well as a number of bus stops, two of which are directly adjacent to the north of the site on the A259. There are also a number of cycle routes within walking distance to the south.             | ++             | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +                    | The site sits wholly on brownfield land and this represents a more efficient use of land, protecting greenfield land from unnecessary development.   | +              | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land, a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
|   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and  | 0                    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect   |  |

|  |                                |                     | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|----------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives  |                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>46 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA10 | Justification   |  |
| reserves.  | quantity                       |                     |  |                | on this objective.  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0                   | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.        |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                   | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.      |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. |                                | 0                   | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                   |                                | 0                   | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.           |  |
| 14. Protect and enl                                    |                                | 0                   | The site is not in an area of open space.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                 |  |

## **SHLAA Reference Number: 27B**

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>27B | Justification  | Policy<br>UA11             | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++                   | The site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District. Therefore, a significant positive effect is likely for this objective. In addition, the site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities, schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and major employment opportunities. The site is also within convenient walking distance of a post office.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District and is already within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.                    |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |

|   |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje   | ectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>27B | Justification   | Policy<br>UA11             | Justification  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing  | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 24 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.             |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                    | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people  | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 24 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. |  |
|   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                      | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades. A railway line sits between the site and the town centre; however, it is possible to access the centre via Foord Road North.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.                                  |  |
| 7. Conserve and en and setting of histo   |   | 0?                   | The site sits within the urban area of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?                   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                  | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

|   |  |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|----|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives  |    | Justification  | Policy<br>UA11             | Justification   |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | 0  | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.  | hance  | 0? | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the whole of the site sits on open space. Therefore, an uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where full ecological investigations are undertaken and adequate biodiversity mitigation measures identified where necessary. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable               | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades. A railway line sits between the site and the town centre; however, it is possible to access the centre via Foord Road North, therefore a significant positive effect is likely. | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++ | The site is within walking distance of several bus stops. There are also a number of cycle routes within walking distance to the south.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | -  | The site currently sits in an area of open space; therefore a minor negative effect is likely.   | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of open space minor negative effect is expected.   |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.                          | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | 0  | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | 0  | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |

|  |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives  |                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>27B | Justification   | Policy<br>UA11 | Justification  |  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and im of groundwater, sur coastal waters.                  |                                | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     |                                | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |                                | ?                    | 98.8% of the site is on land currently designated as open space. Therefore a significant negative effect is anticipated although this is uncertain as it is not yet known the extent to which the development will contribute to alternative provision of open space that is lost to development. | -              | The policy states development proposals will be supported where an area of approximately 0.3ha is provided for open space with an area for natural play, which should be integral to the overall layout to avoid the long-term pressure for it to be lost to development. A management company should be established for its long term maintenance. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor negative effect on this objective. |  |  |

## **Policy UA12 - Former Gas Works, Ship Street**

### **SHLAA Reference Number: 3**

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>346 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA12             | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | Approximately 12% of the site is within Flood Zones 2 and 3, but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. A negligible effect is therefore likely.   | +                          | A Flood Risk Assessment is provided to assess the implications of developing in and near an area designated as Flood Zone 3a. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++                   | The site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District. Therefore, a significant positive effect is likely for this objective. In addition the site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities.  | ++                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where contributions will be required to the offsite enhancements of the public open space and play at Radnor Park. As the site is classified as one of the 20% most deprived areas within the District and is already within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, a significant positive effect is likely on this objective. |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |

|   |                                       |    | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|----|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objec  | SA Objectives                         |    | Justification   | Policy<br>UA12             | Justification  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having       | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 91 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.        | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 91 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                       | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades. A railway line sits between the site and the town centre; however, it is possible to access the centre via Foord Road North.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.            |                                       | -? | The site sits in the urban area of Folkestone and partly within 100m of the Grade II Listed railway viaduct. No other heritage assets are within 100m of the site. This site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land; therefore there may be opportunities to enhance the setting of heritage features given that the site is now unused, although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. | 0?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the scale, design and layout of the development should seek to enhance the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Railway Viaduct. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. However, this effect is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local     | (a) Landscape                         | 0? | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.   | +?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a design approach utilises the special characteristics of the site to deliver a high quality and innovative urban development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.            |  |

|   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Ob   | jectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>346 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA12             | Justification  |  |
| distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                   | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++?                  | The site sits wholly on brownfield land previously used for gas works. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.       | ++?                        | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a design approach utilises the special characteristics of the site to deliver a high quality and innovative urban development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where full ecological and arboricultural investigations are undertaken and adequate mitigation or protection measures identified where necessary. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective   |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable                                 | 10(a) Reduce the<br>need to travel   | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of Folkestone town centre, a major employment area containing several shopping parades as well as a number of other employment areas. A railway line sits between the site and the town centre; however, it is possible to access the centre via Foord Road North. | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| transport modes<br>and avoid<br>development<br>that will result<br>in significant<br>traffic<br>congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within 800m of Folkestone Central train station as well as a number of bus stops. There are also a number of cycle routes within walking distance to the south.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land  | 11(a) Efficient use  | +                    | The site sits wholly on brownfield land and this represents a more efficient use of land, protecting greenfield land from   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive  |  |

|   | SA Objectives  |   | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Ob   |  |   | Justification   | Policy<br>UA12             | Justification   |  |
| efficiently and   | of land  |   | unnecessary development.  |                            | effect is expected.   |  |
| safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves. | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity  | 0 | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | ? | The land within the site is recognised as contaminated land. It is noted that remediation took place between Feb and October 2009. It is therefore uncertain as to the remaining nature of the contaminated land. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where any potential contamination from earlier uses is investigated and fully mitigated as part of the development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding   | 0 | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | improve the quality<br>urface waters and                                 | 0 | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                                      | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                    |  | 0 | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |   | The site is not in an area of open space.   | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where contributions will be required to the offsite enhancements of the public open space and play at Radnor Park. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective   |  |

# Policy UA13 - High View School, Moat Farm Road

**SHLAA Reference Number: 458** 

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should ensure that the amenity of residents on Downs Road is not adversely affected.

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>458 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA13 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is in convenient walking distance of medical facilities including the Royal Victoria Hospital, schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities including those associated with Highview School, Park Farm Primary and Folkestone Academy, open spaces and employment areas. The site is directly adjacent to an area falling within one of the 20% most deprived areas in the District. | +              | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of distance of medical facilities including the Royal Victoria Hospital, schools, outdoor sports and recreational facilities including those associated with Highview School, Park Farm Primary and Folkestone Academy, open spaces and employment areas, a minor positive effect is likely. |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site  | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a  |  |  |

|   |                                       |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Object   | tives                                 | SHLAA<br>Site<br>458 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA13             | Justification  |  |
|   | _                                     |                      | allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |                            | negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having     | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 27 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 27 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.             |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.      | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 27 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 27 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. |  |
| 6. Support the creatio quality and diverse en opportunities.                | -                                     | ++                   | The site is in close proximity of a number of major employment areas within Folkestone, therefore a significant positive effect is likely.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.                                  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.          |                                       | 0?                   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of | (a) Landscape                         | 0?                   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built-up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
|   | (b) Settlement<br>haracter:           | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect  |  |

|  |  | Policy-off Appraisal |   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|---|----------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives  |                      | Justification   | Policy<br>UA13 | Justification   |  |  |
|  | coalescence  |                      | settlements.  |                | on this objective.  |  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++?                  | The site is on previously developed land which is soon to be vacant once Highview School relocates. The whole of this site is on previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?            | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration.  The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected. |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  | 0?             | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++                   | The site is in close proximity of a number of major employment areas within Folkestone.   | ++             | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops, two of which are directly adjacent on the A259.  | ++             | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +                    | The site is on previously developed land which is soon to be vacant once Highview School relocates. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   | +              | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and  | 0                    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect  |  |  |

|  |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| SA Objectives  |                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>458 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA13             | Justification  |
| reserves.  | quantity                       |                      |  |                            | on this objective.   |
|  | 11(c) Land contamination       | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.       |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safegaurding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.     |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. |                                | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                   |                                | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.          |
| 14. Protect and enhand ensure that it r                |                                | 0                    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                |

**Recommendations:** The loss of good quality agricultural land and thus growing potential should be minimised through design for example by including allotments on site.

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>637 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA14 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of some services and facilities, including open spaces, outdoor recreational facilities and schools. There is a railway line which separates the site from these services; however access is still available for all via Holm Street and Underhill Road. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is only within walking distance of two types of services/facilities a negligible effect is likely on this objective.   |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such  |  |  |

|   |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje   | ectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>637 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA14             | Justification  |  |
|   |   |                      | Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |                            | as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing  | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 26 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 26 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                    | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people  | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 26 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 26 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| 1   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                      | The site is not within convenient walking distance of a major employment area or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
|   | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.            |                      | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?                   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | 0?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where existing trees and hedgerows around perimeter of site are retained and enhance. While this will help maintain landscape character, the effect remains negligible uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                  | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |

|  |  |     | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|-----|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives  |     | Justification   | Policy<br>UA14             | Justification  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | The site sits on mostly previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | 0?  | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where full ecological investigations of the potential of the existing building and surrounding land is undertaken and adequate biodiversity mitigation measures identified if necessary             |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of a major employment area or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective                      |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.                         | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +   | The site sits on mostly previously developed land and the development of such would represent a more efficient use of land than developing on greenfield sites.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |
|  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | 0   | Approximately 30% of the site is on Grade 2 agricultural land; however, this portion of the site, and the land immediately surrounding it, have largely been developed and are no longer considered suitable for cultivation.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

|   |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   |                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>637 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA14 | Justification  |  |  |
|   |                                |                      | Therefore, a negligible effect is likely.  |                |  |  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination       | 0                    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.    |  |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and im of groundwater, sur coastal waters. |                                | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                    |                                | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.      |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhand ensure that it r                 |                                | 0                    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.            |  |  |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater if this site is taken forward for development.

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>687 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA15             | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, t into account the effects of clima change.   | -                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area, although a Flood Zone 2 and 3 area is directly adjacent to the south. Overall, there is no risk of flooding.                                | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in built environment, the proportion energy use from renewable sou and resilience to a changing clirand extreme weather.              | n of<br>ces          | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environm assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for a | ental +              | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including medical facilities, open spaces, outdoor recreational facilities and schools. There is also a post office on Cheriton High Street. A positive effect is likely for this objective.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including medical facilities, open spaces, outdoor recreational facilities and schools, a minor positive effect is likely.                                |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of   | able ++              | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 10 houses or 20 apartments, 30% of which will be required to be affordable   |  |

|  |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|---|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>687 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA15             | Justification  |  |
| homes, including affordable  | housing   |                      | dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |                            | dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.       | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people  | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 10 houses or 20 apartments, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. |  |
|  | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                      | The site is within convenient walking distance of Cheriton High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective.                                      |  |
|  | 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.            |                      | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?                   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain  |  |
| the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                  | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration   | ++?                  | The site sits on mostly previously developed land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape although there is some uncertainty relating to   | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the  |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>687 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA15             | Justification   |  |
|  |  |                      | the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |                            | development an uncertain significant positive is expected.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.                                 |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Cheriton<br>High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective. |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.            |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +                    | The majority of the site sits on previously developed land.  Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.                                     | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | 0                    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | 0                    | The land is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding   | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>687 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA15 | Justification  |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. | -?                   | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does however fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. Therefore, the possibility of a minor negative effect is recorded, although this is uncertain and dependent on construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. | -?             | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. However as the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone, an uncertain minor negative effect is expected for this objective. |  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                | 0                    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |

### Policy UA16 - Affinity Water, Shearway Road, Cheriton

**SHLAA Reference Number: 425C** 

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to avoid pollution to groundwater if this site is taken forward for development. The loss of good quality agricultural land and thus growing potential should be minimised through design for example by including allotments on site.

|   |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>425C | Justification  | Policy<br>UA16             | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                     | Approximately 1% of the land within the site is within Flood Zones 2 and 3 although there is no risk of flooding overall. The site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                     | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including medical facilities, open spaces, outdoor recreational facilities, schools and employment areas. There is also a post office on Cheriton High Street. A positive effect is likely for this objective.  | +                          | The policy states that the site is allocated for an area of public open space. The site is also within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities. Therefore a minor positive effect is expected on this objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                     | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 5. Improve the 5(a)   | ++                    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 56  |                            | The policy supports an allocation of 70 dwellings, 30% of   |  |

|   |   |    | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|---|----|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objec  | SA Objectives   |    | Justification   | Policy<br>UA16             | Justification   |  |
| provision of homes,<br>including affordable<br>housing, having  | Affordable<br>housing   |    | dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people   | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 56 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 70 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |
| · · ·   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |    | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and Cheriton High Street which contains a number of shopping parades is also within easy access.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enh and setting of histori  |   | 0? | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape  | 0? | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | +?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a masterplan of the whole site is provided that demonstrates a comprehensive approach to development. The policy also stated that the tree lined southern boundary is retained and protected for its amenity Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                  | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

|  |  |     | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|--|-----|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives  |     | Justification   | Policy<br>UA16             | Justification   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | Almost the entire site sits on previously developed land associated with the former pumping station for Folkestone Water Works. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?                        | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a masterplan of the whole site is provided that demonstrates a comprehensive approach to development. Therefore a significant positive effect is expected on this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |     | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and Cheriton High Street which contains a number of shopping parades is also within easy access.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +   | Almost the entire site sits on previously developed land.<br>Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient<br>use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | -   | Approximately 34% of the site is covered by Grade 3 agricultural land. A minor negative effect is therefore likely.   | -                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land.<br>However approximately 34% of the site is covered by Grade<br>3 agricultural land. A minor negative effect is therefore likely.  |  |

|  | SA Objectives                  |    | Policy-off Appraisal  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|----|---|----------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  |                                |    | Justification   | Policy<br>UA16 | Justification   |  |
|  | 11(c) Land contamination       | 0  | The site itself is not considered to be affected by contaminated land; however there are other contaminated land areas adjacent to the site.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0  | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. |                                | -? | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does however fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone, and a minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain and dependent on construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. | -?             | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. The site does however fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone, and a minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain and dependent on construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |  |
| 13. Use water reso                                     | urces efficiently.             | 0  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 14. Protect and enhand ensure that it r                |                                | 0  | The site is not in an area of designated open space.  | +              | The policy states that the site is allocated for an area of public open space. Therefore a minor positive effect is expected on this objective.   |  |

|  |                               |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objecti   | ves                           | SHLAA<br>Site<br>636 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA17             | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flointo account the effects change.  |                               | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy effice built environment, the presence of t | proportion of able sources    | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access.  |                               | +                    | The site is in convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, schools, open spaces and employment areas. A minor positive effect is likely.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of six medical surgeries, five schools, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and employment areas, a minor positive effect is likely.                           |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.   |                               | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having  | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 41 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 41 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |

|   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------|---|----------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objec  | ctives                                       | SHLAA<br>Site<br>636 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA17 | Justification   |  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b)  Dwellings for older people             | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 41 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++             | The policy supports an allocation of 41 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |  |
| 6. Support the creati<br>quality and diverse e<br>opportunities.  | _  | ++                   | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance directly to the north; therefore a significant positive effect is likely.   | ++             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.   |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | -?                   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone and is within 100m of a Roman Catholic Chapel which is a Grade II Listed Building. Whilst it is recognised that the location of this site could have adverse effects on the setting of this asset, the site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land, and therefore a minor negative effect is therefore likely as opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. | +?             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the design of the development should ensure that the setting of the nearby Roman Catholic Church Grade II Listed Building is enhanced. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. However, this effect is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape                               | 0?                   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   | 0?             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where existing trees and hedgerows around the eastern boundary of site are retained and enhanced. While this will help maintain landscape character, the effect remains negligible uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.  |  |  |
| ·   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |

|  |  |     | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|-----|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives  |     | Justification   | Policy<br>UA17             | Justification  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | The site is on land that has been previously developed. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?                        | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a high quality design and mix of dwelling types is advanced that would protect the amenities of future occupants without prejudicing the long term viability of the surrounding commercial uses. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a significant positive effect on this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |     | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++  | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance directly to the north; therefore, a significant positive effect is likely.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and there are cycle routes to the south.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +   | The majority of the site is on land that has been previously developed. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |
| economic mineral   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and  | 0   | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land.<br>Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect  |  |

|  |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|
| SA Objectives  |                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>636 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA17             | Justification   |
| reserves.  | quantity                       |                      |  |                            | on this objective.  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated however it is adjacent to a number of other industrial areas deemed to be contaminated.                                      | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.        |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.      |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. |                                | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Sandgate which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                   |                                | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.           |
| 14. Protect and enhand ensure that it r                |                                | 0                    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                 |

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>405 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA18             | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is in convenient walking distance of a medical facility, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, schools – in particular Sandgate Primary which is directly adjacent to the site, open spaces and employment areas. A minor positive effect is likely.  | +                          | The policy states proposals should include either a strategy to mitigate the loss of playing pitch provision either as a like for like replacement elsewhere or via the upgrade of existing off site facilities; or it should adequately demonstrate that there is an over provision of playing pitches in the local area and that there would not be a detrimental impact on pitch provision because of the loss of these pitches. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a medical facility, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, schools – in particular Sandgate Primary which is directly adjacent to the site, open spaces and employment areas, a minor positive effect is likely. |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site  | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a  |  |

|   |                                       |    | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|----|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Object   | SA Objectives                         |    | Justification  | Policy<br>UA18 | Justification  |  |  |
|   |                                       |    | allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |                | negligible effect on this objective  |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having       | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 54 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++             | The policy supports an allocation of 54 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.        | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 54 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   | ++             | The policy supports an allocation 54 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                                       | ++ | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance to the west of the site. Cheriton Road which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north. It is recognised that the site is separated from Cheriton Road by a railway line; however access is possible via Coolinge Lane, Shorncliffe Road and Beachborough Road.  | ++             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.  |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.            |                                       | ?  | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone and is within 100m of Penfold House School for Girls Grade II Listed Building. The site has remained undeveloped either as agricultural land or sports/playing fields. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely, although this is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development and opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. | -?             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the design of the development ensures that the setting of the nearby Penfold House Folkestone School for Girls Grade II Listed Building is enhanced. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and 8 where relevant enhance, the                                | (a) Landscape                         | 0? | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend  | +?             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the mature tree belt is retained and enhanced. While this will help maintain landscape character,   |  |  |

|   |  |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|----|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives  |    | Justification  | Policy<br>UA18             | Justification   |  |
| quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.  |  |    | on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |                            | the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |  |
| ·   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                             | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                                    | 0  | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as<br>the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is<br>considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.  | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                              |    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   | +                          | The policy states that proposals will be supported where the ecological potential of the site is fully investigated and mitigated (where necessary) as part of the application proposal. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel                              | ++ | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance to the west of the site. Cheriton Road which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north. It is recognised that the site is separated from Cheriton Road by a railway line. While access is possible via Coolinge Lane, Shorncliffe Road and Beachborough Road, this route is over 800m in length. | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
|   | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance to Folkestone<br>West train station, and there are a number of bus stops<br>within close proximity as well as cycling routes to the south.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land  | 11(a) Efficient  | -  | The site sits wholly on undeveloped greenfield land.   | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As   |  |

|   |   |   | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives   |   | Justification  | Policy<br>UA18             | Justification   |  |
| efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves. | use of land   |   | Therefore, a minor negative effect is likely due to the loss of greenfield land which represents a less efficient use of land compared with developing brownfield sites.     |                            | the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.   |  |
|   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity   | 0 | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.     |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0 | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.          |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0 | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.        |  |
|   | 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |   | The site is within the parish of Folkestone which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 13. Use water resor   | urces efficiently.  | 0 | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.             |  |
| 14. Protect and enh<br>and ensure that it r                             |   | 0 | The site is not a designated area of open space.   | +                          | The site is part allocated for retained public open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>113 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA19 | Justification   |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is in convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities including those associated with Sir John Moore Plain, open spaces and employment areas. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.                                   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already is only within convenient walking distance of two types of services/facilities the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including  | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 36 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution   | ++             | The policy supports an allocation of 36 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of  |  |  |

|  |                                    |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                            | SHLAA<br>Site<br>113 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA19             | Justification  |  |
| affordable   |                                    |                      | to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |                            | affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly. | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 36 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 36 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |
| 6. Support the crea quality and diverse opportunities.                                 |                                    | ++                   | There is a major employment area within convenient walking distance to the north; therefore a significant positive effect is likely.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and en and setting of histo  |                                    | -?                   | The site sits within the settlement of Folkestone and is within 100m of Martello Tower 7 Listed Building and Scheduled Monument. Whilst it is recognised that the location of this site could have adverse effects on the setting of this asset, the site provides the opportunity to redevelop previously developed land, and therefore a minor negative effect is therefore likely as opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. | 0?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where proposals would enhance the setting of the nearby Scheduled Ancient Monument and Sandgate High Street Conservation Area. Therefore the effect is negligible uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
| where relevant<br>enhance, the<br>quality, character<br>and local                      | 8(a) Landscape                     | 0?                   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |
| distinctiveness of the landscape and   | 8(b) Settlement character:         | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect  |  |

|  |  |     | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|-----|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives  |     | Justification  | Policy<br>UA19             | Justification  |  |
| townscape.   | coalescence  |     | settlements.   |                            | on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | The majority of the site is on land designated as having previously been developed but is now vacant.  Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected.   |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |     | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the ecological and arboricultural potential of the site is fully investigated and mitigated (where necessary) as part of the application proposal. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++  | The site is within easy access of a major employment area which is to the north; therefore, a significant positive effect is likely.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | There are a number of bus stops within easy access to the south as well as a cycle route.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +   | Approximately 53% of the site is on previously developed land. Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land, a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and  | 0   | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect   |  |

|   |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   |                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>113 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA19 | Justification   |  |
| reserves.   | quantity                       |                      |  |                | on this objective.  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination       | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.        |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.      |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, sur coastal waters. |                                | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Sandgate which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                    |                                | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.           |  |
| 14. Protect and enhand ensure that it r                 |                                | 0                    | The site is not in an area of designated open space.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                 |  |

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>137 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA21 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                      | Approximately 70% of the site is within a Flood Zone 3 area and 80% is within a Flood Zone 2 area and identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.   | -              | The policy states that proposals will be supported where the development proposal is supported by a Flood Risk Assessment that investigates the implication of poor flood defences and incorporates measures and design features to protect existing and future occupants from the risk of flooding. Therefore a minor negative is likely on this objective. |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                                     |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is in convenient walking distance of a medical facility, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, a school, open spaces and employment areas. A minor positive effect is likely.   | +              | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a medical facility, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, a school, open spaces and employment areas, a minor positive effect is likely.  |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective                        |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including  | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 122 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution  | ++             | The policy supports an allocation of 122 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of  |  |  |

|  |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>137 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA21             | Justification   |  |
| affordable   |   |                      | to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |                            | affordable homes in the District.   |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.       | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people  | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 122 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 122 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. |  |
|  | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                      | The site is on land earmarked for employment. Whilst the development of the site for housing could reduce the viability of the employment land being developed, there is access to other employment areas within the immediate vicinity, and Hythe High Street which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north-east. Overall, a significant positive effect is likely. | ++                         | The policy states that the site is allocated for commercial use B1/B8. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.                   |  |
| 7. Conserve and er and setting of histo  |   | 0?                   | The site sits within the urban area of Hythe. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?                   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the existing built-up area of Hythe screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |
| the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                  | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration  | ++?                  | The site is on land that has been previously developed.<br>Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a   | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. The site sits on previously developed  |  |

|   |  |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|--|----|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives  |    | Justification  | Policy<br>UA21             | Justification  |  |
|   |  |    | significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |                            | land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.  | hance  |    | The site is partly within the Hythe Ranges Local Wildlife Site. Loss of any portion of the local ecological designation is likely to have a significant negative effect on this objective.   | -?                         | The policy states that developments will be supported where ecological investigations are undertaken and adequate mitigation measures identified (if necessary) to ensure development does not have an adverse impact upon the Hythe Ranges Local Wildlife Site. Therefore a minor negative is likely on this objective. However, this effect is uncertain until the direct and indirect effects of the development and the nature of the mitigation measures are known. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++ | The site is on land earmarked for employment. Whilst the development of the site for housing could reduce the viability of the employment land being developed, there is access to other employment areas within the immediate vicinity, and Hythe High Street which has a number of shopping parades is also within walking distance to the north-east. Overall, a significant positive effect is likely. | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| and avoid<br>development that<br>will result in<br>significant traffic<br>congestion.       | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance to Hythe train station, and there are a number of bus stops within close proximity as well as cycle route to the north.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +  | The site is on land that has been previously developed. This is considered to be an efficient use of land and a minor positive effect is likely.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land, a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.                            | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | 0  | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

|  |                                |    | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |
|--|--------------------------------|----|---|----------------------------|--|
| SA Obj   | SA Objectives                  |    | Justification   | Policy<br>UA21             | Justification  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | ++ | The site is known to be contaminated with asbestos.  Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective. | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to contamination. However, it is assumed that allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective. |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | ?  | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.  | ?                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected.   |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. |                                | 0  | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 13. Use water reso                                     | urces efficiently.             | 0  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhand ensure that it i                |                                | 0  | The site is not a designated area of open space.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>621 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA22             | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | 6% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 7.5% is within Flood Zone 2. The site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, the site is not considered to be a risk of flooding.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.         | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmenta assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access |                      | The site is in convenient walking distance of two surgeries, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces. A minor positive effect is likely.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of two surgeries, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces, a minor positive effect is likely.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 40 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++                         | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 40 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |

|   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje   | ectives                                      | SHLAA<br>Site<br>621 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA22             | Justification  |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                    | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people           | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 40 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 40 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and en and setting of histo   |  | 0?                   | The site sits within the urban area of Hythe. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape                               | 0?                   | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, its location next to existing development and surrounding trees screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | +?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where development is designed to a high quality standard that would not have an unacceptable impact upon the character and setting of the nearby Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration              | 0                    | The site is on land that is undeveloped. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>621 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA22             | Justification   |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations, but is wholly greenfield land.  Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where ecological and arboricultural investigations are undertaken and adequate mitigation measures identified to ensure development does not have an adverse impact upon protected trees or protected species. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High<br>Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective.   |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | -                    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. Therefore, a minor negative effect is likely as this represents less efficient use of land.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.   |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | 0                    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals   | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect   |  |

|   |                    |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   |                    | SHLAA<br>Site<br>621 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA22 | Justification   |  |
|   | safeguarding       |                      |   |                | on this objective.  |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, sur coastal waters. |                    | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
| 13. Use water reso                                      | urces efficiently. | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.           |  |
| 14. Protect and enh<br>and ensure that it r             |                    | 0                    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                 |  |

## Policy UA23 – Land at the Saltwood Care Centre

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>622 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA23 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | Approximately 1% of the site area falls within Flood Zone 3 and 1.5% falls within Flood Zone 2 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. A negligible effect is therefore likely.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                            |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, Saltwood Primary School, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open space.  | +              | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities, including two medical surgeries, Saltwood Primary School, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open space, a minor positive effect is likely. |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.             |  |  |

|  |                                       |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|----|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Object  | SA Objectives                         |    | Justification  | Policy<br>UA23             | Justification  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having                      | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 90 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | 0                          | The site is allocated for an appropriate quantum of Extra Care (C2/C3) housing. This will make no contribution to the delivery of affordable housing.  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                       | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 90 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   | ++                         | The site is allocated for an appropriate quantum of Extra Care (C2/C3) housing. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a significant positive effect on this objective.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.                |                                       | +  | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                           |                                       | -? | The site adjoins the settlement of Hythe and is within 100m of two Grade II Listed Buildings; however, both listed buildings sit within the urban area of Hythe. Furthermore, the southern half of the site has already been developed; therefore, the significance of adverse effects from further development in the site is likely to be more moderate. This effect is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | -?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. The site adjoins the settlement of Hythe and is within 100m of two Grade II Listed Buildings; however, both listed buildings sit within the urban area of Hythe. Furthermore, the southern half of the site has already been developed; therefore, the significance of adverse effects from further development in the site is likely to be more moderate. This effect is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of | a) Landscape                          | -? | The site is not within the Kent Downs AONB but is directly adjacent. A minor negative effect is likely although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   | 0?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where proposals are landscape led and demonstrate that landscape character is protected  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obj   | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>622 | Justification   | Policy<br>UA23             | Justification  |  |
| the landscape and townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                   | 0                    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | 0                    | The majority of the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                                       |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site sits on greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.                                |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce the<br>need to travel   | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective. |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.           |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | -                    | The majority of the site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield land.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |
| geology and<br>economic<br>mineral   | 11(b) Soil quality<br>and quantity   | 0                    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

|   |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   |   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>622 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA23             | Justification  |  |
| reserves.   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination             | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding          | ?                    | The western part of the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for Limestone Hythe Formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.                               | ?                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for Limestone Hythe Formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected. |  |
| 12. Maintain and i<br>of groundwater, so<br>coastal waters. | mprove the quality<br>urface waters and | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zones.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                        |   | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | nhance open space<br>meets local needs. | 0                    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

# Policy UA24 – Foxwood School and St Saviours Hospital, Seabrook Road, Hythe

#### **SHLAA Reference Number: 313 and 1018**

|   |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------|-------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>UA24             | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 313            | 0     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 1018           | 0     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   | 0                          |   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience  | 313            | 0     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development  |  |
| to a changing climate and extreme weather.  | 1018           |       | encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |                            | management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 313            | +     | The site represents the redevelopment of the former Foxwood School, which is soon to be relocated to Folkestone. The site is in convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and Hythe High Street where there is a parade of shops and facilities. A minor positive effect is likely. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported provided that the provision of open space and children's play space being provided and a management company is established for its long term maintenance. Foxwood School Site is also in convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and Hythe High Street where there is a parade of shops and facilities Therefore, the policy is |  |
|   | 1018           | 0     | The site is within convenient walking distance of a school, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough   |                            | considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.   |  |

|   |  |      |       | Policy-off Appraisal  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|--|------|-------|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives                          |      | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>UA24 | Justification  |  |  |
|   |  |      |       | to have a positive effect on this objective.  |                |  |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime a crime.  | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |      |       | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of  |                | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such  |  |  |
|   |  | 1018 | 0     | appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0              | as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable                       | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing          | 313  | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 126 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |                | Foxwood School is allocated for a landscape led residential development of between 120 and 150 dwellings. St Saviours Hospital is Allocated for 35 dwellings. 30% of the capacity will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.             |  |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the |  | 1018 | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 35 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++             | nomes in the district.   |  |  |
| elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older<br>people  | 313  | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 126 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   | ++             | Foxwood School is allocated for a landscape led residential development of between 120 and 150 dwellings. St Saviours Hospital is Allocated for 35 dwellings. 20% of the capacity will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. |  |  |
|   |  | 1018 | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 35 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet  |                | nomes for older people in the District.  |  |  |

|  |   |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|---|----------------|-------|--|----------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives                                  |   | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>UA24 | Justification   |  |  |
|  |   |                |       | Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |                |   |  |  |
| 6. Support the crea                            | - | 313            | +     | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street.   |                | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As Foxwood School Site is within convenient walking distance of  |  |  |
| opportunities.                                 |   | 1018           | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | +              | a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective.  |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and er fabric and setting cassets. |   | 313            | -?    | The site sits within the settlement of Hythe. The site is within 100m of the Grade II Listed Black Cottage and 200m of the Royal Military Canal Scheduled Monument. Whilst it is recognised that the location of this site could have adverse effects on the setting of these assets, the site provides the opportunity to regenerate previously developed land associated with Foxwood School. A minor negative effect is recorded as opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features although this will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. | 0?             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the design of the development should seek to enhance the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Building The Black Cottage and Scheduled Monument the Royal Military Canal. Therefore the effect is negligible uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known |  |  |
|  |   | 1018           | -?    | The site is located in the settlement of Hythe. The Royal Military Canal Scheduled Monument is within 200m to the south. A minor negative effect rather than a significant negative effect is likely as the site offers the opportunity to redevelop brownfield land which is already developed. Indeed, opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features through this. Effects are assumed to be uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development.  |                |   |  |  |

|   |                                    |      |       | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|------------------------------------|------|-------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives                      |      | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>UA24             | Justification   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a)<br>Landscape                  | 313  | -?    | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is directly adjacent to the AONB. Significant densification of development within the site could have a minor negative effect on the AONB to the north; however, the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |                            | The design proposals are genuinely landscape led to take account of the environmental and topographical features of the sites and to ensure important long and short distance views are retained and the proposal preserves the character and setting of the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, the Area of Special Character and the Local Wildlife Site. Therefore the effect is negligible uncertain, until such |  |
|   |                                    | 1018 | 0?    | The site is within 1km of the AONB although the site already contains development suggesting that new development would adversely affect the landscape. A negligible rather than minor negative effect on the character of the AONB is therefore likely, although this is uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known.   | 0?                         | time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known  |  |
|   | 8(b)<br>Settlement<br>character:   | 313  | 0     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | coalescence                        | 1018 | 0     | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements.   | -                          |   |  |
|   | 8(c)<br>Townscape:<br>regeneration | 313  | 0?    | Much of the land within the site has been previously developed; however there are portions which are wooded and open (formally school grounds). While the redevelopment of some portions of the site could contribute to the regeneration of the wider townscape, a significant proportion of the site would not contribute in this regard and a negligible effect is recorded overall. There is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. The Foxwood School site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected.   |  |
|   |                                    | 1018 | ++?   | The site sits on previously developed land.   |                            |   |  |

|  |  |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |
|--|--|----------------|-------|--|----------------|--|
| SA Objectives  |  | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>UA24 | Justification  |
|  |  |                |       | Redevelopment of the site has the potential to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.       |                |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                        |  | 313            | -?    | The site is within 250m of the Royal Military Canal Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is likely; however, appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects so this effect is uncertain.   | 03             | The policy states that planning permission will be permitted on these sites provided ecological and arboricultural investigations are undertaken and adequate mitigation measures identified to ensure development does not have an adverse impact upon protected trees or wider established |
|  |  |                | -?    | The site is within 250m of the Royal Military Canal Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. | 0?             | habitats. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel;                               | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to                    | 313            | +     | The site is within convenient walking distance of Hythe High Street.   |                | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The Foxwood School Site is within convenient walking distance of  |
| opportunities to choose                                      | travel   | 1018           | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | +              | a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective.   |
| sustainable<br>transport modes<br>and avoid<br>development   | 10(b) Increase opportunities                   | 313            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |                | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a   |
| that will result<br>in significant<br>traffic<br>congestion. | to choose<br>sustainable<br>transport<br>modes | 1018           | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   | ++             | number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,                | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land                 | 313            | +     | Much of the land within the site has been previously developed; however there are portions which are wooded and open (formally school grounds).  Overall, the site is likely to have a positive effect on                                      | +              | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land, a minor positive effect is expected.   |

| SA Obi                                 |   |             |       | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|---|-------------|-------|--|----------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obj                                 | SA Objectives   |             | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>UA24 | Justification   |  |  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves. |   | 1018        | +     | this portion of the objective.  The site is on previously developed land and this represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.                       |                |   |  |  |
| reserves.                              | 11(b) Soil  | 313         | 0     | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |                | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land.  |  |  |
|  | quality and quantity  | 1018        | 0     | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   | 0              | Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land  | 313         | 0     | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |                | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  |  |  |
|  | contamination   | 1018        | 0     | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0              | Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 313         | ?     | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.              | ?              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. The site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030. Therefore, an uncertain effect is expected for this SA objective. |  |  |
|  |   | 1018        | ?     | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030. |                |   |  |  |
| quality of ground                      | 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |             | 0     | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
|  |   | 1018        | 0     | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                         |                |   |  |  |
| 13. Use water re                       | sources   | 313<br>1018 | 0     | Development standards in relation to water efficiency  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this  |  |  |

| CA Objectives  | Policy-off Appraisal |       |  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|----------------------|-------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives  | SHLAA<br>Sites       | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>UA24             | Justification  |  |
| efficiently.   |                      |       | are not related to a development site's location.  |                            | objective.   |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 313<br>1018          | 0     | The site is not a designated area of open space.  The site is not in an area of designated open space. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported provided that the provision of open space and children's play space being provided and a management company is established for its long term maintenance.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |

**Recommendations:** Measures should be taken to manage flood risk onsite

|   |                                    |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------|---|
| SA Objectives   |                                    | SHLAA<br>Site<br>153 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA25 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of floor into account the effects of change.   |                                    |                      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |                | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. As the land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3, a significant negative effect is expected for this objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficies built environment, the prenergy use from renewal and resilience to a chang and extreme weather.   | roportion of ble sources           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community viprovide opportunities to a services, facilities and en assets for all and avoid cinequalities of opportunit  | access<br>nvironmental<br>creating | +                    | The site is in convenient walking distance of formal open spaces and outdoor sports facilities, Seabrook Church of England Primary School and Foxwood School.  | +              | The site is allocated for mixed use redevelopment to include recreation, leisure and residential uses including a swimming pool and open space. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of formal open spaces and outdoor sports facilities, Seabrook Church of England Primary School and Foxwood School, a minor positive effect is likely. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                    | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective                           |
| ' '   ' '   ' '   ' '   ' '   ' '   ' '   '   '   '   '   '   '   '   '   '   '   '   '     '   '   '     '   '     '     '   '     ' | ) Affordable<br>ising              | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++             | The policy supports an allocation of 150 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District. The policy also states  |

|  |                                    |    | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|--|------------------------------------|----|--|----------------|---|
| SA Obj   | SA Objectives                      |    | Justification  | Policy<br>UA25 | Justification   |
| affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of  | T/b) Dwellings                     |    | The site is expected to be able to accompand to 150  |                | that the mix of uses shall include an appropriate mix of well designed homes within a landscape led setting, including appropriate accommodation for the elderly, affordable housing and self/custom build.   |
| sections of society, including the elderly.                        | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++             | The policy supports an allocation of 150 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. The policy also states that the mix of uses shall include an appropriate mix of well designed homes within a landscape led setting, including appropriate accommodation for the elderly, affordable housing and self/custom build. |
| 6. Support the crea quality and diverse opportunities.             | _                                  | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets. |                                    | ?  | The long northern edge of the site borders the Royal Military Canal Scheduled Monument. The open setting of the canal makes a major contribution to the significance of the monument and the ability to appreciate its function. Development here would have a major impact on the monument's setting. The beach front here was heavily fortified during WW2 and a number of defensive features can be seen on the site on wartime aerial photographs that may survive as buried archaeological remains. This effect is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. While a significant proportion of the site (80%) is recorded as previously developed land associated with old mineral working and quarrying, the site is now vacant and vegetated and is not considered to have an adverse effect on the setting of the Scheduled Monument. | -?             | The policy states that development proposals for the site must be accompanied by a detailed heritage assessment demonstrating that the proposals make a positive contribution to or better reveal the significance of the Royal Military Canal SAM and preserve its setting. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known  |

|  |  |     | Policy-off Appraisal  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |
|--|--|-----|---|----------------|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives  |     | Justification   | Policy<br>UA25 | Justification  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local                                    | 8(a) Landscape   | -?  | The site does not sit in or within close proximity to the Kent Downs AONB; however, the site is within 1km of the AONB and development within the site is likely to change the coastline landscape.   | -?             | The policy supports an appropriate mix of well designed homes within a landscape led setting. However as the site is within 1km of the AONB and development within the site is likely to change the coastline landscape. Therefore an uncertain minor negative effect is expected.   |
| distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                   | 0   | Hythe and Folkestone have already merged. Therefore, the development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of these two neighbouring settlements.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | While a significant proportion of the site (80%) is recorded as previously developed land associated with old mineral working and quarrying, the site is now vacant and vegetated. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape. | ++?            | The existing facilities have exceeded their natural life. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | -?  | The site's northern edge follows the Royal Military Canal which is designated as a Local Wildlife Site.   | -?             | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. As the site's northern edge follows the Royal Military Canal which is designated as a Local Wildlife Site, an uncertain minor negative effect is expected.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0   | The site is not with walking distance of a Major Employment Site or shopping parade.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | The site is within walking distance of several bus stops.   | ++             | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |

|  |                                       |    | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|----|--|----------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives                         |    | Justification  | Policy<br>UA25 | Justification   |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,          | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land        | +  | A significant proportion of the site (80%) is recorded as previously developed land associated with old mineral working and quarrying; however, the site is now vacant and vegetated.  | +              | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land, a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |  |
| geology and economic mineral reserves.                 | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity | 0  | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination           | ++ | The land within the site is recognised as contaminated land, which would be remediated.  | ++             | The site makes no reference to contaminated land. However, as the land within the site is recognised as contaminated land it is assumed that is would be remediated resulting in a significant positive effect for this objective.  |  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding        | ?  | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   | ?              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected.  |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. |                                       | 0  | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 13. Use water reso                                     | 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhand ensure that it r                |                                       | ?  | A significant proportion of the site (roughly 80%) is designated as a local open space. Development of the site is likely result in the loss of a significant proportion of this open space; however, it uncertain the extent to which the development will contribute to the alternative provision of open space that is lost to development. | -?             | The policy states that the mix of uses shall include high quality public open spaces incorporating the enhancement of and linking between the canal and beach front and accessibility east to west along the canal and coast.  Development of the site is still likely to result in the loss of a significant proportion of this open space. While the policy allows for the provision of some open space, uncertainty remains regarding the extent to which the development will |  |  |

|               | Policy-off Appraisal |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives | SHLAA<br>Site<br>153 | Justification | Policy<br>UA25             | Justification   |  |
|               |                      |               |                            | contribute to the alternative provision of open space that is lost to development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor negative uncertain effect on this objective. |  |

# Policy UA26 – Hythe Swimming Pool, Hythe

| SA Objectives   |                                  | Policy-off Appraisal |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
|   |                                  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>142 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA26 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flood into account the effects of change.   |                                  |                      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  | -              | The policy states that development will be permitted provided adequate flood protection measures are incorporated within the design. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor negative effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. |                                  | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vib<br>provide opportunities to a<br>services, facilities and env<br>assets for all and avoid cr<br>inequalities of opportunity<br>access.       | occess<br>vironmental<br>reating | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open space. A minor positive effect is likely.  | +              | The policy states that development will be permitted provided it can be demonstrated that a replacement facility is to be provided or is to be delivered and that the café, public toilets and beach hut are retained and enhanced where appropriate. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a two medical surgeries, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open space, a minor positive effect is likely. |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |  |
| provision of homes, A   | o(a)<br>offordable<br>ousing     | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++             | The policy supports an allocation of 30 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |  |  |

|   |                                 |     | Policy-off Appraisal  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |
|---|---------------------------------|-----|---|----------------|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives                   |     | Justification   | Policy<br>UA26 | Justification  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including th elderly. | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++             | The policy supports an allocation of 30 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.                                |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.         |                                 | +   | The site is within convenient walking distance Hythe High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.   | +              | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                    |                                 | 0?  | The site sits within the urban area of Hythe. There are no heritage assets within 100m of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   | 0?             | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |
| where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of           | 8(a) Landscape                  | 0?  | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the existing built-up area of Hythe screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known.             | 0?             | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain  |
|   |                                 | 0   | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hythe with neighbouring settlements – Hythe and Folkestone have already merged.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration | ++? | The site is on land that has been previously developed but no longer represents a viable community facility.  Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?            | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected. |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obj   | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>142 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA26             | Justification  |  |
| 9. Conserve and e biodiversity.  | nhance   | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations, but is wholly greenfield land.  Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.                                |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce the<br>need to travel   | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance Hythe High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective. |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of a train station or bus stops.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is not within convenient walking distance of a train station or bus stops, a negligible effect is expected for this objective.                 |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +                    | The site is on land that has been previously developed.  Development of this site is considered to be a more efficient use of land by preventing development on greenfield land.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land, a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic<br>mineral<br>reserves.  | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity  | 0                    | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The site does not contain graded agricultural land.  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land contamination   | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding   | 0                    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   | 0                          | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su   | mprove the quality<br>urface waters and  | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Hythe which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect   |  |

|  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|--|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>142 | Justification  | Policy<br>UA26 | Justification  |  |  |
| coastal waters.  |                      | within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   |                | on this objective.   |  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0                    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   | +              | The policy states that development will be permitted provided that there are off site play and open space contributions to the South Road Recreation ground.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |  |

## Policy RM2 – Land off Victoria Road West, Littlestone

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|---|----------------------|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>379 | Justification   | Policy<br>RM2 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                      | The whole site is within a Flood Zone 2 and 3 areas and identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'significant' and 'moderate' coastal flooding. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  | -             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the application is accompanied by a detailed flood risk assessment which ensures finished floor levels and sleeping accommodation are provided at appropriate levels to mitigate any potential flood risk and that development can be accommodated on site without increasing flood risk elsewhere. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor negative effect on this objective. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and an employment area. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.   | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where provision is made for open and play space on site or nearby, and reinforces the integration and connectivity of green infrastructure as per Core Strategy Policy CSD5. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). | 0             | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |

|  |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|---|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objec   | ctives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>379 | Justification   | Policy<br>RM2              | Justification   |  |
|  |   |                      | Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.  |                            |   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having                      | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing   | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 300 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.                                     | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 70 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                       | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older<br>people   | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 300 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.               | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 70 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                      | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                           |   | 0?                   | The site adjoins the settlement of Littlestone-on-Sea. There are no heritage features within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.         | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of | 8(a) Landscape  | 0?                   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. | 0?                         | The site does not sit within the Kent Downs AONB, but is within 1km of the AONB. However, the location of the site within the built up area of Folkestone screens it from the wider AONB, resulting in a negligible effect. This effect is uncertain until the scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |  |
| -  | 8(b) Settlement character:  | 0                    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Littlestone-on-Sea with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |  |

|  |  |    | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|--|----|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives  |    | Justification   | Policy<br>RM2              | Justification   |  |
|  | coalescence  |    |   |                            |   |  |
|  | 8(c)<br>Townscape:<br>regeneration   | 0  | The site consists of undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | -? | The site is within 250m of Dungeness Ramsar/SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. | +                          | The revised site s does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations, but is wholly greenfield land. The policy states that development proposals will be supported where mitigation measures should be employed to prevent adverse effects on the nearby Ramsar, SAC and SSSI, and where possible provide biodiversity enhancements/ |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney train station and a number of bus stops.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.                         | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | -  | The site is on undeveloped land and this represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.   |  |
|  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | 0  | Approximately 21% of the site area is on Grade 3 agricultural land. This is not deemed to be a significant enough proportion to result in a negative effect; therefore a negligible effect is likely.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |

|   | SA Objectives                  |   | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|---|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje   |                                |   | Justification  | Policy<br>RM2 | Justification  |  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0 | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | ? | The eastern part of the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.         | 0             | The revised site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area. The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | 0 | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0 | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enh<br>and ensure that it r   |                                | 0 | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where provision is made for open and play space on site or nearby, and reinforces the integration and connectivity of green infrastructure as per Core Strategy Policy CSD5. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |  |

# Policy RM3 – Land to Rear of Old School House, Church Lane, New Romney SHLAA Reference Number: 436 expanded to include new SHLAA site 230

### Revised site is closer to heritage assets. No reference to heritage assets in policy.

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to minimise adverse effects on the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument, and where possible seek opportunities to enhance setting. The development should take into account the setting of the cemetery directly adjacent to the west.

|   |      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Site | Justification  | Policy<br>RM3              | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0    | A small proportion of the land within the site is within Flood Zones 2 and 3. The site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Overall, there is no risk of flooding.   | +                          | A Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment is required, and it will need to be demonstrated that development can be achieved on this site that does not increase on site or local flood risk  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and an employment area.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces and an employment area, a minor positive effect is likely.                              |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a                        |  |

| CA Ob   | i a aktiva a                    |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------|----|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA UD   | SA Objectives                   |    | Justification  | Policy<br>RM3              | Justification  |  |
|   |                                 |    | location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.  |                            | negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including  | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing      | +  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 13 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 20 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |  |
| affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly. | 5(b) Dwellings for older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 13 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 20 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| 6. Support the crequality and divers opportunities.   | _                               | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                                |                                 | -? | The site is within t 250m of a number of listed buildings and within 500m of a Scheduled Monument. However, this relatively small site is relatively self-contained and screened by trees. Therefore, development of the site has the potential to have minor adverse effects on the setting of the neighbouring heritage assets, although this is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. Opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. | -?                         | The revised site is within 100m of a listed building and within 200m of a scheduled monument. However, the policy states that the design of the development should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument. Therefore an uncertain minor negative effect is likely on this SA objective. |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant   | 8(a)<br>Landscape               | 0? | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be  | +?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the design of the development should take   |  |

| CA Obline   |  |      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objec  | ctives   | Site | Justification  | Policy<br>RM3              | Justification   |  |
| enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   |  |      | uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |                            | into account the setting of the cemetery directly adjacent, softening the south and western edge of the development with a strong focus on landscaping. Existing trees and hedgerows around perimeter of site are retained and enhanced Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                             | 0    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Folkestone with neighbouring settlements.   |  |
|   | 8(c)<br>Townscape:<br>regeneration                                 | 0    | The site consists of undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhabiodiversity.   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                              |      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a Phase 1 Habitat Survey should be undertaken by a licenced ecologist to assess the presence of Protected Species on or near the site. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel                              | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
|   | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes | ++   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two bus stops.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |

| CA Obits                                      |   |      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |
|---|---|------|--|----------------------------|--|
| SA Objec                                      | ctives  | Site | Justification  | Policy<br>RM3              | Justification  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land  | -    | The site is on undeveloped land. This is considered to represent less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.                                       | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity   | 0    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0    | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| ·   | 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |      | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority |
| 13. Use water resour                          | rces efficiently.   | 0    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enha                          |   | 0    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument.

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>403 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM4 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                      | The land within the site is almost completely designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.                             | -             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where within dwellings, no sleeping accommodation is provided at ground floor level due to this site's location within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor negative effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0             | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, a number of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, a shopping parade (New Romney High Street) and open spaces.   | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where provision is made for open and play space on site or nearby, and reinforces the integration and connectivity of green infrastructure as per Core Strategy Policy CSD5. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective. As the site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, a number of outdoor sports and recreational facilities, a shopping parade (New Romney High Street) and open spaces, a minor positive effect is likely. |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the  | 0             | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the  |  |  |

|   |                                       |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|--|
| SA Object   | ives                                  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>403 | Justification   | Policy<br>RM4 | Justification  |
|   |                                       |                      | incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |               | incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 64 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 60dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 64 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 60 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 6. Support the creation quality and diverse em opportunities.           | _                                     | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street where there are a number of shopping parades.  | +             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.      |                                       | ?                    | The site lies adjacent to New Romney in rural fields. There are a number of Grade II Listed Buildings within 250m of the site as well as one Scheduled Monument (Romney Priory) within 200m directly to the south of the site within the historic core of New Romney. Significant development within the site has the potential to have a significant negative effect on these historic assets, although this is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. | -?            | There are a number of Grade II Listed Buildings within 250m of the site as well as one Scheduled Monument (Romney Priory) within 200m directly to the south of the site within the historic core of New Romney. Significant development within the site has the potential to have a significant negative effect on these historic assets. However, the states that the design of the development should seek to minimise the effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument. Therefore, a minor adverse effect is recorded. This is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |

|   |  |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|----|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives                                      |    | Justification  | Policy<br>RM4              | Justification   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and                        | 8(a) Landscape                                     | 0? | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.                    | +?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the rural western edge of the development should be fragmented and softened with a strong focus on landscaping to form a buffer. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.                                       |  |
| townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence             | 0  | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                    | 0  | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.  | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.              |    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | +                          | The policy states that development will be supported where a Phase 1 Habitat Survey should be undertaken by a licenced ecologist to assess the presence of Protected Species on or near the site. The pond on this site should be assessed for ecological importance and, if appropriate, compensation for its loss (if it occurs) will be required. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel              | +  | The site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney High Street which contains a number of shopping parades.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is within convenient walking distance of a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective.   |  |
|   | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose sustainable | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |

|  |   |   | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obj   | SA Objectives   |   | Justification  | Policy<br>RM4              | Justification  |  |
| significant traffic congestion.  | transport modes   |   |  |                            |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,                            | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land  | - | Almost the entire site is on undeveloped land. This is considered to be a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.                                | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.                             | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity   | 0 | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0 | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0 | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |   | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     |   | 0 | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |   | 0 | The site itself is not in a designated area of open space although there is open space directly adjacent.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where provision is made for open and play space on site or nearby, and reinforces the integration and connectivity of green infrastructure as per Core Strategy Policy CSD5. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive on this objective |  |

### **Policy RM5 - Land to the South of New Romney**

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to reduce effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument. Incorporating allotments into the design could offset the loss of good quality agricultural land.

|   | Policy-off Appraisal  |   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1020 | Justification   | Policy<br>RM5 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                       | Approximately 67% of the site lies in a Flood Zone 3 area and 86% lies within a Flood Zone 2 area. The site is also within 'moderate' and 'significant' areas of flood risk, however the proportion of the site covered by these areas (approximately 20% and 3%) is not considered to be significant and thus represent a high flood risk. Overall however, a significant negative effect is likely. | -             | Development proposals for the site shall be accompanied by a detailed flood risk assessment which ensures finished floor levels and sleeping accommodation are provided at appropriate levels to mitigate any potential flood risk and that development can be accommodated on site without increasing flood risk elsewhere. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor negative effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                     | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces, an employment area and a shopping parade.   | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where provide for significant and meaningful open space, incorporating appropriate play space, sports pitches and facilities and allotment provision to meet the identified needs of the development. The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, St. Nicholas Church of England Primary School and Marsh Academy, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces, an employment area and a shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective |  |  |

|  |                                    |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|----|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives                      |    | Justification  | Policy<br>RM5              | Justification  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.   |                                    | 0  | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where they provide for significant and meaningful open space, incorporating appropriate play space, sports pitches and facilities and allotment provision to meet the identified needs of the development, which is likely to have a positive effect on this objective. However, this effect is considered to be negligible overall.                            |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable                                | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing         | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 400 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 400 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly. | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 400 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 400 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.          |                                    | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there is a shopping parade.  | ++                         | The policy supports the opening up of Mountfield Road as an enhanced employment Location and as the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                     |                                    | ?  | The site adjoins the settlement of New Romney and is in a rural location. The northern part of the site is within 100m of three Grade II Listed Buildings. The site is also partially within 250m of a number of other Listed Buildings and within 500m of Romney Priory Scheduled Monument. There is therefore potential for significant negative effects on historic assets; however these are uncertain until the exact   | -?                         | The northern part of the site is within 100m of three Grade II Listed Buildings. The site is also partially within 250m of a number of other Listed Buildings and within 500m of Romney Priory Scheduled Monument. However the policy states the design of the development should seek to reduce effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings and Scheduled Monument. There is therefore potential for minor negative |  |

|  |  | Policy-off Appraisal  |   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1020 | Justification   | Policy<br>RM5 | Justification  |  |  |
|  |  |                       | scale, design and layout of the new development are known.  |               | effects on historic assets; however these are uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known.   |  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and | 8(a) Landscape                         | 0?                    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. | +?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where they provide an appropriate design response to the Romney Marsh local Landscape Area, utilising Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment to inform master planning. Therefore the effect is minor positive uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known |  |  |
| townscape.   | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence | 0                     | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to the coalescence of settlements. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration        | 0                     | The site sits on undeveloped land currently used for agriculture. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance                                  | 0?                    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.                                    | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where they Include assessment of archaeology, habitat and ecology and seek to ensure that open space provision seeks to reinforce the integration and connectivity of green infrastructure. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective                               |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of an employment area and New Romney High Street where there is a shopping parade.   | ++            | The policy supports the opening up of Mountfield Road as an enhanced employment Location and as the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.  |  |  |
| choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes   | 10(b) Increase opportunities to        | ++                    | The eastern part of the site is within convenient walking distance of New Romney train station and a number of bus  | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a   |  |  |

|   |  |   | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives  |   | Justification   | Policy<br>RM5 | Justification  |  |  |
| and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion.               | choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes                                 |   | stops.  |               | number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | - | The whole site sits on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  | -             | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.                        | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity                                    |   | Approximately 37% of the land within the site is classified as being of Grade 2 agricultural quality, and 42% is classed as Grade 3 agricultural quality.             |               | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As approximately 37% of the land within the site is classified as being of Grade 2 agricultural quality, and 42% is classed as Grade 3 agricultural quality, a significant negative effect is expected.   |  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination   | 0 | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding   | 0 | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |  | 0 | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where Sustainable Urban Drainage and surfacewater management should be integral to the good urban design principles adopted for the development of the site. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |  |
| 13. Use water resor   | urces efficiently.   | 0 | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|   | 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |   | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   | +             | The policy states that development will be supported where they provide for significant and meaningful open space, incorporating appropriate play space, sports pitches and facilities and allotment provision to meet the identified needs  |  |  |

|               | Policy-off Appraisal  |               |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1020 | Justification | Policy<br>RM5 | Justification   |  |  |
|               |                       |               |               | of the development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective |  |  |

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>638 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM6 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                      | The site is wholly within a Flood Zone 2 and 3 areas. It is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  | -             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority. As the site is wholly within a Flood Zone 2 and 3 areas, a minor negative effect is still expected.                    |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, two schools, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities, open spaces, an employment area and a parade of shops.  | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where appropriate and proportionate contributions are made to medical facilities in New Romney through a site specific S106 agreement. The site is also within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities. Therefore a minor positive effect is expected on this objective. |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA | 0             | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective                              |  |  |

|   |                                    |    | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|------------------------------------|----|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives                      |    | Justification   | Policy<br>RM6              | Justification   |  |
|   |                                    |    | objective will be assumed to be negligible.   |                            |   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing         | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 29 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.                            | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 29 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                    | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 29 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.                | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 29 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                    | ++ | The site is within convenient walking distance of both an employment area and shopping parade.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                    | 0? | The site adjoins New Romney and is in a rural location. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.          | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape                     | 0? | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. | +?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the north, north-east edge of the development should have a strong focus on landscaping to form a buffer. Existing trees and hedgerows within/ around perimeter of site should also be retained and enhanced Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |

|  |  |     | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|--|--|-----|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obj   | SA Objectives  |     | Justification  | Policy<br>RM6 | Justification  |  |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence   | 0   | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | The site sits on previously developed land associated with Marsh Academy. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?           | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration.  The site sits on previously developed land however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected. |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | nhance   | 0?  | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. A negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of both an employment area and shopping parade.   | ++            | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of New<br>Romney train station and a number of bus stops.   | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +   | The site sits on previously developed land. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  | +             | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and  | 0   | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land.<br>Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect  |  |  |

|  |                                |   | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|---------------|---|
| SA Obj   | SA Objectives                  |   | Justification   | Policy<br>RM6 | Justification   |
| reserves.  | quantity                       |   |   |               | on this objective.  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0 | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0 | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and ii<br>of groundwater, su<br>coastal waters.             |                                | 0 | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority. Therefore the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     |                                | 0 | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |                                | 0 | The site is not in an area of designated open space.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |

# Policy RM7 – Development at North Lydd

# SHLAA Reference Number: 195 and 390 expanded to include new SHLAA sites 451b, 306a and 306b

| 64.61.  |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|----------------|-------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>RM7              | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 195            | 0     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.                     |                            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive |  |
|   | 390            | -     | Approximately 24% of the site is within a Flood Zone 2 area and 15% is within a Flood Zone 3 area. The site does not include a flood storage area and is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'moderate' or 'significant' coastal flooding. | +                          | effect on this objective.  |  |
| Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience                                 | 195            | _     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods   |                            | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development   |  |
| to a changing climate and extreme weather.  | 390            | 0     | encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  | 0                          | management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of | 195            | 0     | The site is within convenient walking distance of an outdoor sports and recreational facility (Lydd Golf Club) and two employment areas. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   | +                          | The policy states that planning permission will be granted where provision is made for open and play space on site, and reinforces the integration and connectivity of green infrastructure as per Core Strategy Policy CSD4. At least a third of the land area on this site should be set aside as      |  |
| opportunity for access.   | 390            | 0     | The site is within convenient walking distance of an outdoor sports and recreational facility (Lydd Golf Club) and three employment areas.   |                            | publicly-accessible open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.   |  |

|   |  |     |       | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|---|--|-----|-------|--|---------------|---|
| SA Obje   | SA Objectives                          |     | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>RM7 | Justification   |
| 4. Reduce crime a crime.  | 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. |     | 0     | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of                                  | 0             | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such |
|   |  | 390 |       | development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |               | as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable                       | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing          | 195 | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 17 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.             |               | The policy supports a total allocation of 65 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.               |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the |  | 390 | +     | The site is 0.7ha and expected to be able to accommodate 14 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to make a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.    | ++            |   |
| elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older<br>people  | 195 | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 17 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. |               | The policy supports a total allocation of 65 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
|   |  | 390 | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 14 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. | ++            |   |
| 6. Support the crequality and divers  | •                                      | 195 | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two employment areas.  |               | The policy makes no reference to reducing the employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking  |

|  | SA Objectives |     |       | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|---------------|-----|-------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  |               |     | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>RM7              | Justification   |  |
| opportunities.   |               | 390 | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of three employment areas.   | ++                         | distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets. |               | 195 | -?    | The site forms part of the settlement of Lydd and is in a rural location outside the town. Jacks Court Farmhouse Grade II Listed Building is within 250m of the site. Whilst it is considered that the development could have a negative effect on the setting of this asset, the site is on brownfield land and development here could provide the opportunity to enhance the setting of the feature. This, however, is uncertain until the detailed design, scale and landscaping of the new development are known. |                            | The policy states that within the Station Yard, Station Road site; 1. The up-platform, main station building, goods shed, and loading dock, as undesignated heritage assets, are all retained and returned to use ideally for retail or other compatible use to provide the locality with missing services and 2. Assessment of non-designated heritage assets is undertaken. The main station building and goods shed should be retained if possible. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain |  |
|  |               | 390 | -?    | The site forms part of the settlement of Lydd and is in a rural location. Jacks Court Farmhouse Grade II Listed Building is within 250m of the site. Whilst it is considered that the development could have a negative effect on the setting of this asset, the site is on brownfield land and development here could provide the opportunity to enhance the setting of the feature. This, however, is uncertain until the detailed design, scale and landscaping of the new development are known.                  | 0?                         |   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where Landscape relevant enhance, the quality,    |               | 195 | 0?    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| character and local distinctiveness of the landscape               |               | 390 | 0?    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB.  A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the  |                            |   |  |

|                                       | CA OLI III                         |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives                         |                                    | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>RM7              | Justification  |  |
| and townscape.                        |                                    |                |       | landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |                            |  |  |
|                                       | 8(b)<br>Settlement<br>character:   | 195            | 0     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Lydd with neighbouring settlements.  |                            | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|                                       | coalescence                        | 390            | 0     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Lydd with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          |  |  |
| Τι                                    | 8(c)<br>Townscape:<br>regeneration | 195            | ++?   | The site sits on brownfield land and contains a significant area of hardstanding. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?                        | The revised site now includes two new sites on greenfield land, in addition to the two on brownfield land. The policy states that planning permission will be supported where a masterplan is produced showing all four sites and a vision for their integration with each other and the surrounding settlement. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a |  |
|                                       |                                    | 390            | ++?   | The site sits on brownfield land associated with a welding company. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.               |                            | significant positive effect on this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.   |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity. |                                    | 195            | -?    | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness,<br>Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. There is therefore<br>potential for adverse effects on the SSSI although<br>appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and<br>may even result in beneficial effects.   | 07                         | The policy states that planning permission will be permitted where the development avoids adverse effects on the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI and incorporate biodiversity enhancement measures. The masterplan should also ensure that within the Kitewell Lane,  |  |
|                                       |                                    | 390            | -?    | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness,<br>Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. There is therefore<br>potential for adverse effects on the SSSI although<br>appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and<br>may even result in beneficial effects.   | 0?                         | R/O Ambulance Station site appropriate protection, preservation and integration of the Local Wildlife is provided. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |

|  | SA Objectives                                  |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|----------------|-------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>RM7              | Justification  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel;                         | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to                    | 195            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two employment areas.  |                            | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an   |  |
| increase opportunities to choose sustainable           | travel   | 390            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of three employment areas.  | ++                         | employment area a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| transport modes<br>and avoid<br>development            | 10(b) Increase opportunities                   | 195            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |                            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a   |  |
| that will result in significant traffic congestion.    | to choose<br>sustainable<br>transport<br>modes | 390            | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   | ++                         | number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and                           | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land                 | 195            | +     | The site is on previously developed land. This is considered to represent more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  | ,                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. The revised site now includes two new sites on greenfield land, in addition to the two on brownfield land. As majority of the   |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic<br>mineral |  | 390            | +     | The site is on previously developed land. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing greenfield sites.  | +                          | land sits on previously developed land, a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
| reserves.  | 11(b) Soil                                     | 195            | 0     | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect   |  |
|  | quality and quantity                           | 390            | 0     | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   | U                          | on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(c) Land                                     | 195            | 0     | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   |                            | The policy states that planning permission will be permitted   |  |
|  | contamination                                  | 390            | ++    | The site is considered to be contaminated due to historical light industrial works. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective. | ++                         | where a Phase 1 Contaminated Land Assessment is required and, if necessary, mitigation measures enacted to the satisfaction of the responsible statutory body. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a significant positive effect on this objective |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals                                 | 195            | ?     | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach   | ?                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As   |  |

|   |   |     |       | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|---|-----|-------|---|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obje                                     | SA Objectives   |     | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>RM7 | Justification   |  |  |
|   | safeguarding  |     |       | gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-<br>2030.   |               | the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas<br>for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan  |  |  |
|   |   | 390 | ?     | The land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.            |               | 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected.   |  |  |
| quality of ground                           | 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |     | 0     | The site is within the parish of Lydd in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. |               | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority.   |  |  |
|   |   | 390 | 0     | The site is within the parish of Lydd in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | +             | Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 13. Use water res                           | ources  | 195 |       | Development standards in relation to water efficiency   |               | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore,   |  |  |
| efficiently.                                | efficiently.  |     | 0     | are not related to a development site's location.   | 0             | the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 14. Protect and er                          | 14. Protect and enhance open  |     | 0     | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   |               | The policy states that planning permission will be granted  |  |  |
| space and ensure that it meets local needs. |   | 390 | 0     | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   | +             | where provision is made for open and play space on site, and reinforces the integration and connectivity of green infrastructure as per Core Strategy Policy CSD4. At least a third of the land area on this site should be set aside as publicly-accessible open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |  |

**SHLAA Reference Number: 004** 

**Recommendations:** The development should avoid adverse effects on the SSSI and where possible provide biodiversity enhancement measures. No reference to biodiversity in policy.

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>004 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM8              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                      | Approximately 90% of the site is covered by a Flood 2 and 3 zone and identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'moderate' and 'significant' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  | -                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the site is raised to provide a base platform at 5.5m ODN to make the development safe from flood risk. Within dwellings, no sleeping accommodation should be provided at ground floor level due to this site's location within Flood Zones 2 and 3. |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a post office and an outdoor sports and recreational facility (Littlestone Golf Club). There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where provision of public coastal park and play area alongside the public car park together with the future management of these areas is included. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.                  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site  | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a                         |  |

|   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|---|--|----------------------|--|---------------|---|
| SA Object   | tives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>004 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM8 | Justification   |
|   |  |                      | allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   |               | negligible effect on this objective   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing                | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 85 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 85 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.                |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people        | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 85 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.                                 | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 85 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.    |
| 6. Support the creation quality and diverse en opportunities.           | _  | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |
| 7. Conserve and enha and setting of historic                            |  | 0?                   | The site forms part of St Mary's Bay which is a coastal settlement. There are no heritage features within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain. | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| where relevant<br>enhance, the<br>quality, character<br>and local       | 3(a) Landscape                               | 0?                   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.                  | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |
| townscape.  | B(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of St Mary's Bay with neighbouring settlements.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |

|  |  |     | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|--|--|-----|---|---------------|---|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives  |     | Justification   | Policy<br>RM8 | Justification   |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | The site sits on previously developed land associated with the former Sands Motel. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?           | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration.  The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected. |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | -?  | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is likely although appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.   | -?            | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. However the site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. Therefore a minor negative effect is likely.  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment site or shopping parade.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective                       |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of St Mary's Bay railway station and a number of bus stops.  | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +   | The site is on previously developed land and this represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.   | +             | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.   |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | 0   | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
|  | 11(c) Land contamination   | 0   | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect   |

|  |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives  |                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>004 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM8              | Justification  |  |
|  |                                |                      |  |                            | on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | ?                    | The land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.               | ?                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Due land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030 an uncertain effect is likely on this objective.                         |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. |                                | 0                    | The site is within the parish of St Mary in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 13. Use water reso                                     | urces efficiently.             | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 14. Protect and enh<br>and ensure that it r            |                                | 0                    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where provision of public coastal park and play area alongside the public car park together with the future management of these areas is included. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>462 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM9 | Justification   |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  | -             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where within dwellings, no sleeping accommodation is provided at ground floor level due to this site's location within Flood Zones 2 and 3. A Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment is also required, and it will need to be demonstrated that development can be achieved on this site that does not increase on site or local flood risk. As the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 a minor negative effect is likely on this objective. |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0             | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a post office and two areas of open space. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is only within walking distance of two services/facilities a negligible effect is likely on this objective.   |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0             | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |  |
| 5. Improve the 5(a)   | +                    | The site is 0.23ha and is expected to be able to   | +             | The policy supports 5 dwellings on a 0.23ha site. This is   |  |  |

|   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obj  | jectives                                     | SHLAA<br>Site<br>462 | Justification   | Policy<br>RM9              | Justification   |  |
| provision of homes<br>including affordable<br>housing, having                 | le housing                                   |                      | accommodate 5 dwellings. This is considered to make a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |                            | considered to make a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| of all sections of society, including   | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older<br>people        | 0                    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. A negligible effect is likely due to the low potential for the site to deliver homes for older people.  | 0                          | The policy supports an allocation of 5 dwellings. A negligible effect is likely due to the low potential for the site to deliver homes for older people.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |  | 0                    | There are no employment areas or shopping parades within convenient walking distance of the site.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.            |  | 0?                   | The site sits within the settlement of New Romney. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |
| 8. Conserve,<br>and where<br>relevant<br>enhance, the<br>quality,             | 8(a) Landscape                               | 0?                   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |
| character and<br>local<br>distinctiveness<br>of the landscape                 | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| and townscape.  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration              | 0                    | The site sits on mostly undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. As the site is on mostly undeveloped land a negligible effect is likely.   |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Ob  | jectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>462 | Justification   | Policy<br>RM9              | Justification  |  |
| 9. Conserve and e biodiversity.  | enhance  | -?                   | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness Ramsar and SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. | 0?                         | The policy states the development proposals will be supported where biodiversity enhancement measures should be investigated to minimise any effects on the Dungeness Ramsar, SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce the<br>need to travel   | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and   | 11(a) Efficient use<br>of land   | -                    | The site sits on mostly undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic<br>mineral   | 11(b) Soil quality and quantity  | 0                    | The site is not on graded agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| reserves.  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals   | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding.  |  |

|                   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |
|-------------------|--|----------------------|--|---------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives     |  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>462 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM9 | Justification   |  |
|                   | safeguarding                             |                      |  |               | Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|                   | improve the quality<br>urface waters and | 0                    | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0             | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
| 13. Use water res | ources efficiently.                      | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.           |  |
|                   | nhance open space<br>meets local needs.  | 0                    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                 |  |

# Policy RM10 - Carpark, Coast Drive, Greatstone

|   |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |
|---|-----------------------|--|----------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1013 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM10 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                       | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  | -              | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where within dwellings, no sleeping accommodation is provided at ground floor level due to this site's location within Flood Zones 2 and 3; where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority; and where a Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment is required, and it will need to be demonstrated that development can be achieved on this site that does not increase on site or local flood risk As the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 a minor negative effect is likely on this objective. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                     | The site is within convenient walking distance of two areas of open space. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is only within walking distance of two services/facilities a negligible effect is likely on this objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                     | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site  | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a  |

|   |  |                       | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objec  | tives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1013 | Justification   | Policy<br>RM10             | Justification   |  |
|   |  |                       | allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |                            | negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing                | ++                    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 16 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.                            | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 16 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.                |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people        | ++                    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 16 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.                | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 16 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.    |  |
| 6. Support the creation quality and diverse en opportunities.           | _  | 0                     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.      |  | 0?                    | The site sits within the settlement of New Romney. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |
| where relevant<br>enhance, the<br>quality, character<br>and local       | 8(a) Landscape                               | 0?                    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |
| townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence | 0                     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of New Romney with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |

|  |  |     | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|-----|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives  |     | Justification  | Policy<br>RM10             | Justification  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | The site sits on previously developed land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration.  The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | -?  | The site is directly adjacent to the Dungeness Ramsar and SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.  | 0?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where biodiversity enhancement measures should be investigated to minimise any effects on the Dungeness Ramsar and SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops, two of which are directly adjacent to the north on Grande Parade.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +   | The site is on previously developed land associated with a car park. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and  | 0   | The site is not on graded agricultural land.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect  |  |

|  |                                |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                        | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1013 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM10 | Justification  |  |  |
| reserves.  | quantity                       |                       |  |                | on this objective.   |  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0                     | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | ?                     | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.                            | ?              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Due land within the site has been identified as partially being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for storm beach gravel in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030 an uncertain effect is likely on this objective. |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. |                                | 0                     | The site is within the parish of New Romney which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 13. Use water reso                                     | urces efficiently.             | 0                     | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhand ensure that it r                |                                | 0                     | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |

**Recommendations:** The incorporation of allotments into the design of the development could help to offset the loss of Grade 1 agricultural land.

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>431 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM11 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'moderate' or 'significant' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  | -              | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where within dwellings, no sleeping accommodation is provided at ground floor level due to this site's location within Flood Zones 2 and 3. A Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment is also required, and it will need to be demonstrated that development can be achieved on this site that does not increase on site or local flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor negative effect on this objective. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Brookland Church of England Primary School, two outdoor recreation and sports facilities and an area of open space. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is only within walking distance of two services/facilities a negligible effect is likely on this objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.   | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such   |

|   |                                       |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objecti  | ives                                  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>431 | Justification   | Policy<br>RM11             | Justification  |  |
|   |                                       |                      | Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |                            | as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having   | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | +                    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | +                          | The policy supports an allocation of 5 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.    | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | 0                    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. The site therefore has limited potential for delivering homes for older people.   | 0                          | The policy supports an allocation of 5 dwellings. The site therefore has limited potential for delivering homes for older people.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation quality and diverse em opportunities.             | -                                     | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhan and setting of historic a                           |                                       | -?                   | The site forms part of the settlement of Brookland which is rural in character. The site is directly adjacent to the Brookland Conservation Area and is within 100m of a number of Listed Buildings, including the Grade I Listed St. Augustine Church. Whilst it is considered that the development could have a negative effect on the setting of this asset, the site is on brownfield land associated with the former slaughterhouse which is now in an advanced state of dereliction. Development here could provide the opportunity to enhance the setting of the features. | 0?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the design and layout of the development should avoid adverse effects on the setting of the nearby conservation area and listed buildings heritage features, and where possible make enhancements. Therefore the effect is negligible uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local | (a) Landscape                         | 0?                   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>431 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM11             | Justification  |  |
| distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence   | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Brookland with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++?                  | The site sits on brownfield land. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected.                                 |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a Phase 1 Habitat Survey should be undertaken by a licenced ecologist to assess the presence of Protected Species on or near the site. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within walking distance of a number of bus stops and is also directly adjacent to a cycle route.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +                    | The site is on previously developed land. This is considered to represent a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |
| geology and economic mineral   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and  |                      | The site is wholly on Grade 1 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is likely.  |                            | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As the site is wholly on Grade 1 agricultural land, a significant   |  |

|  |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obj   | ectives                        | SHLAA<br>Site<br>431 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM11 | Justification   |  |  |
| reserves.  | quantity                       |                      |  |                | negative effect is likely   |  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0                    | Then site is not considered to be contaminated.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.        |  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Zone.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.      |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and in of groundwater, su coastal waters. |                                | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Brookland in the Marsh which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |  |
| 13. Use water reso                                     | urces efficiently.             | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.           |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enl<br>and ensure that it i            |                                | 0                    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                 |  |  |

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should be sensitive to the setting of the nearby Grade I and II Listed Buildings and Conservation Area. The incorporation of allotments into design of the development should be considered to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land.

|   | Policy-off Appraisal  |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|----------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>407a | Justification  | Policy<br>RM12 | Justification   |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                       | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 but is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  | -              | Within dwellings, no sleeping accommodation is provided at ground floor level due to this site's location within Flood Zones 2 and 3. A Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment is required, and it will need to be demonstrated that development can be achieved on this site that does not increase on site or local flood risk. As the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 a minor negative effect is likely on this objective. |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                     | The site is within convenient walking distance of a school and a sports facility. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.  | +              | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where provision is made for open and play space on site or nearby, and reinforces the integration and connectivity of green infrastructure as per Core Strategy Policy CSD5. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                     | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |  |

|  |                                    |                       | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                            | SHLAA<br>Site<br>407a | Justification   | Policy<br>RM12             | Justification  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including   | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing         | ++                    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The development provides at least 30% affordable housing in accordance with policy CSD1 (Core Strategy 2013)   |  |
| affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.              | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people | ++                    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 15 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.                                  |                                    | 0                     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.   |                                    | ?                     | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Brookland. The site is within 250m of several Grade I and Grade II Listed Buildings. The site sits close to a Conservation Area comprised of significant areas of undeveloped land. Therefore, the proposed construction of 9 homes would significantly detract from the conservation area's setting, and result in a spread of the built area of the village. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. | -?                         | As the site is in a rural location and is within 250m of several Grade I and Grade II Listed Buildings. However, the policy states the design of the development should be sensitive to the setting of the nearby Grade I and II Listed Buildings and Conservation Area. Therefore, there is potential for minor negative effects, although this is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and | 8(a) Landscape                     | 0?                    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   | +?                         | The policy states that development will be supported where the design and layout take account of the design policies presented within this plan, and accord with Building for Life 12 criteria, satisfactorily integrate into the existing urban fabric and, importantly, to each other. In addition Substantial planting should be included along the northern boundary of Land. North of Pod Corner, and on the south-                 |  |

|  |  |                       | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>407a | Justification   | Policy<br>RM12             | Justification  |  |
| townscape.   |  |                       |   |                            | eastern boundary of Land adjacent to Framlea. This is, respectively, to inhibit encroachment into open countryside, and to protect resident amenity from a significant road. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence   | 0                     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Brookland with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | 0                     | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | 0?                    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, a significant proportion of the site is made-up of undesignated greenfield land.  Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a Phase 1 Habitat Survey should be undertaken by a licenced ecologist to assess the presence of Protected Species on or near the site. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective.   |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0                     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment site or shopping parade. A negligible effect is therefore likely.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |

|  |                                       | Policy-off Appraisal  |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                               | SHLAA<br>Site<br>407a | Justification  | Policy<br>RM12 | Justification  |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land        | -                     | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield land and a minor negative effect is likely.  | -              | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.         | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity |                       | The site is wholly on Grade 1 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is likely.  |                | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As the site is wholly on Grade 1 agricultural land, a significant negative effect is likely.  |  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination           | 0                     | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding        | 0                     | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and im of groundwater, sui coastal waters.                  |                                       | 0                     | The site is within the parish of Brookland which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  | +              | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     |                                       | 0                     | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |                                       | 0                     | The site itself is not in a designated area of open space.   | +              | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where provision is made for open and play space on site or nearby, and reinforces the integration and connectivity of green infrastructure as per Core Strategy Policy CSD5. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |  |

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should be sensitive to the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Buildings. Opportunities for incorporating allotments into the design of the development should be explored to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land.

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>612 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM13 | Justification  |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                      | The land within the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3, although not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' coastal flooding. The site does not include a flood storage area. Overall, however, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.                              | -              | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where within dwellings, no sleeping accommodation is provided at ground floor level due to this site's location within Flood Zones 2 and 3; where existing watercourses on site are integrated into the development; where a surface water drainage strategy forms a fundamental constituent of the design concept for the site, and is submitted to the satisfaction of the statutory authority and where a Site Specific Flood Risk Assessment is required, and it will need to be demonstrated that development can be achieved on this site that does not increase on site or local flood risk. However as the site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3, a minor negative effect is likely on this objective. |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Brenzett Church of England Primary School, a post office and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities. Therefore, a minor positive effect is likely.   | +              | The policy states that development must make provision for open and play space on site or nearby. The site is also within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities. The site is within convenient walking distance of Brenzett Church of England Primary School, a post office and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities. Therefore, a minor positive effect is likely.   |  |  |

|   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectiv   | es                                       | SHLAA<br>Site<br>612 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM13             | Justification   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.   | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable   | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing            | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 25 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++                         | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 25 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| housing, having regard<br>to the needs of all<br>sections of society,<br>including the elderly. | 5(b)<br>Dwellings<br>for older<br>people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 25 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   | ++                         | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 25 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of quality and diverse emplopportunities.                               | -  | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                              |  | ?                    | The site adjoins the small village of Brenzeet and is in a rural location. There are three Grade II Listed Buildings within 100m of the site, with limited development in between these historic assets and the development site. Therefore, there is potential for significant negative effects, although this is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. Opportunities may exist to enhance the setting of heritage features. | -?                         | There are three Grade II Listed Buildings within 100m of the site, with limited development in between these historic assets and the development site. However, the policy states design of the development should be sensitive to the setting of the nearby Grade II Listed Buildings. Therefore, there is potential for minor negative effects, although this is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. |  |
| 8. Conserve, and 8(a where relevant enhance, the quality, character                             | ) Landscape                              | 0?                   | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to landscape. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain   |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>612 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM13 | Justification  |  |  |
| and local  |  |                      | new development.   |                |  |  |  |
| distinctiveness of<br>the landscape and<br>townscape.  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence                             | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Brenzett with neighbouring settlements.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                                    | 0                    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the site is made up of undesignated greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | 0?             | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel                              | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.  | ++             | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.                                     |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land                                     | -                    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  | -              | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity                              |                      | Approximately 73% of the land within the site sits on Grade 2 agricultural land and 27% sits on Grade 1 agricultural land.   |                | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As approximately 73% of the land within the site sits on Grade 2 agricultural land and 27% sits on Grade 1 agricultural land, a significant negative effect is expected.          |  |  |

|  |                                      |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                              | SHLAA<br>Site<br>612 | Justification  | Policy<br>RM13             | Justification  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination          | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding       | 0                    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and im of groundwater, sui coastal waters.                  |                                      | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Brenzett which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 13. Use water resou  | 13. Use water resources efficiently. |                      | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |                                      | 0                    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   | +                          | The policy states that development must make provision for open and play space on site or nearby. The site is also within convenient walking distance of a number of services and facilities. Therefore a minor positive effect is expected on this objective. |

**Recommendations:** Providing allotments onsite could offset the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land.

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>244 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND1              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Churchill Primary School, an outdoor sports and recreational facility and open spaces. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already is only within convenient walking distance of two types of services/facilities the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |

|   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Object   | ives                                     | SHLAA<br>Site<br>244 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND1 | Justification   |  |  |
|   |  |                      | Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.   |               |   |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard  | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing            | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++            | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 70 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |  |
| to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.   | 5(b)<br>Dwellings<br>for older<br>people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   | ++            | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 70 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |  |
| 6. Support the creation quality and diverse em opportunities.   | -  | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | 0?                   | The site is within the settlement boundary of Hawkinge although on the edge of the town. The site is not within close proximity of any heritage assets. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | (a) Landscape                            | ?                    | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered that it would be adequately screened from the wider AONB due to open views from the north and west. Therefore, a significant negative effect is likely, although this is uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known. | -?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforces local rural distinctiveness though layout, design, scale and the use of high quality materials to help maintain the Kent Downs AONB as a special place. Proposals should enhance the western boundary through the use of extensive landscaping. Existing trees and hedgerows within/around perimeter of site should also be retained and enhanced. |  |  |

|  | SA Objectives  |     | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|--|-----|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obje  |  |     | Justification  | Policy<br>ND1 | Justification   |  |  |
|  |  |     |  |               | Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |  |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                   | 0   | The development is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hawkinge with neighbouring settlements.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | The site sits on brownfield land previously used as an RAF base and includes multiple derelict buildings and areas of hardstanding. Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?           | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforces local rural distinctiveness though layout, design, scale and the use of high quality materials to help maintain the Kent Downs AONB as a special place. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a significant positive effect on this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | nhance   | 0?  | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops and there is a cycle path directly adjacent to the north.  | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |  |

|   |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje                                       | ectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>244 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND1              | Justification  |  |
| congestion.                                   |   |                      |  |                            |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land  | +                    | The site is on previously developed land. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on brownfield sites.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.  |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity   | -                    | The whole site lies on Grade 3 agricultural land.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As the whole site lies on Grade 3 agricultural land, a minor negative effect is expected.   |  |
|   | 11(c) Land contamination  | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | ?                    | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for brickearth (other areas) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   | ?                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for brickearth (other areas) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected.                                 |  |
|   | 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                      | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues; however, the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. | 0                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where measures are taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.          |   | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
|   | 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                      | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where open spaces and planting are used to provide a visual link to the countryside and an attractive backdrop to development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on |  |

|               | Policy-off Appraisal |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal |                 |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------|
| SA Objectives | SHLAA<br>Site<br>244 | Justification | Policy<br>ND1              | Justification   |
|               |                      |               |                            | this objective. |

## Policy ND2 - Mill Lane at Rear of Mill Farm, Hawkinge

**SHLAA Reference Number: 334** 

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to avoid adverse effects on the character of the AONB and the setting of Maypole Farm Grade II Listed Building. Providing allotments onsite could offset the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land.

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>334 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND2              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, Hawkinge Primary School and Churchill Primary School, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a medical surgery, Hawkinge Primary School and Churchill Primary School, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces, a minor positive effect is likely.                        |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectiv  | res                                      | SHLAA<br>Site<br>334 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND2 | Justification   |  |  |
|  |  |                      | Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible.   |               |   |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing            | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 22 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | +             | The policy supports an allocation of 14 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |  |
| to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                | 5(b)<br>Dwellings<br>for older<br>people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 22 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 14 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of quality and diverse emploprortunities.              | -  | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.             |  | -?                   | The site lies within the settlement of Hawkinge and is within 100m of Maypole Farm Grade II Listed Building; however, existing development already separates the site from the listed building. Therefore, the potential for negative effect are considered to be less significant that would ordinarily be the case in the open countryside. These minor adverse effects are uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. | -?            | The site makes no reference to heritage assets. However the site is within 100m of Maypole Farm Grade II Listed Building; however, existing development already separates the site from the listed building. Therefore, the potential for negative effect are considered to be less significant that would ordinarily be the case in the open countryside. These minor adverse effects are uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. |  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and 8(a where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local  | ) Landscape                              | -?                   | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. The site is surrounded by existing development and therefore represents 'in-fill' development. It is therefore considered that development here would be somewhat screened from views in the wider AONB. Effects are uncertain as the exact  | 0?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality of design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforces local rural distinctiveness though layout, design, scale and the use of high quality materials to help maintain the Kent  |  |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>334 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND2 | Justification  |  |  |
| distinctiveness of<br>the landscape and<br>townscape.  |  |                      | impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |               | Downs AONB as a special place. Existing trees and hedgerows within/around perimeter of site are to be retained and enhanced Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known |  |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                   | 0                    | The development is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hawkinge with neighbouring settlements.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | 0                    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as<br>the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is<br>considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient access of a number of bus stops and there is a cycle route to the west.  | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.   |  |  |

|   |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje                                       | ectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>334 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND2              | Justification  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land  | -                    | The site is on undeveloped land. This is considered to be a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.   | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity   | -                    | The site is wholly on Grade 3 agricultural land.  | -                          | The site makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As the site is wholly on Grade 3 agricultural land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination   | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding  | 0                    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                      | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues, however the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. | 0                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where measures are taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 13. Use water resor                           | urces efficiently.  | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhand ensure that it r       |   | 0                    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>404 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND3              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Churchill Primary School, an outdoor sports and recreational facility and open spaces. Overall, accessibility to two broad types of facility is not considered significant enough to have a positive effect on this objective.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is only within walking distance of two services/facilities a negligible effect is likely on this objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |

|   |                                       |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Object   | ives                                  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>404 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND3              | Justification   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having   | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 110 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation 110 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 110 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 100 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                       | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                       | 0?                   | The site adjoins the settlement of Hawkinge although is on<br>the edge of the town. There are no heritage assets within<br>close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed<br>scale, design and layout of the development are known, the<br>effect is negligible uncertain.  | +?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where an assessment of non-designated heritage assets has been carried out and used to inform the design work. This will result in a minor positive effect. However, this effect is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | i(a) Landscape                        | ?                    | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered that it would be adequately screened the wider AONB due to open views from the west. Therefore, a significant negative effect is recorded. These effects are uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known. | -?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality of design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforce local rural distinctiveness to help maintain the Kent Downs AONB as a special place. The rural edge of the development should also be fragmented and softened with a strong focus on landscaping. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |

|  | SA Objectives  |     | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|--|-----|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  |  |     | Justification   | Policy<br>ND3              | Justification   |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence   | 0   | The development is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Hawkinge with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | ++? | The site sits on brownfield land previously used as an RAF base and includes areas of hardstanding Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. | ++?                        | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration.  The site sits on previously developed land, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected. |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |     | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0   | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective                       |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++  | The site sits within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops. There is also a cycle route directly adjacent to the north.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +   | The site is on previously developed land. This represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and  | -   | The whole site sites on Grade 3 agricultural land.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land.<br>Therefore, as the whole site sites on Grade 3 agricultural  |  |

|   |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|--|--|
| SA Obj  | jectives                       | SHLAA<br>Site<br>404 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND3 | Justification  |  |
| reserves.   | quantity                       |                      |   |               | land, a minor negative effect is likely on this objective.   |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | ++?                  | The site is on land deemed to be contaminated from former airfield uses. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and are therefore likely to have a significant positive effect on this aspect of the objective.   | ++            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where contaminated land is fully remediated prior to construction. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a significant positive effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                | -?                   | The site is within the parish of Hawkinge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues, however the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. | 0             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where measures are taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                |                                | 0                    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.   | +             | The policy states that development will be supported where open spaces and planting are used to provide a visual link to the countryside and an attractive backdrop to development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |

## **SHLAA Reference Number: 1004**

|  |                                       |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objecti   | ves                                   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1004 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND4              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flointo account the effects change.  |                                       | 0                     | The land within the site is not identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy effice built environment, the energy use from renew and resilience to a charand extreme weather.        | proportion of able sources            | 0                     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community provide opportunities to services, facilities and e assets for all and avoid inequalities of opportur | access<br>environmental<br>creating   | +                     | The site is in convenient walking distance of open spaces and outdoor sports and recreation facilities associated with Elham Church of England Primary School, which is also within a convenient walking distance. The site is also within convenient walking distance of the village post office.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of open spaces and outdoor sports and recreation facilities, Elham Church of England Primary School, and a post office, a minor positive effect is likely.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and th crime.  | e fear of                             | 0                     | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having  | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | +                     | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a minor contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | +                          | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a minor contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the  | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | 0                     | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings. This is considered to have a negligible effect on the provision of homes for older people in the District.   | 0                          | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 6 dwellings.  This is considered to have a negligible effect on the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |

|   |  |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives   |  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1004 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND4 | Justification   |  |  |
| elderly.  |  |                       |  |               |   |  |  |
| 6. Support the creat quality and diverse opportunities.   | ~  | 0                     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enland setting of histor  |  | -?                    | The site sits on the edge of the historic village of Elham. The Elham Conservation Area and eight of the listed buildings which sit within it are within 250m of the site; however many buildings sit between the site and these heritage assets. No heritage assets are within 100m of the site. Therefore, development within the site is only considered to pose a minor risk to the heritage assets. This effect is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. | -?            | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. The Elham Conservation Area and eight of the listed buildings which sit within it are within 250m of the site; however many buildings sit between the site and these heritage assets. No heritage assets are within 100m of the site. Therefore, development within the site is only considered to pose a minor risk to the heritage assets. This effect is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape                               | ?                     | The site sits on the edge of the village of Elham in the Kent Downs AONB. Therefore, its development has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on this designated landscape. This effect is uncertain until the detailed design and layout of the development on the site are known.  | -?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality of design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforces local rural distinctiveness though layout, design, scale and the use of high quality materials to help maintain the Kent Downs AONB as a special place. Existing planting along south and east boundaries must also be retained and mitigation provided for views from higher ground to the east Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence | 0                     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Elham with neighbouring settlements.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration              | 0                     | The site sits on greenfield land. There is no potential for regeneration.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is  |  |  |

|  |  |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1004 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND4 | Justification   |  |  |
|  |  |                       |  |               | considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | 0?                    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0                     | The site is on the edge of Elham village, which does not contain a Major Employment Site or shopping parade.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                    | The site is within walking distance of two bus stops.  | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.                                      |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | -                     | The site sits on greenfield land.  | -             | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.   |  |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | -                     | The land within the site is designated as Grade 3 Agricultural Land.   | -             | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, as the whole site sites on Grade 3 agricultural land, a minor negative effect is likely on this objective.  |  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | 0                     | The land within the site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding   | ?                     | Land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sub-alluvial river terrace deposits in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   | ?             | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for sub-alluvial river terrace deposits in the Kent Minerals and  |  |  |

|   | Policy-off Appraisal  |   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1004 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND4 | Justification  |  |  |
|   |                       |   |               | Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected.   |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. | -?                    | The site is within the parish of Elham which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. A small proportion of the site falls within a groundwater Source Protection Zone within which there is a risk of contamination of groundwater resources from certain activities. Construction activities have the potential to have an adverse effect on groundwater; however, this is uncertain. | -?            | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. A small proportion of the site falls within a groundwater Source Protection Zone within which there is a risk of contamination of groundwater resources from certain activities. Therefore a minor negative uncertain effect is expected. |  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0                     | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.                | 0                     | The site is not designated as a local open space. Therefore, development of the site would not result in the loss of open space.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |

## **SHLAA Reference Number: 605**

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>605 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND5              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, one of which is directly adjacent to the north, a school, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces.  | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of two medical surgeries, one of which is directly adjacent to the north, a school, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces, a minor positive effect is likely. |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA objective will be assumed to be negligible. | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |

|   |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |
|---|---|----------------------|---|---------------|--|
| SA Object   | ives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>605 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND5 | Justification  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having                       | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing   | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 30 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                        | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people   | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 50 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++            | The policy supports an allocation of 30 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |
| 1 ' '   | 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities. |                      | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                            |   | ?                    | The site adjoins the settlement of Lyminge and is in a rural location. The site is within 250m of Broadstreet House Grade II Listed Building which is set back from the village to the south of the site. There is therefore the potential for a significant negative effect on the setting of this building, although this is uncertain until the exact scale, design and layout of the new development are known. | -?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where an assessment is carried out of the impact on the setting of the nearby listed building and appropriate measures but in place to mitigate any effects by preserving or enhancing the setting. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |
| where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and | (a) Landscape   | ?                    | The site is within the Kent Downs AONB. Therefore there is potential for significant negative effects on landscape, although this will be uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the development are known.   | -?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality of design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforce local rural distinctiveness to help maintain the Kent Downs AONB as a special place. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |
|   | (b) Settlement<br>haracter:   | -?                   | The site represents about 20% of the strategic gap between Lyminge and Etchinghill. Development of the site is  | -?            | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. As the site represents about 20% of the strategic gap between   |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|--|--|----------------------|---|---------------|---|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>605 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND5 | Justification   |
|  | coalescence  |                      | therefore considered to have a minor negative effect this objective, although this effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known.  |               | Lyminge and Etchinghill, a minor negative effect is likely on this objective, although this effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known.   |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | 0                    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | hance  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the site sits on greenfield land associated with Etchinghill Golf Course. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.   | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.                                      |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | -                    | The site is on undeveloped land (currently part of Etchinghill Golf Course). This represents less efficient use of land compared to developing on brownfield sites.   | -             | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.   |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | -                    | The whole of the site is on graded agricultural land (approximately 89% of which is on Grade 3 agricultural land and 11% is on Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land). A minor negative effect is therefore likely.   | -             | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As the whole of the site is on graded agricultural land (89% of which is on Grade 3), a minor negative effect is therefore likely.   |

|  |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                        | SHLAA<br>Site<br>605 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND5 | Justification  |
|  | 11(c) Land contamination       | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                    | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and im of groundwater, su coastal waters.                   |                                | -?                   | The site is within the parish of Lyminge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues; however, the site is within a Groundwater Source Protection Zones. A minor negative effect is therefore likely, although this would be uncertain and dependent on the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. | 0             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where appropriate mitigation measures are employed to prevent pollution to groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     |                                | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |                                | 0                    | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | +             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where open spaces and planting are used to provide a visual link to the countryside and an attractive backdrop to development and separation from the golf course. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |

**Recommendations for SHLAA Site 402:** Incorporating allotments on site would help to offset the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. The development should avoid direct effects on the nearby ancient woodland.

**Recommendations for SHLAA Site 618:** Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite would reduce the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. The development should seek to minimise the effect on the setting of the Holly Cottage Grade II Listed Building through careful design.

**Recommendations for SHLAA Site 627:** The detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site should seek to reduce adverse effects on the character of the AONB. Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite should be explored to reduce the loss of good quality agricultural land. Appropriate mitigation/enhancement measures should be incorporated into the design to reduce effects on the nearby SSSI.

Recommendations for SHLAA Site 1005: Incorporating allotments onsite would offset the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.

**Recommendations for SHLAA Site 1007:** Opportunities for incorporating allotments onsite would reduce the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. The development should seek to minimise the effect on the setting of nearby Listed Buildings through careful design.

|  |                | Policy-off Appraisal |  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives  | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score                | Justification  | Policy<br>ND6 | Justification   |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change. | 402            | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  Therefore, there is no risk of flooding. |               | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |  |
|  | 618            | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  Therefore, there is no risk of flooding. | 0             |   |  |  |

|   |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|---|----------------|-------|--|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>ND6 | Justification   |
|   | 627            | 0     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |               |   |
|   | 1005           | 0     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  |               |   |
|   | 1007           | 0     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area.  Therefore, there is no risk of flooding. |               |   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in  | 402            |       | The location of housing sites will not have an effect  |               | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy  |
| the built environment, the proportion of energy use from  | 618            |       | on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors   | 0             | consumption or the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction  |
| renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and  | 627            | . 0   | are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development  |               | methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to   |
| extreme weather.  | 1005           |       | management policies. Therefore, all sites are  |               | have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
|   | 1007           |       | considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |               |   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of | 402            | 0     | The site is within convenient walking distance of Sellindge Primary School and an outdoor sports and recreational facility associated with the school. There are no other services within convenient walking distance.                                   |               | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already is only within convenient walking distance of two types of services/facilities the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |
| opportunity for access.   | 618            | 0     | The site is within convenient walking distance of<br>Sellindge Primary School and two outdoor sports and   |               |   |

|   |                               |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------|-------|---|---------------|---|
| SA Obje   | ectives                       | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>ND6 | Justification   |
|   |                               |                |       | recreational facilities, one of which (Sellindge Sports and Social Club) is directly adjacent and also designated as open space.  |               |   |
|   |                               | 627            | 0     | The site is only within convenient walking distance of an outdoor sports and recreational facility. There are no other services or facilities within convenient walking distance.   |               |   |
|   |                               | 1005           | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of any services or facilities.   |               |   |
|   |                               | 1007           | 0     | The site is within convenient walking distance of Sellindge Primary School and two outdoor sports and recreational facilities, one of which is associated with the school. The other (Sellindge Sports and Social Club) is also designated as open space. There are no other facilities within convenient walking distance. |               |   |
| 4. Reduce crime a                                       | and the fear of               | 402            |       | The effects of new developments on levels of crime  |               | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear   |
| crime.  |                               | 618<br>627     |       | and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites. Therefore, at this stage in the   |               | of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a |
|   |                               | 1005           | 0     |   | 0             |   |
|   |                               |                |       | Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |               | negligible effect on this objective   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing | 402            | +     | The site is 0.3 ha and is expected to be able to accommodate 8 dwellings, 1-2 of which will need to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | ++            | The policy supports a total capacity of 54 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.             |
| housing, having<br>regard to the<br>needs of all        |                               | 618            | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be  |               |   |

|                                    |                                       |      |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|-------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obj                             | SA Objectives                         |      | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>ND6              | Justification  |  |
| sections of society, including the |                                       |      |       | affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |                            |  |  |
| elderly.                           |                                       | 627  | +     | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.                           |                            |  |  |
|                                    |                                       | 1005 | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.               |                            |  |  |
|                                    |                                       | 1007 | +     | The site is 0.5ha and expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings, 30% of which would be required to be affordable. A site of this size is considered to make a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District. |                            |  |  |
|                                    | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older<br>people | 402  | 0     | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 8 dwellings. A negligible effect is likely due to the low potential for the site to deliver homes for older people in the District.   |                            | The policy supports a total capacity of 54 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. |  |
|                                    |                                       | 618  | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   | ++                         |  |  |
|                                    |                                       | 627  | 0     | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. There is therefore limited potential for   |                            |  |  |

|  |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|----------------|-------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives  | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>ND6              | Justification  |  |
|  |                |       | providing homes for older people.  |                            |  |  |
|  | 1005           | ++    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 15 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |                            |  |  |
|  | 1007           | 0     | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. A site of this size is considered to have limited potential for providing homes for older people in the District.  |                            |  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment     | 402            | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |                            | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is   |  |
| opportunities.   | 618            | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |                            | considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
|  | 627            | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          |  |  |
|  | 1005           | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |                            |  |  |
|  | 1007           | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |                            |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets. | 402            | 0?    | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Stone Hill. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain. | -?                         | The policy states an assessment should be carried out of the impact on the setting of the nearby listed building and appropriate measures but in place to mitigate any effects by preserving or enhancing the setting. Therefore a minor negative effect is therefore likely, although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the |  |

|   |                   |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |
|---|-------------------|----------------|-------|--|---------------|--|
| SA Obje   | ectives           | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>ND6 | Justification  |
|   |                   | 618            | ?     | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Sellindge. The Grade II Listed Holly Cottage is within 100m of the site. There is therefore potential for a significant adverse effect on the setting of this listed building; however, this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |               | development are known.   |
|   |                   | 627            | 0?    | The site adjoins the settlement of Sellindge and is in a rural location. The site is not within close proximity of heritage assets. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |               |  |
|   |                   | 1005           | 0?    | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Barrow Hill. There are no heritage features within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |               |  |
|   |                   | 1007           | ?     | The site sits in a rural location and adjoins the small settlement of Sellindge. The site is within 250m of two Grade II Listed Buildings. A significant negative effect is therefore likely, although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |               |  |
| 8. Conserve,<br>and where<br>relevant<br>enhance, the<br>quality, | 8(a)<br>Landscape | 402            | 0?    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  | +?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the design incorporates adequate landscaping to mitigate impact on the setting of adjoining countryside and where existing trees and hedgerows around the site boundary are retained and enhanced. Therefore, the |
| character and<br>local  |                   | 618            | 0?    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB.   |               | policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect<br>on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design   |

|   |   |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|---|----------------|-------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   | ectives   | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>ND6              | Justification   |  |
| distinctiveness<br>of the landscape<br>and townscape. |   |                |       | A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |                            | and layout of the development are known.  |  |
|   |   | 627            | -?    | The site is not within the Kent Downs AONB but is within 1km. A minor negative effect is likely although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |                            |   |  |
|   |   | 1005           | 0?    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB.  A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  |                            |   |  |
|   |   | 1007           | 0?    | The site is not within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. A negligible effect is therefore likely, although this will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.   |                            |   |  |
|   | 8(b)<br>Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence | 402            | 0?    | The site lies on the edge of small village of Stone Hill, which is largely comprised of piecemeal pockets of residential development along Ashford Road and Stone Hill; however, the majority of the land within the site has already been developed, limiting the role of the site in maintaining separation between Stone Hill and Sellindge. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely although this is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
|   |   | 618            | 0     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Sellindge with  |                            |   |  |

|         | SA Objectives                      |      |       | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|---------|------------------------------------|------|-------|--|---------------|---|
| SA Obje |                                    |      | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>ND6 | Justification   |
|         |                                    |      |       | neighbouring settlements.  |               |   |
|         |                                    | 627  | 0     | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Sellindge with neighbouring settlements.  |               |   |
|         |                                    | 1005 | 0     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Barrow Hill with neighbouring settlements.   |               |   |
|         |                                    | 1007 | 0     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Sellindge with neighbouring settlements.   |               |   |
|         | 8(c)<br>Townscape:<br>regeneration | 402  | ++?   | The site lies wholly on vacant previously developed land which was once used as a piggery.  Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of this portion of the village, although there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development. |               | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. While the majority of land site on undeveloped land, the Piggery, Main Road Sellindge, sits on previously developed land. A significant positive effect is therefore expected, however as there is an element of uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development an uncertain significant positive is expected. |
|         |                                    | 618  | 0     | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | ++?           |   |
|         |                                    | 627  | 0     | Most of the site consists of undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |               |   |
|         |                                    | 1005 | 0     | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   |               |   |
|         |                                    | 1007 | 0     | The site already contains several buildings which look to be in relatively good condition. Therefore the development of the site is not likely to make a   |               |   |

|                                       |                |       | Policy-off Appraisal   |  | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SA Objectives                         | SHLAA<br>Sites | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>ND6  | Justification  |  |  |
|                                       |                |       | significant contribution to the regeneration of the village.   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity. | 402            | -?    | The site is within 250m of an area of ancient woodland. There is therefore potential for a minor negative effect although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.                                     |  | The policy states that for Land rear of Brook Lane Cottages, appropriate mitigation/enhancement measures should be incorporated into the design to reduce effects on the nearby SSSI. However the policy makes no reference to the ancient woodland behind the Piggery, Main Road Sellindge. |  |  |
|                                       | 618            | 0?    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | Therefore, the policy is considered to have uncertain effect on this objective. iity |  |  |  |
|                                       | 627            | -?    | The site is within 250m of Gibbin's Brook SSSI. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects.  | -?   |  |  |  |
|                                       | 1005           | 0?    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |  |  |  |  |
|                                       | 1007           | 0?    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. |  |  |  |  |

|   | SA Objectives                                  |      |       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|--|------|-------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje   |  |      | Score | Justification  | Policy<br>ND6              | Justification  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel;                            | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to                    | 402  | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |                            | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an  |  |
| increase opportunities to choose sustainable              | travel   | 618  | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |                            | employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| transport modes and avoid development                     |  | 627  | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          |  |  |
| that will result in significant traffic                   |  | 1005 | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |                            |  |  |
| congestion.   |  | 1007 | 0     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   |                            |  |  |
|   | 10(b) Increase opportunities                   | 402  | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.  |                            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected |  |
|   | to choose<br>sustainable<br>transport<br>modes | 618  | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |                            | for this objective.  |  |
|   | modes  | 627  | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   | ++                         |  |  |
|   |  | 1005 | ++    | The site is within convenient walking distance of four bus stops.  |                            |  |  |
|   |  | 1007 | ++    | The site lies within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   |                            |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land                 | 402  | +     | The site is on land that was previously used as a piggery but which is no longer in use and has been vacant since the early 1980s. The development of this site is considered to represent a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the majority of the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.            |  |

|                                  |                                       |      |       | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|-------|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje                          | SA Objectives                         |      | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>ND6 | Justification  |  |  |
| economic<br>mineral<br>reserves. |                                       | 618  | -     | land. Therefore a minor positive effect is likely.  The site is on previously undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared with developing brownfield sites, and a minor negative effect is likely. |               |  |  |  |
|                                  |                                       | 627  | -     | The majority of the site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  |               |  |  |  |
|                                  |                                       | 1005 | -     | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared with developing brownfield sites, and a minor negative effect is likely.  |               |  |  |  |
|                                  |                                       | 1007 | +     | The majority of the site already contains development. Therefore, the redevelopment of this area is considered to represent a more efficient use of land than developing on greenfield land.  |               |  |  |  |
|                                  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity | 402  |       | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |               | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As the site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land, a significant negative effect is likely. |  |  |
|                                  |                                       | 618  |       | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |               |  |  |  |
|                                  |                                       | 627  |       | The whole of the site is on Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.  |               |  |  |  |
|                                  |                                       | 1005 |       | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |               |  |  |  |
|                                  |                                       | 1007 |       | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |               |  |  |  |

|  | SA Objectives                  |      |       | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|------|-------|---|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obje  |                                |      | Score | Justification   | Policy<br>ND6 | Justification   |  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land                     | 402  | 0     | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |               | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  |  |  |
|  | contamination                  | 618  | 0     | The site itself is not considered to be contaminated but is directly adjacent to an area of contaminated land.  |               | Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|  |                                | 627  | 0     | The site is not on land that is considered to be contaminated.  | 0             |   |  |  |
|  |                                | 1005 | 0     | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |               |   |  |  |
|  |                                | 1007 | 0     | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  |               |   |  |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 402  | ?     | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.                 |               | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the majority of the land has been identified as being in a Mineral Safeguarding Area in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected. |  |  |
|  |                                | 618  | 0     | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   |               |   |  |  |
|  |                                | 627  | ?     | The site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for silica sand/construction sand – sandstone: Folkestone formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030. | ?             |   |  |  |
|  |                                | 1005 | ?     | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone (Sandgate formation) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.                               |               |   |  |  |
|  |                                | 1007 | ?     | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for sandstone – Sandgate formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.                               |               |   |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and in quality of grounds waters and coasta | water, surface                 | 402  | 0     | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                 | 0             | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |

|  |                                   |             | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives  | SHLAA<br>Sites                    | Score       | Justification  | Policy<br>ND6 | Justification   |  |  |
|  | 618                               | 0           | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                                      |               |   |  |  |
|  | 627                               | 0           | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is also not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.  |               |   |  |  |
|  | 1005                              | 0           | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                                      |               |   |  |  |
|  | 1007                              | 0           | The site is within the parish of Sellindge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.                                      |               |   |  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 402<br>618<br>627<br>1005<br>1007 | 0           | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 618                               | 0           | The site is not a designated area of open space.  The site itself is not a designated area of open space however there is open space directly adjacent and associated with the Sellindge Sports and Social Club. |               | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.       |  |  |
|  | 627<br>1005<br>1007               | 0<br>0<br>0 | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  The site itself is not a designated area of open space.  The site itself is not a designated area of open space.  |               |   |  |  |

## **Policy ND7 - Former Lympne Airfield**

## **SHLAA Reference Number: 209**

|   |                    |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectiv   | res                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>209 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND7              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of floo<br>into account the effects of<br>change.  |                    | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           |                    | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. |                    | +                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of Lympne Church of England Primary School, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facility, areas of open space and an employment area. A minor positive effect is likely.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of Lympne Church of England Primary School, a post office, outdoor sports and recreational facility, areas of open space and an employment area, a minor positive effect is likely.               |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                    | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| i i   | 5(a)<br>Affordable | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution  | ++                         | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution   |  |

|  |                                       |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------|--|
| SA Object  | ives                                  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>209 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND7 | Justification  |
| housing, having  | housing                               |                      | to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |               | to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.   | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++            | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 150 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.                                  |                                       | ++                   | The site is directly adjacent to an employment area.   | ++            | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is likely on this objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhar and setting of historic  |                                       | ?                    | The site sits within a rural location and adjoins the settlement of Lympne. The site is within 250m of Belle Vue House Grade II Listed Building and Lympne Hall Grade II Listed Building. Much of the site is located on the former Lympne airfield, which can be considered to be previously developed land (including hardstanding) related to the airfield's wartime use. The openness of the site combined with its historic past and proximity to neighbouring listed buildings suggests that the development of the site has the potential to have a significant effect on this objective, although this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. | -?            | The policy states that development will be supported where an assessment of non-designated heritage assets is carried out and appropriate mitigation measures put in place if required. However the policy makes no reference to designated heritage assets. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and | (a) Landscape                         | -?                   | The site is directly adjacent to, although not within, the Kent Downs AONB. The openness of the site combined with its close proximity to the AONB result in the potential for minor adverse effects on the landscape, although this is uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development.  | 0?            | The policy states that development proposal will be supported where existing trees and hedgerows within/around perimeter of site are retained and enhanced as part of a comprehensive landscaping scheme. The northern building edge should be fragmented and softened with a strong landscape buffer and the proposal should acknowledge the surrounding urban grain, fronting dwellings on to existing streets and following the existing built edge where possible. Therefore the effect is |

|   |  |    | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|--|----|---|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obj                                  | SA Objectives                                |    | Justification   | Policy<br>ND7 | Justification   |  |  |
| townscape.                              |  |    |   |               | negligible uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |  |  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence | ?  | The site contains the vast majority of the open land between the settlement of Lympne to the east and the Lympne Industrial Park. Whist the industrial park is not a standalone settlement, in landscape terms it does represent an isolate and defined urban area. Development of the entire site would result in the perceived coalescence of Lympne with the neighbouring Lympne Industrial Park, with a significant adverse effect on this part of the objective. There is an element of uncertainty attached to this effect until such time as the detailed design, scale and landscaping of the site are known, | ?             | While the policy outlines requirements for the design, layout and landscaping of the site should seek to reduce adverse effects on the character of the AONB, no mention is made for the need to mitigate the appearance of settlement coalescence. As development of the entire site would result in the perceived coalescence of Lympne with the neighbouring Lympne Industrial Park, a significant adverse effect on this part of the objective. |  |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration              | 0  | Much of the site is located on the former Lympne airfield, which can be considered to be previously developed land (including hardstanding); however, there are no longer any significant buildings within this relatively large site, and much of the hardstanding has become overgrown with vegetation, giving this previously developed site a relatively rural and open character. Therefore, the development of the site is not considered to have a significant effect on the regeneration of Lympne.   | 0             | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. This previously developed site is relatively rural and open in character. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |  | 0? | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.  | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel        | ++ | The site is directly adjacent to an employment area.  | ++            | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  As the site is already within convenient walking distance of an employment area a significant positive effect is expected for  |  |  |

|   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------|---|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obje   | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>209 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND7 | Justification   |  |  |
| opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  | ++            | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | 0?                   | Much of the site is located on the former Lympne airfield, which can be considered to be previously developed land (including hardstanding); however, there are no longer any significant buildings within this relatively large site, and much of the hardstanding has become overgrown with vegetation, giving this previously developed site a relatively rural and open character. However, the much of the site can no longer be considered as greenfield land. Overall, a negligible uncertain effect is recorded against this part of the objective. | 0?            | The policy makes no reference to the efficiency of land use.  Much of the site is located on the former Lympne airfield, which can be considered to be previously developed land (including hardstanding); however, there are no longer any significant buildings within this relatively large site, and much of the hardstanding has become overgrown with vegetation, giving this previously developed site a relatively rural and open character. However, the much of the site can no longer be considered as greenfield land. Overall, a negligible uncertain effect is recorded against this part of the objective. |  |  |
|   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | 0                    | Approximately 25% of the site is on land classified as being of Grade 2 and 3 quality; however, the site is considered to be contaminated. Therefore, the arable value of the soil within the site is already considered to have been compromised. Therefore, a negligible effect is recorded against this portion of the objective.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. Therefore, a negligible effect is recorded against this portion of the objective   |  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | ++                   | The site is considered to be contaminated. Housing allocations located on contaminated land would be required to remediate the land during construction and therefore a significant positive effect is likely.  | ++            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where contaminated land is fully remediated prior to construction works. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a significant positive effect on this objective   |  |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding   | ?                    | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   | ?             | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas   |  |  |

|  | SA Objectives     |    | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|--|-------------------|----|--|---------------|---|
| SA Objec   |                   |    | Justification  | Policy<br>ND7 | Justification   |
|  |                   |    |  |               | for limestone Hythe formation (Kentish Ragstone) in the Kent<br>Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is<br>expected.  |
| 12. Maintain and imp<br>of groundwater, surfa<br>coastal waters. |                   | -? | The Water Cycle Study identified a potential capacity issue in the strategic wastewater link between the Westenhanger and Lympne area and Sellindge wastewater treatment works. It is therefore assumed that residential allocations in the Lympne area have the potential for minor adverse effects on this SA objective with uncertainty relating to whether the development is of sufficient size to require a new link to Sellindge wastewater treatment works or whether such a link will be secured, e.g. via developer contributions. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where adequate waste water infrastructure has been provided. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.      |
| 13. Use water resour   | rces efficiently. | 0  | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enha and ensure that it me                       |                   | 0  | The site is not a designated area of open space.   | 0             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where open spaces and planting are used to provide a visual link to the countryside and North Downs Scarp and an attractive backdrop to development. |

|   |                                     |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------|---|
| SA Objectiv   | ves                                 | SHLAA<br>Site<br>613 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND8 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |                                     | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather. |                                     | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0             | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |
| 3. Promote community provide opportunities to services, facilities and e assets for all and avoid inequalities of opportun access.                                    | access<br>environmental<br>creating | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of any services or facilities.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already is only within convenient walking distance of two types of services/facilities the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  |                                     | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0             | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having   | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing       | ++                   | The site is 0.5a and is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District   | ++            | The policy supports 5 dwellings on a 0.5ha site, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District   |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>613 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND8              | Justification  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                   | Dwellings for                          | 0                    | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 5 dwellings. A negligible effect is likely due to the low potential for the site to deliver homes for older people.   | 0                          | The policy supports an allocation of 5 dwellings. A negligible effect is likely due to the low potential for the site to deliver homes for older people.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.                            |  | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                                       |  | ?                    | To the north west the site immediately adjoins the Grade II Listed Stanford Windmill. Therefore, the site contributes to the wider setting of these important historic assets. Its development has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on the special character and setting of the historic assets within the immediate vicinity. This effect is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. | -?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where an assessment of the impact on the setting of Stanford Windmill is carried out and the setting preserved or enhanced. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |  |
| where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape                         | 0?                   | The site is partially within 1km of the AONB although the development would be screened from the wider AONB by existing development. A negligible rather than minor negative effect on the character of the AONB is therefore likely although this would be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development   | +?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposals achieves the highest quality design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforces local rural distinctiveness. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive uncertain effect on this objective, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Westenhanger with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape: regeneration           | ++?                  | The site is on land that has been previously developed.<br>Redevelopment of the site is considered to make a   | ++?                        | Development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality design of both buildings and   |  |

|   |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obj  | jectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>613 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND8              | Justification   |  |
|   |  |                      | significant contribution to the regeneration of the wider townscape, although there is some uncertainty relating to the appropriateness of the design of the development.  |                            | surrounding space and reinforces local rural distinctiveness.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a significant positive effect on this objective. However this is uncertain until the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   |  | 0?                   | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the site is made up of undesignated greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose                                       | 10(a) Reduce the<br>need to travel   | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective                    |  |
| sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within 800m of a railway station.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | +                    | The site is on previously developed land. This is considered to represent a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.   | +                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |
| geology and<br>economic<br>mineral  | 11(b) Soil quality<br>and quantity   | -                    | Almost all of the site sits within Grade 3 agricultural land.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As almost all of the site sits within Grade 3 agricultural land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |
| reserves.   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination  | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |

|  |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |
|--|---|----------------------|---|---------------|---|
| SA Obj   | jectives                                | SHLAA<br>Site<br>613 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND8 | Justification   |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding          | ?                    | The site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for silica sand/construction sand – sandstone: Folkestone formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   | ?             | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for silica sand/construction sand – sandstone: Folkestone formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected. |
| 12. Maintain and i<br>of groundwater, so<br>coastal waters.              | mprove the quality<br>urface waters and | -?                   | The Water Cycle Study identified a potential capacity issue in the strategic wastewater link between the Westenhanger and Lympne area and Sellindge wastewater treatment works. It is therefore assumed that residential allocations in the parish of Stanford could have the potential for minor adverse effects on this SA objective with uncertainty relating to whether the development is of sufficient size to require a new link to Sellindge wastewater treatment works or whether such a link will be secured, e.g. via developer contributions. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | -?            | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. As there is a potential capacity issue in the strategic wastewater link, a minor negative uncertain effect is expected.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     |   | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |   | 0                    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to open space. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |

## **Policy ND9 – Land at Folkestone Racecourse**

**SHLAA Reference Number: 204A** 

**Recommendations:** The racecourse site as a whole would be an appropriate candidate for a master plan which could include the future operation and appearance of the Westenhanger Castle. Incorporating allotments onsite would help to offset the loss of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land.

|   |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>204a | Justification  | Policy<br>ND9              | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of any services or facilities.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already is only within convenient walking distance of two types of services/facilities the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                     | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |

|  |                                    |    | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal   |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|----|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives                      |    | Justification   | Policy<br>ND9 | Justification  |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable                                | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing         | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | +             | The policy supports an allocation of 11 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |  |
| housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly. | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 20 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++            | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 11 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.   |  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.          |                                    | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                     |                                    | ?  | The site is in a rural location and part of the settlement of Westenhanger. The site is within 200m of Westenhanger Castle which is a Scheduled Monument, 250m of Westenhanger Manor and Barn, both Grade I Listed Buildings, and partially within 500m of the Sandling Park Registered Park and Garden. Driving through the present racecourse is how one first experiences the historic site. Therefore, the site contributes to the wider setting of these important historic assets. The land within the site is recognised as previously developed land associated with racecourse; however, the vast majority of the land is open and rural in nature. Therefore, its development has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on the special character and setting of the historic assets within the immediate vicinity. This effect is uncertain as the actual effects on historic assets will depend on the exact scale, design and layout of the new development. | -?            | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where an assessment of the impact of development on the setting of nearby Scheduled and Grade I Listed Westenhanger Castle has been sort and adhered to ensuring the layout of development protects its setting. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |  |

|   |  |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje   | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>204a | Justification  | Policy<br>ND9              | Justification   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | 8(a) Landscape                                     | -?                    | This relatively rural and open site is within 1km of the Kent Downs AONB. There is therefore potential for minor negative effects on the wider landscape, although this is uncertain until the specific design, scale and layout of the new development are known.                   | 0?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforce local rural distinctiveness. Existing trees and hedgerows within/around perimeter of site are also to be retained and enhanced. Therefore the effect is negligible uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known |  |
|   | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence       | 0                     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Westenhanger with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                    | 0                     | The land within the site is recognised as previously developed land associated with racecourse; however, the vast majority of the land is open and rural in nature. Therefore, its development is not considered to make a significant contribution to the regeneration of the area. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration. This previously developed site is relatively rural and open in character. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.  | hance  | 0?                    | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.       | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to  | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel              | 0                     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that   | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose sustainable | ++                    | The site is directly adjacent to Westenhanger train station as well as a number of bus stops.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected  |  |

|   |                                       |                       | Policy-off Appraisal  |               | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------|---|--|--|
| SA Obj  | ectives                               | SHLAA<br>Site<br>204a | Justification   | Policy<br>ND9 | Justification   |  |  |
| will result in significant traffic congestion.  | transport modes                       |                       |   |               | for this objective.   |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.    | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land        | +                     | The land within the site is recognised as previously developed land associated with racecourse; however, the vast majority of the land is open and rural in nature.  Overall, the development of this site represents a more efficient use of land compared to developing on greenfield sites.  | +             | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits on previously developed land a minor positive effect is expected.   |  |  |
| reserves.   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity |                       | The site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land. A significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |               | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As the site is wholly within an area of Grade 1 and 2 agricultural land, a significant negative effect is therefore likely.  |  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination           | 0                     | The site itself is not considered to be contaminated but is directly adjacent to an area of contaminated land.  | 0             | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding        | ?                     | The land within the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Area for Silica Sand/ Construction Sand-Sandstone: Folkestone Formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.   | ?             | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for silica sand/construction sand – sandstone: Folkestone formation in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected. |  |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                       | -?                    | The Water Cycle Study identified a potential capacity issue in the strategic wastewater link between the Westenhanger and Lympne area and Sellindge wastewater treatment works. It is therefore assumed that residential allocations in the parish of Stanford could have the potential for minor adverse effects on this SA objective with uncertainty relating to whether the development is of sufficient size to require a new link to Sellindge wastewater treatment works or whether such a link will be secured, e.g. via developer contributions. The site does not fall within a Groundwater | 0             | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the development ensures that there is no adverse impact on water quality from wastewater overflow. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.                  |  |  |

|  | Policy-off Appraisal  |   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>204a | Justification   | Policy<br>ND9              | Justification  |  |
|  |                       | Source Protection Zone.   |                            |  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     | 0                     | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. | 0                     | The site is not a designated area of open space.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where open spaces and planting are used to provide a visual link to the countryside and an attractive backdrop to development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |

**Recommendations:**. Providing allotments onsite could offset the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land.

|   | Policy-off Appraisal |  |                | Preferred Policy Appraisal  |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------|---|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>635 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND10 | Justification   |  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0              | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a post office. There are no other services within close proximity.   | 0              | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is only within walking distance a post office, a negligible effect is likely on this objective.   |  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA | 0              | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |  |

|  |                                    |    | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|------------------------------------|----|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives                      |    | Justification  | Policy<br>ND10             | Justification   |  |
|  |                                    |    | objective will be assumed to be negligible.  |                            |   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of | 5(a) Affordable<br>housing         | +  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 9 dwellings, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   | +                          | The policy supports an allocation of 11 dwellings,, 1-2 of which will be required to be affordable. This is considered to make a positive contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District. The policy also requires at least two affordable dwellings are provided on site in accordance with Policy CSD1.                            |  |
| society, including the elderly.  | 5(b) Dwellings<br>for older people | 0  | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 9 dwellings. A site of this size would have limited potential for providing homes for older people.   | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 11 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District. The policy also requires a mix of dwelling types and sizes in accordance with Policy CSD2                            |  |
| 6. Support the crea quality and diverse opportunities.   | ~                                  | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.   |                                    | 0? | The site forms part of the settlement of Stelling Minnis. There are no heritage assets within close proximity. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of                   | 8(a) Landscape                     | ?  | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered that it would be adequately screened from the wider AONB due to open views from the south-west. Therefore, there is potential for significant negative effects on the wider the landscape, although this is uncertain until the specific | -?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforces local rural distinctiveness to help maintain the Kent Downs AONB as a special place. The proposal should acknowledge surrounding street patterns and urban grain, fronting |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>635 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND10             | Justification   |  |
| the landscape and townscape.   |  |                      | design and layout of the new development are known.  |                            | dwellings on to existing streets and following the existing built edge where possible. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.                   |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                                   | 0                    | The site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Stelling Minnis with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration  | 0                    | The majority of the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as<br>the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is<br>considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | -?                   | The site is within 250m Stelling Minnis Common and Church Wood Local Wildlife Site. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this will be uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where biodiversity enhancement measures are incorporated into the design of the development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective      |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of two bus stops, both of which are directly adjacent.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | -                    | The majority of this site is on undeveloped land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.   |  |

|  |                                       |   | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | SA Objectives                         |   | Justification  | Policy<br>ND10             | Justification  |  |
| safeguard soils,<br>geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.         | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity | - | The whole site is on Grade 3 agricultural land.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As the site is on Grade 3 agricultural land , a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |
|  | 11(c) Land contamination              | 0 | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding        | ? | The land within the site has been identified as being within a Mineral Safeguarding Area for brickearth (other areas) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030.       | ?                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. As the site has been identified as a Mineral Safeguarding Areas for brickearth (other areas) in the Kent Minerals and Waste Plan 2013-2030, an uncertain effect is expected.   |  |
| 12. Maintain and im of groundwater, sui coastal waters.                  |                                       | 0 | The site is within the parish of Stelling Minnis which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     |                                       | 0 | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |                                       | 0 | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where open spaces and planting are used to provide a visual link to the countryside and an attractive backdrop to development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |

**Recommendations:** Providing allotments on site could reduce offset loss of Grade 3 agricultural land.

|   |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1003 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND11             | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                     | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                     | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.               |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                     | The only service or facility within convenient walking distance of the site is a post office. A negligible effect is likely.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is already is only within convenient walking distance of a post office, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                     | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime will depend on factors such as the incorporation of green space within developments which, depending on design and the use of appropriate lighting, could have an effect on perceptions of personal safety, particularly at night. However, such issues will not be influenced by the location of development sites (rather they will be determined through the detailed proposals for each site). Therefore, the effects of the potential sites on this SA | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |

|   | SA Objectives                            |    | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|--|----|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objecti  |  |    | Justification   | Policy<br>ND11             | Justification  |  |
|   |  |    | objective will be assumed to be negligible.   |                            |  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having   | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing            | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 63 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 25 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.   |  |
| including the elderly.  | 5(b)<br>Dwellings<br>for older<br>people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 63 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 25 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards.  This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |  | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |  | 0? | The site adjoins the settlement of Densole. There are no heritage assets within close proximity of the site. Therefore, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.  | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. Until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known, the effect is negligible uncertain.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | a) Landscape                             | ?  | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Although the site is near to existing development, it is not considered that it would be adequately screened from views in the wider AONB due to open views to the west and a significant negative effect is likely, although potential effects will be uncertain as the exact impacts on the landscape will depend on factors relating to the specific design and layout of the new development. | -?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforces local rural distinctiveness to help maintain the Kent Downs AONB as a special place. Existing trees and hedgerows within/around perimeter of site should also be retained and enhanced and the western building edge fragmented and softened with a strong landscape buffer. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. |  |

|  |  |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1003 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND11             | Justification   |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement character: coalescence   | 0                     | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Densole with neighbouring settlements.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c)<br>Townscape:<br>regeneration   | 0                     | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |                       | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel  | 0                     | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable<br>transport modes | ++                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.                                      |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land   | -                     | The site sits on undeveloped land. This is not considered to be an efficient use of land compared to developing on brownfield sites.   | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.   |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.   | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity  | -                     | Almost all of the site sits within Grade 3 agricultural land.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of graded agricultural land. As the site sits in Grade 3 agricultural land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |

|  |                                |                       | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                        | SHLAA<br>Site<br>1003 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND11             | Justification  |
|  | 11(c) Land<br>contamination    | 0                     | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                     | The site is not in a Minerals Safeguarding Zone.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and im of groundwater, su coastal waters.                   |                                | -?                    | The site is within the parish of Swingfield which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues; however, the site falls within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative although uncertain effect is likely as the actual effect would relate to the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. | 0                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where measures are taken to avoid pollution to groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     |                                | 0                     | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |                                | 0                     | The site is not in a designated area of open space.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where open spaces and planting are used to provide a visual link to the countryside and an attractive backdrop to development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |

## **Policy ND12 - Etchinghill Nursery, Etchinghill**

**SHLAA Reference Number: 418** 

**Recommendations:** The design of the development should seek to minimise effects on the character of the AONB and setting of the nearby Listed Buildings. Mitigation/enhancement measures should be investigated to avoid adverse effects on the Folkestone to Etchinghill Escarpment SSSI.

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>418 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND12             | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.              |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces. There are no other services within convenient walking distance.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is only within walking distance of two types of services/facilities a negligible effect is likely on this objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.         | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective |  |
| 5. Improve the 5(a)   | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 30  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 30 dwellings, 30% of   |  |

|   |                                       |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Object   | ives                                  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>418 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND12             | Justification   |  |
| provision of homes,<br>including affordable<br>housing, having  | Affordable housing                    |                      | dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |                            | which will be required to be affordable dwellings, This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.  | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++                   | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 30 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation30 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   |                                       | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |                                       | ?                    | The site sits within a rural location and adjoins the settlement of Etchinghill. The site is within 250m of four Grade II Listed Buildings within the village's historic core, which designated as a Conservation Area. Development within the site has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on the setting of these listed buildings; however this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. | -?                         | The site is within 250m of four Grade II Listed Buildings within the village's historic core, which designated as a Conservation Area. However, the policy states that the design of the development should seek to minimise effects on the setting of the nearby Listed Buildings. Therefore, development within the site has the potential to have a minor adverse effect on the setting of these listed buildings; however this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.      |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape. | i(a) Landscape                        | ?                    | The site sits within a rural location adjacent to the village of Etchinghill within the Kent Downs AONB. There is therefore the potential for significant negative effects on landscape, although potential effects will be uncertain until the design, scale and layout of the new development are known.  | -?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality of design of both buildings and surrounding space and reinforces local rural distinctiveness to help maintain the Kent Downs AONB as a special place. Existing trees and hedgerows within/around perimeter of site are also to be retained and enhanced and a strong landscape buffer provided along the southern and south east boundary. Therefore the effect is minor negative uncertain, until such time as the detailed |  |

|  |  |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives  | SHLAA<br>Site<br>418 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND12             | Justification   |  |
|  |  |                      |   |                            | scale, design and layout of the development are known   |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                       | 0                    | The development of the site is not considered to contribute to the coalescence of Etchinghill with neighbouring settlements.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                                    | 0                    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.  |  | -?                   | The site is within 250m of Folkestone to Etchinghill Escarpment SSSI and an Ancient Woodland Inventory site. A minor negative effect is likely although this will be uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. | -?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. As the site is within 250m of Folkestone to Etchinghill Escarpment SSSI and an Ancient Woodland Inventory site, a minor negative effect is likely although this will be uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to   | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel                              | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 10(b) Increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops.  | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,  | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land                                     | -                    | The site is on undeveloped land. This represents less efficient use of land compared with developing brownfield sites.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.   |  |
| geology and  | 11(b) Soil   | 0                    | The site is not within a graded area of agricultural land.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land.  |  |

|  |                                |                      | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives                        | SHLAA<br>Site<br>418 | Justification   | Policy<br>ND12             | Justification  |  |
| economic mineral reserves.   | quality and quantity           |                      |   |                            | Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(c) Land contamination       | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|  | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 12. Maintain and im of groundwater, sui coastal waters.                  |                                | 0                    | The site is within the parish of Lyminge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues. The site does not fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.                                     |                                | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs. |                                | 0                    | The site is not a designated area of open space.  | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where open spaces and planting are used to provide a visual link to the countryside and an attractive backdrop to development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective. |  |

**Recommendations:** The Incorporating allotments onsite would help to offset the loss of Grade 3 agricultural land. The development should avoid adverse effects on groundwater.

The design of the development should seek to minimise effects on the setting of the nearby heritage assets.

|   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>419 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND13             | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0                    | The land within the site is not within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' or 'moderate' flooding and does not include a flood storage area. Therefore, there is no risk of flooding.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to flood risk. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0                    | The location of housing sites will not have an effect on levels of domestic energy consumption and the potential for renewable energy use. These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, all sites are considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to domestic energy consumption or the potential for renewable energy use.  These factors are influenced by design and construction methods encouraged through detailed development management policies. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective. |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0                    | The site is within convenient walking distance of outdoor sports and recreational facilities and open spaces. There are no other services within convenient walking distance.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to the provision of services or facilities. As the site is only within walking distance of two types of services/facilities a negligible effect is likely on this objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0                    | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting, not by the location of development sites.  Therefore, at this stage in the Plan-making process, all site  | 0                          | The effects of new developments on levels of crime and fear of crime depend on detailed design factors, such as the incorporation of green space or the use of appropriate lighting. The policy makes no reference to design factors such as these. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a                        |  |

|  |                                       |    | Policy-off Appraisal  | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|---------------------------------------|----|---|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Object  | SA Objectives                         |    | Justification   | Policy<br>ND13             | Justification   |  |
|  |                                       |    | allocations are assumed to have a negligible effect.  |                            | negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs  | 5(a)<br>Affordable<br>housing         | ++ | The site is 0.74 ha and is expected to be able to accommodate 10 dwellings, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a moderate contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  | ++                         | The policy supports an allocation of 11 dwellings on a 0.74 ha site, 30% of which will be required to be affordable dwellings. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of affordable homes in the District.  |  |
| of all sections of society, including the elderly.   | 5(b)<br>Dwellings for<br>older people | ++ | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 10 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  | ++                         | The site is expected to be able to accommodate 10 dwellings, 20% of which will be required to meet Lifetime Homes standards. This is considered to be a significant contribution to the provision of homes for older people in the District.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.                |                                       | 0  | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to employment provision. The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.                           |                                       | ?  | The site sits within a rural location and adjoins the settlement of Etchinghill. The site is within 250m of five Grade II Listed Buildings within the village's historic core, which designated as a Conservation Area. Development within the site has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on the setting of these listed buildings; however this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known. | ?                          | The policy makes no reference to heritage assets. The site is within 250m of five Grade II Listed Buildings within the village's historic core, which designated as a Conservation Area. Development within the site has the potential to have a significant adverse effect on the setting of these listed buildings; however this is uncertain until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of | (a) Landscape                         | -? | The site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. The site is bound by existing residential development and trees, screening the site from the wider landscape and the AONB. Therefore, a minor negative effect is recorded, although potential effects will be uncertain until the design, scale and layout of development is known.   | 0?                         | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where the proposal achieves the highest quality of design of both buildings and surrounding space, and reinforces local rural distinctiveness to help maintain the Kent Downs AONB as a special place. The highest quality materials should be used and traditional building techniques employed.  |  |

|  |   |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |   |  |
|--|---|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|--|
| SA Obje  | ectives   | SHLAA<br>Site<br>419 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND13             | Justification   |  |
| the landscape and townscape.   |   |                      |  |                            | Existing trees and hedgerows within/around perimeter of site are to be retained and enhanced. Therefore the effect is negligible uncertain, until such time as the detailed scale, design and layout of the development are known.  |  |
|  | 8(b) Settlement<br>character:<br>coalescence                | 0?                   | The site lies between the settlement of Etchinghill and the settlement of Lyminge; however, the village of Etchinghill extends northwards along the full length of the site's western edge and there is further ribbon development to the north. Therefore the development of the site is not considered to make a significant contribution to the coalescence of these neighbouring settlements. This effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known. | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to settlement coalescence. The site lies between the settlement of Etchinghill and the settlement of Lyminge; however, the village of Etchinghill extends northwards along the full length of the site's western edge and there is further ribbon development to the north. Therefore the development of the site is not considered to make a significant contribution to the coalescence of these neighbouring settlements. This effect is uncertain until the detailed design, layout and landscaping of the site is known. |  |
|  | 8(c) Townscape:<br>regeneration                             | 0                    | The site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, a negligible effect is likely as there would be no potential for regeneration.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to townscape regeneration as the site sits on undeveloped land. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and en biodiversity.   | 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.                       |                      | The site does not contain or lie in close proximity to any ecological designations; however, the majority of the site is greenfield land. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   | 0?                         | The policy makes no reference to biodiversity. Therefore, a negligible uncertain effect is assumed due to the potential for impacts on undesignated areas of biodiversity interest.   |  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that | 10(a) Reduce<br>the need to<br>travel                       | 0                    | The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to reducing the need to travel.  The site is not within convenient walking distance of an employment area or shopping parade. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective   |  |
|  | 10(b) Increase<br>opportunities to<br>choose<br>sustainable | ++                   | The site is within convenient walking distance of three bus stops.   | ++                         | The policy makes no reference to sustainable transport. As the site is already within convenient walking distance of a number of bus stops a significant positive effect is expected for this objective.  |  |

|   |                                       |                      | Policy-off Appraisal   | Preferred Policy Appraisal |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| SA Obje   | ectives                               | SHLAA<br>Site<br>419 | Justification  | Policy<br>ND13             | Justification  |  |
| will result in significant traffic congestion.  | transport modes                       |                      |  |                            |  |  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils,   | 11(a) Efficient<br>use of land        | -                    | The site is on undeveloped greenfield land. This represents a less efficient use of land compared to developing brownfield sites.  | -                          | The policy makes no reference to efficiency of land use. As the site sits in an area of undeveloped greenfield land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |
| geology and<br>economic mineral<br>reserves.  | 11(b) Soil<br>quality and<br>quantity | -                    | The site is wholly on Grade 3 agricultural land.   | -                          | The policy makes no reference to graded agricultural land. As the site is wholly on Grade 3 agricultural land, a minor negative effect is expected.  |  |
|   | 11(c) Land<br>contamination           | 0                    | The site is not considered to be contaminated.   | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to land contamination.  Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
|   | 11(d) Minerals<br>safeguarding        | 0                    | The site is not in a Mineral Safeguarding Area.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to minerals safeguarding. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.   |  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters. |                                       | -?                   | The site is within the parish of Lyminge which is not considered to have waste water capacity issues; however, the site does fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain and would be dependent on the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. | -?                         | The policy makes no reference to the quality of groundwater. The site does fall within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone. A minor negative effect is therefore likely although this is uncertain and would be dependent on the construction activities (e.g. dewatering) and mitigation that would be employed. |  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  |                                       | 0                    | Development standards in relation to water efficiency are not related to a development site's location.  | 0                          | The policy makes no reference to water efficiency. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a negligible effect on this objective.  |  |
| 14. Protect and enh<br>and ensure that it r   |                                       | 0                    | The site is not a designated area of open space.   | +                          | The policy states that development proposals will be supported where open spaces and planting are used to provide a visual link to the countryside and an attractive backdrop to development. Therefore, the policy is considered to have a minor positive effect on this objective                                |  |

# Preferred Development Management Policies

## Policy UA1- Folkestone Town centre

| Policy UA1- Folkestone Town centre  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA1 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | Planning permission will be granted for development that provides for a range of town centres uses that add to the vitality and viability of the town centre. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | +             | Active and diverse town centre frontages can help create more dynamic streets, promoting passive surveillance which could help reduce crime and the fear of crime. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | ++            | The policy also seeks to promote an evening economy, which will help support a diverse range of employment opportunities. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | For development proposals that fall within the town centre, permission will be permitted provided that the overall design reflects the local character in which it is located and the impact of any car parking is reduced by site location and appropriate landscaping. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |

| Policy UA1- Folkestone Town centre  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA1 | Justification   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +             | For development proposals that fall within the town centre, permission will be permitted provided that it can be demonstrated that the site is in an accessible location and well connected to the town centre that would encourage people to walk, cycle and use public transport. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

#### Policy UA2- Cheriton Local Centre

| Policy UA2- Cheriton Local Centre   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA2 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | The policy seeks to retain the vitality and viability of Cheriton Local Centre by allowing a variety of uses to create a diverse frontage. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | +             | Active and diverse town centre frontages can help create more dynamic streets, promoting passive surveillance which could help reduce crime and the fear of crime. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | +             | The policy seeks to retain the vitality and viability of Cheriton Local Centre by allowing a variety of uses to create a diverse frontage. This should help attract consumers and create more employment opportunities. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | The policy seeks to avoid continuous frontage of three or more A3 units. This will promote the diversity of the streetscape and could help improve the physical appearance of the centre. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.                               |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy UA2- Cheriton Local Centre   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA2 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy UA3- Sandgate Local Centre

| Policy UA3- Sandgate Local Centre   |               |   |  |
|---|---------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA3 | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | The policy seeks to safeguard existing local shopping facilities, which are vital elements of vibrant and sustainable settlements. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | +             | Active town centre frontages can help create more dynamic streets, promoting passive surveillance which could help reduce crime and the fear of crime. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | +             | The policy seeks to retain the vitality and viability of Sandgate Local Centre by safegaurding existing local-scale shopping facilities. This should help attract consumers and create more employment opportunities. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | The policy seeks to avoid continuous frontage of two or more A1 units. This will promote the diversity of the streetscape and could help improve the physical appearance of the centre. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.                               |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |

| Policy UA3- Sandgate Local Centre   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA3 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy UA5- Former Harbour Railway Line

| Policy UA5- Former Harbour Railway Line   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA5 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | ++            | The policy seeks to provide a cycle and pedestrian route to the harbour which is likely to encourage the uptake of more sustainable means of transport and reduce the associated greenhouse gas emissions. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.                  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | The policy seeks to improve access to the harbour development through active travel and increased parking. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | +             | The policy seeks to provide additional parking along this route to serve tourists and visitors to the harbour and seafront. This will increase access to tourism facilities and help ensure the vitality of the tourism industry. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy UA5- Former Harbour Railway Line   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA5 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | ++            | The policy seeks to provide a cycle and pedestrian route to the harbour which is likely to encourage the uptake of more sustainable means of transport and reduce traffic congestion. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

## Policy UA20 - Hythe Town Centre

| Policy UA20 - Hythe Town Centre   |                |  |  |
|---|----------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA20 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++             | Planning permission will be granted for development that provides for a range of town centres uses that add to the vitality and viability of the town centre. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | +              | Active and diverse town centre frontages can help create more dynamic streets, promoting passive surveillance which could help reduce crime and the fear of crime. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | +              | The policy seeks to retain the vitality and viability of Hythe Town Centre by safeguarding the existing varied uses of the High street. This should help attract consumers and create more employment opportunities. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +              | For development proposals that fall within the town centre, permission will be permitted provided that the overall design reflects the local character in which it is located and the impact of any car parking is reduced by site location and appropriate landscaping. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy UA20 - Hythe Town Centre   |                |   |
|---|----------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>UA20 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | ++             | For development proposals that fall within the town centre, permission will be permitted provided that it can be demonstrated that the site is in an accessible location and well connected to the town centre that would encourage people to walk, cycle and use public transport. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

## Policy RM1 - New Romney Town Centre

| Policy RM1 - New Romney Town Centre   |               |  |  |
|---|---------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>RM1 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | Planning permission will be granted for development that provides for a range of town centres uses that add to the vitality and viability of the town centre. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | +             | Active and diverse town centre frontages can help create more dynamic streets, promoting passive surveillance which could help reduce crime and the fear of crime. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | +             | The policy seeks to retain the vitality and viability of New Romney Town Centre by safeguarding the existing varied uses of the High street. This should help attract consumers and create more employment opportunities. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | For development proposals that fall within the town centre, permission will be permitted provided that the overall design reflects the local character in which it is located and the impact of any car parking is reduced by site location and appropriate landscaping. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy RM1 - New Romney Town Centre   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>RM1 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | ++            | For development proposals that fall within the town centre, permission will be permitted provided that it can be demonstrated that the site is in an accessible location and well connected to the town centre that would encourage people to walk, cycle and use public transport. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

## Policy HB1 – Quality Places Through Design

| Policy HB1 – Quality Places Through Design  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB1 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +             | The policy states that planning permission will be granted for major development where the proposal facilitates and enables circulation and ease of movement within the locality for all users, promoting low vehicle speeds, integrated resident and visitor parking and prioritising active forms of travel with roads, footways and paths appropriately located to allow for natural surveillance whilst maximising legibility. This will help encourage healthier lifestyles and make facilities and services more easily accessible. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.                  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | +             | The policy prioritises active forms of travel with roads, footways and paths appropriately located to allow for natural surveillance whilst maximising legibility. This will help reduce the fear of crime. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | +/-           | The policy relates to the incorporation of good design into all development proposals in the District, including housing. This may result in dwellings within Basildon being of a higher standard in terms of natural lighting, ventilation, privacy etc. leading to the provision of decent homes and a minor positive effect on this objective. However the policy could potentially have a minor negative effect on this objective whereby design criteria cannot be met or where high quality design criteria requirements render housing developments less viable. A mixed effect is therefore likely on this objective. |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | The policy states that planning permission will be granted for major development where the proposal makes a positive contribution to its location and surroundings, enhancing integration whilst also respecting existing buildings and land uses, particularly with regard to layout, scale, form, density and materiality so as to ensure all proposals create places of character. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +             | The policy states that planning permission will be granted for major development where the proposals create, enhance, improve and integrate areas of public open space, green infrastructure, biodiversity and other public realm assets. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |

| Policy HB1 – Quality Places Through Design  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB1 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +             | The policy prioritises active forms of travel with roads, footways and paths appropriately located to allow for natural surveillance whilst maximising legibility. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | +             | The policy states that planning permission will be granted for major development where the proposals create, enhance, improve and integrate areas of public open space, green infrastructure, biodiversity and other public realm assets. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

#### Policy HB2 – Cohesive Design

| Policy HB2 – Cohesive Design  |               |  |  |
|---|---------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB2 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++            | The policy states that development will be permitted if it accords well and/or 'speaks to' the existing locality, where the site and surroundings are physically and visually interrelated in respect of building form, mass, height, and elevational details. Proposals should also ensure that the local character is protected, particularly with regards to sky and tree lines, and the protection of spaces between the buildings. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy HB2 – Cohesive Design  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB2 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy HB3 – Development of Residential Gardens

| Policy HB3 – Development of Residential Gardens   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB3 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++            | The policy states that development proposals involving the complete or partial redevelopment of residential garden land will be permitted provided that the proposal responds to the character and appearance of the area, taking into account the views from streets, footpaths and the wider residential and public environment; and that the size of plot to be developed is of an appropriate size and shape to accommodate the proposal, taking into account the scale, layout and spacing of existing and surrounding buildings, the amenity of adjoining residents. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +             | The policy states that development proposals involving the complete or partial redevelopment of residential garden land will be permitted provided that any loss of biodiversity value on the site will be mitigated, and where practicable measures to enhance biodiversity through habitat creation or improvement are incorporated.  |

| Policy HB3 – Development of Residential Gardens   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB3 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy HB4 – Alterations and Extensions to Existing Buildings

| Policy HB4 – Alterations and Extensions to Existing Buildings   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB4 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++            | The policy states that alterations and extensions to existing buildings should reflect the scale, proportions, materials, roofline, and detailing of the original building and should not adversely affect the amenity enjoyed by the occupiers of neighbouring properties or have a detrimental impact upon the street scene, either in itself or on a cumulative basis. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy HB4 – Alterations and Extensions to Existing Buildings   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB4 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy HB5 – Internal and External Space Standards

| Policy HB5 – Internal and External Space Standards  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB5 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | ++            | The policy seeks to ensure that the nationally described space standards are implemented wherever viable. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +             | In the case of certain types of conversions, including those in Conservation Areas, an area of private garden for the exclusive use of the residents of a set of flats, provided pro rata, may be acceptable in place of individual balconies or terraces. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy HB5 – Internal and External Space Standards  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB5 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | +             | The policy states that planning permission will be granted for all new development and conversions where the proposed scheme provides an area of private open space (A private usable balcony area with a minimum depth of 1.5m or an area of private garden for the exclusive use of an individual dwelling house of at least 10m in depth and the width of the dwelling) for each new or converted dwelling. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

#### Policy HB6 – Self Build/Custom Build Development

| Policy HB6 – Self Build/Custom Build Development  |               |  |  |
|---|---------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB6 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | ++            | The policy requires all sites within the Folkestone and Hythe Urban Area delivering more than 40 dwellings to supply no less than 5% of dwelling plots for sale to self or custom builders on the districts Register. Within the North Downs and Romney Marsh Areas sites delivering more than 20 dwellings must supply no less than 5% of dwelling plots for sale to self or custom builders on the districts Register. The policy will contribute to the availability of self and custom build plots increasing choice and meeting the needs of the district, resulting in a significant positive effect for this objective. |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy HB6 – Self Build/Custom Build Development  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB6 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy HB7 – Local Needs Housing in Rural Areas

| Policy HB7 – Local Needs Housing in Rural Areas   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB7 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | ++            | The policy supports local needs housing development. This will contribute to the variety of housing supply in the district. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | The policy states that planning permission will be granted for proposals for local needs housing development within or adjoining villages of a suitable scale and type to meet identified needs provided that he site is well related in scale and sitting, to the village and its services and is capable of development without significant adverse countryside, conservation, environmental or highway safety impact. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy HB7 – Local Needs Housing in Rural Areas   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB7 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy HB8 – Residential Dwellings in the Countryside

| Policy HB8 - Residential Dwellings in the Countryside   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB8 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | ++            | The policy will allow for a greater choice and improved quality of homes in the countryside A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++            | The policy states that planning permission will be granted for replacement dwellings located in the countryside provided that it can be demonstrated that the scale, bulk, massing, location within the site, and materials used does not harm the wider landscape, the functioning of neighbouring uses or the amenities of nearby residents |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy HB8 – Residential Dwellings in the Countryside   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB8 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +             | The policy allows for the re-use of redundant buildings. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

# Policy HB9 – Conversion and Reconfiguration of Residential Care Homes and Institutions

| Policy HB9 - Conversion and Reconfiguration of Residential Care Homes and Institutions  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB9 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | ++            | The policy allows for planning permission to be granted for the conversion of a residential care home/ institution (C2) to residential (C3). This will contribute to the variety of housing supply in the district. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +             | The local planning authority will seek to avoid the demolition of an existing residential care home or institution in a Conservation Area or where the building contributes to the character of the area. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective                  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy HB9 – Conversion and Reconfiguration of Residential Care Homes and Institutions  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB9 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +             | The policy states planning permission will be granted for the conversion of a residential care home / institution (C2) to residential (C3), hotel/b&b (C1) or non-residential institution (D1) provided that it can be demonstrated that the building is no longer viable for the use. This allows for a more efficient use of land. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

# Policy HB10 – Development of New or Extended Residential Institutions (C2 use)

| Policy HB10 – Development of New or Extended Residential Institutions (C2 use)  |                |  |
|---|----------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB10 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | +              | Planning permission will be granted for the development of new residential institutions or the conversion of existing properties provided they are located in areas at lower risk of flooding. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | +              | Planning permission will be granted for the development of new residential institutions or the conversion of existing properties provided that the design and layout take account of the design policies presented within this plan, as well as sustainable construction and Building for Life 12 criteria. This will help ensure new builds are sustainable and adapted to the potential impact of climate change. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +              | Planning permission will be granted for the development of new residential institutions or the conversion of existing properties provided they are situated in sustainable locations with access to local services, leisure and community facilities, to include shops, healthcare and public transport. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | ++             | The policy supports the development of residential institutions for older people. This will contribute to the variety of housing supply in the district. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy HB10 - Development of New or Extended Residential Institutions (C2 use)  |                |  |
|---|----------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB10 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | +              | The policy requires that sufficient open and defensible amenity space should be provided and retained around the property for use by residents, staff and visitors. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

## Policy HB11 – Accomodation of Gypsies and Travellers

| Policy HB11 – Accomodation of Gypsies and Travellers  |                |   |
|---|----------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB11 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | ++             | The policy allows for planning permission to be granted for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation provided certain criteria are met. This will contribute to the variety of housing supply in the district. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +              | The policy states that planning permission for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation will be granted provided that there is no adverse effect on the visual or other essential qualities of the AONB, SSSI, national or local nature reserve or conservation area. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +              | The policy states that planning permission for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation will be granted provided that there is no adverse effect on the visual or other essential qualities of the AONB, SSSI, national or local nature reserve or conservation area. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +              | The policy states that planning permission for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation will be granted provided that there is no adverse effect on the visual or other essential qualities of the AONB, SSSI, national or local nature reserve or conservation area. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

| Policy HB11 – Accomodation of Gypsies and Travellers  |                |   |
|---|----------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HB11 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0              | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy E1 – Employment Sites

| Policy E1 – Employment Sites  |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E1 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  |              | The Nickolls Quarry site is wholly designated as Flood Zones 2 and 3 as well as being identified in the District's SFRA (2015) as at risk of 'extreme', 'significant' and 'moderate' coastal flooding. Therefore, there is a significant risk of flooding within the site.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | ++           | The policy seeks to protect employment sites for business uses thereby supporting the economy of the district. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  |              | The Ingles Manor site sits in the urban area of Folkestone and contains the Grade II Ingles Manor. The site is also within the Folkestone Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. The effect is therefore significant negative due to the potential for adverse effects on the setting of the asset and Conservation Area. In addition, there are two Scheduled Monument within 500m of the Nickolls quarry site. There is limited development between the monuments and the site. Link Park is also within 100m of Belle Vue House Grade II Listed Building. Therefore a significant negative effect is therefore likely for this objective. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ?            | The Hawkinge West site lies within the Kent Downs AONB. Therefore, there is potential for significant negative effects on this objective, although this is uncertain until the specific scale, design and layout of the new development is known.   |

| Policy E1 – Employment Sites  |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E1 | Justification   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | -?           | The Dengemarsh site is adjacent to the Dungeness Ramsar/SAC and Dungeness, Romney Marsh and Rye Bay SSSI. A minor negative effect is likely although this is uncertain as appropriate mitigation may avoid adverse effects and may even result in beneficial effects. |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | ++           | The employment sites are in sustainable locations, within convenient walking distance of bus stops.   |
| Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +            | The majority of the employment sites referred to in the policy are located on previously developed land. This is a more efficient use of land and so a minor positive effect is expected.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

# Policy E2 – Tourism

| Policy E2 – Tourism   |              |   |  |
|---|--------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E2 | Justification   |  |
| Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.              | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | ++           | The policy seeks to promote the improvement and expansion of tourism facilities. The tourism offer is an important aspect of the economy of the district. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +            | Proposals that will provide new, or an upgrade to, sustainable tourism facilities, including hotels, guesthouses, bed and breakfast, self-catering accommodation and new visitor attractions will be permitted provided that the massing, materials and overall design of the proposal does not have a detrimental impact on the wider landscape, heritage assets or surrounding built form. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +            | Proposals that will provide new, or an upgrade to, sustainable tourism facilities, including hotels, guesthouses, bed and breakfast, self-catering accommodation and new visitor attractions will be permitted provided that the massing, materials and overall design of the proposal does not have a detrimental impact on the wider landscape, heritage assets or surrounding built form. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +            | The policy states that proposals that will provide new, or an upgrade to, sustainable tourism facilities, including hotels, guesthouses, bed and breakfast, self-catering accommodation and new visitor attractions will be permitted provided that there is no detrimental impact on biodiversity assets. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |  |

| Policy E2 – Tourism   |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E2 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +            | The policy states that proposals that will provide new, or an upgrade to, sustainable tourism facilities, including hotels, guesthouses, bed and breakfast, self-catering accommodation and new visitor attractions will be permitted provided that the location is well related to the highway network and is accessible by a range of means of transport including walking and cycling and by public transport. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

#### Policy E3 – Hotel/Guest Houses

| Policy E3 – Hotel/Guest Houses  |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E3 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | ++           | The policy supports range of good quality accommodation in the District, which will appeal to all types of tourist and seeks to resist the loss of visitor accommodation. The conversion to other tourist related uses would be supported. This will help ensure the vitality of the tourism industry in the district. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy E3 – Hotel/Guest Houses  |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E3 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +            | Applications for the change of use or redevelopment of hotels/guest houses or self-catering units which would result in a loss of visitor accommodation will only be permitted where it can be shown that it is no longer practicable to use the premises as holiday accommodation. This allows for a more efficient use of land. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

Policy E4 – Touring and Static Caravan Sites

| Policy E4 – Touring and Static Caravan Sites  |              |  |  |
|---|--------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E4 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | +            | The policy allows for proposals for change of use to residential use provided certain criteria are met. This will contribute to the variety of housing supply in the district. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | ++           | Touring and static caravan facilities play an important part for tourism in the district by providing long and short stay self-catering accommodation, particularly along the coastline. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +            | Proposals for upgraded touring and static caravan sites will be permitted where the proposal would not harm the character or appearance of the countryside or coastline or conflict with other countryside and environmental protection policies. In addition proposals for change of use to residential use will only be permitted where the site does not have a significant impact on the surrounding countryside, particularly in areas of landscape importance. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy E4 – Touring and Static Caravan Sites  |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E4 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +            | Planning permission will be granted for the diversification of farm businesses provided that the proposal should not significantly affect the best and most versatile agricultural land. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

#### Policy E5 – Farm Diversification

| Policy E5 – Farm Diversification  |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E5 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | ++           | The policy supports diversification of the rural economy through the development of new farm enterprises to sustain and develop farm businesses. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++           | Planning permission will be granted for the diversification of farm businesses provided that there would be no detrimental impact on local amenity or the character, appearance or nature conservation value of the rural landscape. This criterion will be given additional weight in the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and Special Landscape Areas and nature conservation designations. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy E5 – Farm Diversification  |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E5 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +            | Where practicable, the proposal re-uses an existing agricultural building. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

# Policy E6 – Farm Shops

| Policy E6 - Farm Shops  |              |   |  |
|---|--------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E6 | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | ++           | The policy supports the creation of farm shops. Farm shops to take advantage of passing trade and demands for local produce, which can improve the viability of individual farm units and diversity of the rural economy generally through providing new jobs and services. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |

| Policy E6 – Farm Shops  |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E6 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

# Policy E7 – Reuse of Rural Buildings

| Policy E7 – Reuse of Rural Buildings  |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E7 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | +            | The policy allows for the re-use or adaptation of rural buildings to alternative uses. Change of use to residential will contribute to the housing supply in the district. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +            | Planning applications for the re-use or adaptation of rural buildings to alternative uses will be approved where the proposal will not damage the fabric or character of any traditional building or the historic character and significance of the farmstead and in the case of a Heritage Asset, whether designated or not, the proposal will not damage the architectural, archaeological or historic interest of the asset or its setting. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +            | Planning applications for the re-use or adaptation of rural buildings to alternative uses will be approved where the proposed conversion is sympathetic to the building's intrinsic character, appearance and setting and is capable of being implemented without significant extensions or alterations to the existing building. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy E7 – Reuse of Rural Buildings  |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E7 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +            | The policy allows for the re-use or adaptation of rural buildings to alternative uses will. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

#### Policy E8 – Broadband Provision

| Policy E8 – Broadband Provision   |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E8 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++           | The provision of broadband will allow for greater access to services recognising that services are increasingly delivered online. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | ++           | The provision of broadband is important for businesses to grow, trade and remain competitive. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.                                     |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy E8 – Broadband Provision   |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>E8 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

Policy C1 – Creating a Sense of Place

| Policy C1 – Creating a Sense of Place   |              |  |  |
|---|--------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C1 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++           | Enhancing the physical environment will help bring people together and this is likely result in more vibrant and attractive communities and areas in the district. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | +            | Enhancing the physical environment and creating more dynamic streets can help promote passive surveillance which could help reduce crime and the fear of crime. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | +            | Residential developments comprising 10 or more dwellings will be required to demonstrate a deliverable and fully resourced project for fostering a sense of place. This will help improve the quality of new residential developments. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | +            | The policy supports the creative economy and artistic public realm can be a successful tourist attraction. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++           | The policy seeks to create a sense of place through such methods as landscaping, public art, water features and/or lighting. This will help create quality and distinctive landscapes. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this objective.   |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy C1 – Creating a Sense of Place   |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C1 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

Policy C2 – Safeguarding Community Facilities

| Policy C2 – Safeguarding Community Facilities   |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C2 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++           | The policy safeguards existing community facilities in the District, retaining access to local facilities and services. Loss of a community facility will be granted where the proposed development would provide an alternative beneficial facility to the local community. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy C2 – Safeguarding Community Facilities   |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C2 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +            | Planning permission for development leading to the loss of an existing community facility will be granted, where it can be demonstrated that there is no longer a demand for the facility within the locality supported with evidence that the premises have been actively marketed for a period of 12 months. This more efficient use of land will result in a minor positive effect for this objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

Policy C3 – Provision of Open Space

| Policy C3 – Provision of Open Space   |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C3 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | +            | Gross open space calculation may include provision of sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDS). The use of SUDS will help manage runoff volumes and flow rates, reducing the impact of flooding. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++           | Open spaces are used for a diverse range of activities, the vast majority of which are likely to contribute to improving the physical and mental health of District's residents. The policy serves to encourage these important local facilities, improving their general extent and quality where appropriate. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +            | Areas of open space can benefit wildlife and biodiversity if appropriately designed. The policy requires a provision of or contributions towards different categories of open space, including natural and semi-natural which would have a higher biodiversity potential than other types of open space. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.              |

| Policy C3 – Provision of Open Space   |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C3 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | ++           | The policy is directly linked to this objective as it seeks to provide open space that is strategically located, accessible and usable. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective |

Policy C4 – Formal Play Space Provision

| Policy C4 – Formal Play Space Provision   |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C4 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++           | Formal play provision space are used for leisure, recreation and amenity purposes, the vast majority of which are likely to contribute to improving the physical and mental health of District's residents particularly that of children. The policy serves to encourage these important local facilities, improving their general extent and quality where appropriate. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy C4 – Formal Play Space Provision   |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C4 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | +            | Formal play space provision is a type of open space that will help meet the needs of young people. Play is an essential part of a child's life, and is considered vital for the enjoyment of childhood as well as social, emotional, intellectual and physical development. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

# Policy C5 – Local Green Spaces

| Policy C5 – Local Green Spaces  |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C5 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | +            | The policy seeks to safeguard green spaces. This is likely to contribute to improving the Borough's resilience to flooding, with minor positive effects against this objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++           | The policy seeks to safeguard existing green spaces, which are important environmental assets and a vital part of vibrant and sustainable settlements. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +            | Within the designated Local Green Spaces, development will only be permitted where measures are incorporated to reduce, as far as practicable, any harmful effects on the special character of the designated area. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +            | Within the designated Local Green Spaces, development will only be permitted where it does not result in the loss of ecological habitats. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |

| Policy C5 – Local Green Spaces  |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>C5 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | ++           | The policy seeks to safeguard existing open space. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

Policy T1 – Street Hierarchy and Site Layout

| Policy T1 – Street Hierarchy and Site Layout  |              |   |  |
|---|--------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T1 | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +            | The policy supports a street hierarchy considering pedestrians first and private motor vehicles last. This will help promote accessibility for all and community vibrancy as streets become more attractive and people spend longer there. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.             |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | ++           | The policy supports active frontages only, throughout the development, for the purposes of natural surveillance and creating characterful places. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | +            | The policy supports a street hierarchy considering pedestrians first and private motor vehicles last. This may have benefits for the retail and service sectors as streets become more attractive places for people and want to spend more time there. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |

| Policy T1 – Street Hierarchy and Site Layout  |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T1 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | ++           | The policy supports the creation of an environment that is safe for all street users, which encourages walking, cycling and use of public transport. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

# Policy T2 - Residential Parking

| Policy T2 – Residential Parking   |              |  |  |
|---|--------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T2 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | +            | The policy supports a charging point for electric vehicles in every private car parking space and covered cycling facilities which is likely to encourage the uptake of more sustainable means of transport and reduce the associated greenhouse gas emissions. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +            | The policy requires the parking to be well integrated so that it does not dominate the street. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy T2 – Residential Parking   |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T2 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +/-          | The policy supports a charging point for electric vehicles in every private car parking space and covered cycling facilities which is likely to encourage the uptake of more sustainable means of transport. However with the provision of parking for residential developments, there is likely to be an ongoing high ownership and use of private cars for commuting and accessing services which would have a negative effect on sustainable travel. Overall a mixed effect is expected. |
| Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

# Policy T3 – Residential Garages

| Policy T3 – Residential Garages   |              |  |  |
|---|--------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T3 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +            | The policy supports residential garages for the use of parking, which will help prevent parked cars dominating the streetscape. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy T3 – Residential Garages   |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T3 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +/-          | The policy could help avoid traffic congestion by reducing problems of displacement and inappropriate parking. However with the provision of parking for residential developments, there is likely to be an ongoing high ownership and use of private cars for commuting and accessing services which would have a negative effect on sustainable travel. Overall a mixed effect is expected |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

# Policy T4 – Lorry Parking

| Policy T4 – Lorry Parking   |              |  |  |
|---|--------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T4 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +            | Applications for the provision of lorry parking and service facilities will be approved provided substantial landscaping and screening should be provided to mitigate the visual impact of the development and based on a strong landscape framework. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy T4 – Lorry Parking   |              |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T4 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +            | The policy states that the site should be accessed from a designated strategic road network. This has the potential to reduce congestion on the District's strategic and minor road network. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

# Policy T5 – Cycle Parking

| Policy T5 – Cycle Parking   |              |  |  |
|---|--------------|--|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T5 | Justification  |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | +            | The policy supports the provision of cycling parking which is likely to encourage the uptake of more sustainable means of transport and reduce the associated greenhouse gas emissions. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy T5 – Cycle Parking   |              |   |
|---|--------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>T5 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +            | The policy supports the provision of cycling parking which is likely to encourage the uptake of more sustainable means of transport. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0            | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

Policy NE1 – Enhancing and Managing Access to the Natural Environemnt

| Policy NE1 – Enhancing and Managing Access to the Natural Environemnt   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE1 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | The policy seeks to improve access to the countryside and the natural environment. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | ++            | The policy seeks to protect biodiversity by managing access to SACs / SPA and by requiring or enhancing land to divert recreation activities away from those designations by the provision of enhanced facilities elsewhere, for example urban parks. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

| Policy NE1 – Enhancing and Managing Access to the Natural Environemnt   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE1 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | ++            | The policy seeks to improve access to key open spaces from all areas ensuring the needs of locals are met. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

## Policy NE2 – Biodiversity

| Policy NE2 – Biodiversity   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE2 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | ++            | The Policy seeks to protect sites of biodiversity importance. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

| Policy NE2 – Biodiversity   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE2 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

Policy NE3 - To Protect the District's Landscapes and Countryside

| Policy NE3 - To Protect the District's Landscapes and Countryside   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE3 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++            | The policy seeks to protect the districts landscapes and countryside's, in particular the highly valued landscapes within the AONB. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy NE3 - To Protect the District's Landscapes and Countryside   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE3 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy NE4 – Equestrian Development

| Policy NE4 – Equestrian Development   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE4 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++            | Planning applications for equestrian-related development will be permitted where there would be no detrimental impact on the character or appearance of the rural landscape, especially within the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and the Special Landscape Areas. Existing buildings should be reused where possible but where new buildings are necessary, these are well-related to existing buildings, appropriate to the number of horses to be kept and the amount of land available. The scale and design of the development should also be appropriate to the character of the locality. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy NE4 – Equestrian Development   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE4 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | ++            | Planning applications for equestrian-related development will be permitted where it does not result in the irreversible loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land. Applicants should demonstrate that sufficient land is available for grazing and exercise to ensure the safety and comfort of horses and avoid excessive erosion of soil and vegetation. The policy also encourages existing buildings to be reused. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | +             | Planning applications for equestrian-related development will be permitted provided suitable provision can be made to deal with foul and surface water drainage and soiled bedding materials. This will help protect the quality of groundwater and so a minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

## Policy NE5 – Light Pollution and External Illumination

| Policy NE5 – Light Pollution and External Illumination  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE5 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | The policy will help limit pollution and help conserve or enhance dark skies. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +             | The policy requires applications to details possible ecological impacts. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.      |

| Policy NE5 – Light Pollution and External Illumination  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE5 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | +             | Applications for major development, and development including significant external lighting, will be approved if the proposal does not have the potential to adversely affect the use or enjoyment of nearby buildings or open spaces. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

## Policy NE6 – Land Stability

| Policy NE6 – Land Stability   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE6 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

| Policy NE6 – Land Stability   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE6 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | ++            | The policy supports bringing unstable land, wherever possible, back into productive use. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

#### Policy NE7 – Contaminated Land

| Policy NE7 – Contaminated Land  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE7 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

| Policy NE7 – Contaminated Land  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE7 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | ++            | The policy may improve efficiency of land use through the utilisation of previously contaminated land, following remediation. A significant positive effect is therefore likely on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | +             | The policy states that development will be permitted where efficient measures taken to treat, contain and/or control any contamination so as to prevent contamination of any watercourse, water body or aquifer. A minor positive effect is therefore likely on this SA objective |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

# Policy NE8 – Integrated Coastal Zone Management

| Policy NE8 – Integrated Coastal Zone Management   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE8 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | ++            | The policy states that proposals and initiatives will be supported where they contribute to greater safeguarding of property from flooding or erosion and/or enable the area and pattern of development to adapt to change, including the relocation of current settlement areas, and vulnerable facilities and infrastructure that might be directly affected by the consequences of climate change. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | +             | The policy will help to increase the resilience to changing climate and extreme weather reduce by avoiding inappropriate development in vulnerable areas. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +             | The protection and enhancement of the coast and its special qualities is likely to make the District's coastline a more popular and accessible facility for local communities. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | +             | The protection and enhancement of the coast and its special qualities is likely to make the District's coastline a more popular place to visit, live and work, with positive effects on employment and the economy in the District. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +             | The policy states that proposals and initiatives will be supported where they promote facilitating the economic, environmental and social well-being of the area. The protection and enhancement of the coast and its special qualities is likely to have benefits for historic assets. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | The policy states that proposals and initiatives will be supported where they promote facilitating the economic, environmental and social well-being of the area. The protection and enhancement of the coast and its special qualities is likely to have benefits for landscape character. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +             | The policy states that proposals and initiatives will be supported where they promote facilitating the economic, environmental and social well-being of the area. The protection and enhancement of the coast and its special qualities is likely to have benefits for biodiversity. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |

| Policy NE8 – Integrated Coastal Zone Management   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE8 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +             | The policy states that proposals and initiatives will be supported where they improve infrastructure to support sustainable modes of transport, especially cycleways, bridleways and footpaths, including the National Coastal Footpath. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | +             | The policy states that proposals and initiatives will be supported where they promote facilitating the economic, environmental and social well-being of the area. The protection and enhancement of the coast and its special qualities is likely to have benefits for water quality. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

## Policy NE9 – Development Around the Coast

| Policy NE9 – Development Around the Coast   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE9 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | +             | The policy will give long term protection to the Folkestone and Dover Heritage Coast and to the areas of undeveloped coast. This will help ensure that new development does not increase the number of developments at risk of flooding. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +             | The protection and enhancement of the coast is likely to make the District's coastline a more popular and accessible facility for local communities. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +             | Planning permission will be granted for development in the coastal area, outside of Settlement Boundaries where regard has been shown to the high quality and inclusive design of new buildings in coastal locations in accordance with other relevant design and historic environment policies. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | Planning permission will be granted for development in the coastal area, outside of Settlement Boundaries where the development provides recreational opportunities that do not adversely affect the character, environment and appearance of the coast. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +             | Planning permission will be granted for development in the coastal area, outside of Settlement Boundaries where there are no harmful effects on or net loss of nature conservation A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |

| Policy NE9 – Development Around the Coast   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>NE9 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +             | Planning permission will be granted for development in the coastal area, outside of Settlement Boundaries where appropriate, opportunities have been taken to upgrade existing footpaths and cyclepaths, enhance and protect the National Coastal Footpath and ensure that public access is retained and provided to connect existing paths along the waterfront. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +             | Planning permission will be granted for development in the coastal area, outside of Settlement Boundaries where there are no harmful effects on or net loss of areas of geological importance. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | +             | Planning permission will be granted for development in the coastal area, outside of Settlement Boundaries where the development would not be detrimental to infrastructure for, and quality of, water-based recreation, or be detrimental to the safety of navigation. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

## Policy CC1 – Reducing Carbon Emissions

| Policy CC1 – Reducing Carbon Emissions  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC1 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | ++            | The policy seeks to reduce carbon emissions from buildings which would have positive effects on the District's ability to reduce the District's contribution to carbon emissions and climate change. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | +/-           | The policy may result in dwellings within the district being of a particularly high standard, leading to a minor positive effect on this objective. However it could potentially have a minor negative effect on this objective whereby high quality sustainable design criteria requirements and materials results in affordable housing developments becoming less viable due to higher construction costs. A mixed effect is therefore likely on this objective |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy CC1 – Reducing Carbon Emissions  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC1 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

Policy CC2 - Sustainable Construction

| Policy CC2 - Sustainable Construction   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC2 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | +             | The policy encourages proposals to include measures to adapt to climate change, such as sustainable urban drainage systems. The use of SUDS will help manage runoff volumes and flow rates, reducing the impact of flooding. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | ++            | The policy seeks to ensure that for all new dwellings or for new non-domestic buildings, energy consumption will be minimised and the amount of energy supplied from renewable resources will be maximised to meet the remaining requirement, including the use of energy efficient passive solar design principles where possible. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | +             | The policy seeks to ensure that new development is accessible to all, flexible towards future adaptation in response to changing life needs, easily accessible to facilities and services. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | +/-           | This policy seeks to ensure that all new builds are sustainable and adapted to the potential impact of climate change. This may result in dwellings within Shepway being of a particularly high standard, leading to a minor positive effect on this objective. However the policy could potentially have a minor negative effect on this objective whereby high quality sustainable design criteria requirements and materials results in affordable housing developments becoming less viable due to higher construction costs. A mixed effect is therefore likely on this objective. |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +             | The policy seeks to ensure that for all new dwellings or for new non-domestic buildings, the historic and built environment, will be protected and enhanced. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | The policy seeks to ensure that for all new dwellings or for new non-domestic buildings, the landscape character will be protected and enhanced. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +             | The policy seeks to ensure that for all new dwellings or for new non-domestic buildings, that the natural environment and biodiversity will be protected and/or where appropriate provision will be made for improvements to biodiversity areas and green infrastructure. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |

| Policy CC2 - Sustainable Construction   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC2 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | +             | The policy seeks to ensure that for all new dwellings or for new non-domestic buildings, the reduction of the impacts associated with traffic or pollution (including air, water, noise and light pollution) will be achieved, including but not limited to the promotion of car clubs and facilities for charging electric vehicles. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | +?            | The policy encourages proposals to include measures to adapt to climate change, such as sustainable urban drainage systems. The incorporation of SUDS into development can improve water quality by filtering out contaminants. The effect is uncertain as they will only arise for certain types of SUDS solution which are unlikely to be suitable for all scales of development or all site conditions. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | +             | The policy seeks to ensure that for all new dwellings or for new non-domestic buildings, that open space will be protected and enhanced. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |

## Policy CC3 – SUDS

| Policy CC3 – SUDS   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC3 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | ++            | The policy encourages the inclusion of SUDS in development. This should attenuate surface run-off during extreme rainfall events and help to ensure that a natural run-off profile is achieved, avoiding increased flood risk on-site and downstream of the development. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | ++            | The incorporation of SUDS into development will help to ensure that development is adapted to a changing climate, especially with high intensity rainfall events being more likely in the future under climate change. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | +             | The policy is expected to have benefits in relation to housing because the support for SUDS will help to ensure that new development is adapted to a changing climate, especially with high intensity rainfall events being more likely in the future under climate change. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.    |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +?            | The incorporation of SUDS into development can help provide a habitat for wildlife in urban watercourses. The effect is uncertain as they will only arise for certain types of SUDS solution which are unlikely to be suitable for all scales of development or all site conditions.  |

| Policy CC3 – SUDS   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC3 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | +?            | The incorporation of SUDS into development can improve water quality by filtering out contaminants. The effect is uncertain as they will only arise for certain types of SUDS solution which are unlikely to be suitable for all scales of development or all site conditions. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | +             | As Shepway is one of the driest districts in England, the policy requires the use of mechanisms to ensure the effective collection and reuse of water should be designed in to any surface water drainage system.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

#### Policy CC4 – Wind Turbine Development

| Policy CC4 – Wind Turbine Development   |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC4 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | ++            | The policy seeks to encourage the deployment wind turbine development where they are in an area allocated for wind energy development in an adopted Neighbourhood Plan in accordance with the ministerial statement. This policy is directly related to this objective as it would result in increased renewable generation of power which in turn would reduce greenhouse gases associated with a reliance on fossil fuels. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this objective. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy CC4 – Wind Turbine Development   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC4 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

Policy CC5 – Domestic Wind Turbines and Existing Residential Development

| Policy CC5 – Domestic Wind Turbines and Existing Residential Development  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC5 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | ++            | The policy encourages the development of Wind Turbines/Farms which would have positive effects on the District's ability to mitigate the effects of climate change. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +             | Domestic wind turbines will only be acceptable where there is no adverse impact on the setting of a listed building, a conservation area or other heritage asset. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | Domestic wind turbines s will only be acceptable where the turbine does not have any adverse visual impact on the scenic beauty of the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty or other sensitive local landscapes. This will have benefits on protecting the natural and urban landscape and would have a minor positive effect on this objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +             | Domestic wind turbines will only be acceptable where there are no adverse ecology impacts arising from the development. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |

| Policy CC5 – Domestic Wind Turbines and Existing Residential Development  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC5 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

#### Policy CC6 – Solar Farms

| Policy CC6 – Solar Farms  |               |   |  |
|---|---------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC6 | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | ++            | The policy encourages the development of solar farms which would have positive effects on the District's ability to mitigate the effects of climate change. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | +             | The policy will only allow the development of new solar farms or the extension of existing solar farms where the proposed solar farm does not have any adverse visual impact heritage assets. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | +             | The policy will only allow the development of new solar farms or the extension of existing solar farms where the proposed solar farm does not have any adverse visual impact on the scenic beauty of the Kent Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, or other sensitive local landscapes. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +             | The policy will only allow the development of new solar farms or the extension of existing solar farms where there are no adverse ecology impacts arising from the development. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |  |

| Policy CC6 – Solar Farms  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>CC6 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +             | The policy will only allow the development of new solar farms or the extension of existing solar farms where the solar farm will not result in the loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

## Policy HW1 – Promoting Healthier Food Environments

| Policy HW1 – Promoting Healthier Food Environments  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HW1 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | By restricting/reducing the number of hot food takeaways in the district it may encourage more diverse unit uses, enhancing the local services and facilities available. It will also have a positive effect on encouraging healthier lifestyles in the District. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | -             | By restricting/reducing the number of hot food takeaways in the District, the policy has the potential to have a negative effect on employment in the District. A minor negative effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy HW1 – Promoting Healthier Food Environments  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HW1 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy HW2 – Improving the Health and Well-being of the Local Population and Reducing Health Inequalities

| Policy HW2 – Improving the Health and Well-being of the Local Population and Reducing Health Inequalities   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HW2 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | For residential development of 100 or more units and non-residential development in excess of 1,000 sq. m a Health Impact Assessment will be required, which will measure wider impact upon healthy living and the demands that are placed upon health services and facilities arising from the development. This will help promote healthier lifestyles and improve access to health facilities and services. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy HW2 – Improving the Health and Well-being of the Local Population and Reducing Health Inequalities   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HW2 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

# Policy HW3 – Development that Supports Healthy, Fulfilling and Active Lifestyles

| Policy HW3 - Development that Supports Healthy, Fulfilling and Active Lifestyles  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HW3 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | The incorporation of productive landscapes into development will encourage healthier lifestyles, and improves access to quality open spaces. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | +             | The policy seeks to incorporate productive landscapes into development which can take the form of allotments, community garden & growing spaces, green roofs & walls and productive planting. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |

| Policy HW3 – Development that Supports Healthy, Fulfilling and Active Lifestyles  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HW3 | Justification  |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +             | The policy seeks to ensure development proposals do not result in the loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land unless there is a compelling and overriding planning reason to do so. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | +             | The incorporation of productive landscapes into development proposals will help improve the quality of open space in the District. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |

## Policy HW4 – Protecting and Enhancing Rights of Way

| Policy HW4 – Protecting and Enhancing Rights of Way   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HW4 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | +             | The enhancement of the District's walking/cycling network is likely to reduce the reliance and need to travel by public transport or private car, particularly if access to services and facilities is made easier, and this would reduce the associated greenhouse gas emissions. A minor positive effect is therefore likely. |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | The policy encourages the development of footpath and cycle infrastructure will facilitates access to routes between homes and community services, workplaces or schools, thereby also encouraging healthier lifestyles. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective                                |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy HW4 – Protecting and Enhancing Rights of Way   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HW4 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | ++            | The policy seeks to encourage the development of the District's walking/cycling network to provide a wider choice of transport modes for people to access the services and facilities they need. The development or improvement of new walking/cycling routes is likely to make travel by foot or bicycle more attractive and viable which should lead to less of a reliance on less sustainable forms of transport across the District. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

## Policy HE1 – Heritage Assets

| Policy HE1 – Heritage Assets  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HE1 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | ++            | The policy seeks to improve public accessibility to the heritage asset. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.  | +             | Improved public access to heritage assets would expand the tourism offer in the district and encouraging economic growth. A minor positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | ++            | The policy is directly linked to this objective as it seeks to promote an appropriate and viable use of heritage assets, consistent with their conservation. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy HE1 – Heritage Assets  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HE1 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | +             | The policy seeks bring redundant or under-used buildings and areas of historical importance back into use. This more efficient use of land will result in a minor positive effect for this objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

# Policy HE2 – Archaeology

| Policy HE2 – Archaeology  |               |   |  |
|---|---------------|---|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HE2 | Justification   |  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | ++            | The policy will not allow for planning permission for proposals that adversely affect sites and settings of archaeological significance. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.   |  |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++            | The policy will not allow for planning permission for proposals that adversely affect sites and settings of archaeological significance. This will make a positive contribution to the local character and sense of place. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |  |

| Policy HE2 – Archaeology  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HE2 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

## Policy HE3 – Local List of Buildings and Sites of Architectural or Historic Interest

| Policy HE3 – Local List of Buildings and Sites of Architectural or Historic Interest  |               |  |
|---|---------------|--|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HE3 | Justification  |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | ++            | The policy seeks to protect buildings and sites which are not formally designated but have a considerable heritage value. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.                     |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++            | The policy is seeking to protect undesignated heritage assets as they make a positive contribution to the local character and sense of place. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.  |

| Policy HE3 – Local List of Buildings and Sites of Architectural or Historic Interest  |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HE3 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |

#### Policy HE4 – Communal Gardens

| Policy HE4 – Communal Gardens   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HE4 | Justification   |
| 1: Reduce the risk of flooding, taking into account the effects of climate change.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 2. Increase energy efficiency in the built environment, the proportion of energy use from renewable sources and resilience to a changing climate and extreme weather.           | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 3. Promote community vibrancy, provide opportunities to access services, facilities and environmental assets for all and avoid creating inequalities of opportunity for access. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 4. Reduce crime and the fear of crime.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 5. Improve the provision of homes, including affordable housing, having regard to the needs of all sections of society, including the elderly.                                  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 6. Support the creation of high quality and diverse employment opportunities.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |
| 7. Conserve and enhance the fabric and setting of historic assets.  | ++            | The policy will not allow for planning permission for proposals for the development of the identified communal gardens as these gardens contribute significantly to the character and quality of The Leas and Bayle Conservation Area. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective. |
| 8. Conserve, and where relevant enhance, the quality, character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and townscape.   | ++            | The retention of the identified communal gardens will significantly contribute to retaining the character of this part of Folkestone. A significant positive effect is therefore likely for this SA objective.  |
| 9. Conserve and enhance biodiversity.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective.   |

| Policy HE4 – Communal Gardens   |               |   |
|---|---------------|---|
| SA Objectives   | Policy<br>HE4 | Justification   |
| 10. Reduce the need to travel; increase opportunities to choose sustainable transport modes and avoid development that will result in significant traffic congestion. | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 11. Use land efficiently and safeguard soils, geology and economic mineral reserves.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 12. Maintain and improve the quality of groundwater, surface waters and coastal waters.   | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 13. Use water resources efficiently.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |
| 14. Protect and enhance open space and ensure that it meets local needs.  | 0             | The policy would not have a direct effect on this SA objective. |