

From: Terence Ellames [mailto:terence.ellames@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk]
Sent: 18 August 2021 12:29
To: Princes.Parade@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk; NATIONALCASEWORK <NATIONALCASEWORK@dft.gov.uk>
Subject: Fwd: NATTRAN/SE/S247/3254 (Princes Parade Stopping Up Order) - Objection Terence Ellames

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Hi I formally objected to the road closure and understand from a neighbour there is to be a public inquiry. Will I be written to about this in case i would like to attend.

Terence Ellames
terence.ellames@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk
[01323456789](tel:01323456789)

From: Terence Ellames [mailto:terence.ellames@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk]
Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 6:38:05 PM
To: Princes.Parade@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk <Princes.Parade@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk>
Cc: nationalcasework@dft.gsi.gov.uk <nationalcasework@dft.gsi.gov.uk>
Subject: Fwd: NATTRAN/SE/S247/3254 (Princes Parade Stopping Up Order) - Objection Terence Ellames

Dear Mr Maddam,

Further to my email of 10th May I confirm my objections to the road closure and diversion still stand.

I do not object to the principle of housing and leisure development at Princes Parade, but strongly object to the road closure and diversion as part of any development, hence my main reasons for objecting to the planning application. I know the outline planning application has been determined but attach my original objections for completeness, including those to the draft order. I understand the South East Regional Design Panel objected to the road closure and diversion, not just on the basis of costs but that this was a fundamental flaw in the design of the scheme, and do not believe these comments were properly considered when the Council prepared its report. Objections to the road closure have also been made by Hythe and Sandgate Town Councils, likewise one of our local Councillors Rory Love whose objections I also support (dated 7 June and 16 August 2018).

I have looked at the report by Buckles Solicitors addressing the tests and objections to the road closure and diversion, and my comments are attached for consideration.

I strongly believe the road closure is not necessary to enable a housing and leisure development of the size proposed in the planning application. Both the closure and diversion does not have any real advantages, but very significant disadvantages. Consequently it has no merit.

It concerns me that the Council is the landowner and planning authority in this case. I hope at least the closure and diversion will be subject to an inquiry so its necessity and any merits are independently examined before this important aspect is decided. I had hoped that the Council would revise the planning application to make it more acceptable to the local community and environment, as well as creating a livelier frontage to the seafront. I feel the road diversion is more about enhancing the value of the housing fronting the sea compared to the so called benefits to the promenade , which are marginal at best and do not outweigh the many disbenefits.

Yours Sincerely,

Terence Ellames

