

Folkestone Hythe District Council
Development Control
Civic Centre Castle Hill Avenue
Folkestone
Kent
CT20 2QY

Our ref: KT/2017/123369/07-L01
Your ref: Y17/1042/SH
Date: 17 January 2019

Dear Sir/Madam

Updated surface water management system addendum - Hybrid planning application for the development of land at Princes Parade. An application for outline planning permission (with all matters reserved) for up to 150 residential dwellings (use class c3), up to 1,270sqm of commercial uses including hotel use (use class c1), retail uses (use class a1) and / or restaurant/cafe uses (use class a3); hard and soft landscaped open spaces, including children's play facilities, surface parking for vehicles and bicycles, alterations to existing vehicular and pedestrian access and highway layout within and around the site, site levelling and groundworks, and all necessary supporting infrastructure and services. full application comprising a 2,961sqm leisure centre (use class d2), including associated parking, open spaces and children's play facility

Princes Parade Promenade, Princes Parade, Hythe, Kent

Thank you for reconsulting us on this revised, and very much 'alternative' drainage proposal for this development site.

We can confirm that we are now able to **withdraw our outstanding objection** to a surface water strategy that would be partially dependent on a discharge to the Royal Military Canal (a designated 'main river').

Reason:

Following lengthy discussions with the applicant's consultants, we are satisfied that the secondary scheme now presented represents a 'just-about viable' alternative to a direct discharge to the sea. This is purely on the understanding that (and as stated within the revised Surface Water Drainage Strategy) any excess flows from surcharged attenuation features would be directed towards the beach/sea when the surface water storage features are at (or have exceeded) capacity.

We reiterate that we are content that there is already a greenfield contribution from the site to the Royal Military Canal (RMC) during prolonged or extreme rainfall events, and that with the controls proposed, the post-development runoff rate/volume should not be increased via 'option B'. However, whilst the revised Drainage Strategy states that there may be a slight overall reduction in the rate/volume of discharge from the site to the RMC, we consider that 'option A' (that of a direct and permanent discharge from the site to the sea via a formal outfall) would present a more significant benefit to the flood risk within the RMC; we would therefore be unable to consider this sufficient reason in itself

Environment Agency
Orchard House (Endeavour Park) London Road, Addington, West Malling, ME19 5SH.
Customer services line: 03708 506 506
www.gov.uk/environment-agency

Cont/d..

for this secondary solution to be justified as the primary option.

We are still of the opinion that the overwhelmingly preferable option for surface water management from this site is for a direct and permanent discharge to the sea via a formal outfall (with interception and treatment provided for the 'first flush'), and we would need quite a degree of liaison and persuasion from any prospective developer if this alternative 'option B' is pursued.

The costs involved with this secondary, attenuated, solution with its associated maintenance and land regrading to achieve the overland flow routes for excess flows, would require extremely compelling arguments to be presented for 'option B' to be pursued.

We would consider that an 'outside of the existing redline boundary' argument is unlikely to carry sufficient weight when a second application to cover just the outfall to the sea could be submitted at a later date.

In summary, this secondary solution appears to be viable from a flood risk management and surface water runoff perspective, but it should be seen as an emergency fall-back option only, and only then if there are extremely good and universally agreed reasons why a direct discharge to the sea cannot be pursued.

We will work with KCC to ensure the most sustainable and viable surface water management scheme is pursued, and we will only be able to recommend the discharge of any surface water management related condition if we are in agreement that the option pursued is appropriate, sustainable, maintainable and does not exacerbate flood risk.

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours faithfully


Planning Specialist

