

**From:** Gabrielle [mailto: [REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** 12 May 2018 08:06  
**To:** NATIONALCASEWORK <NATIONALCASEWORK@dft.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** reference NATTRAN/SE/S247/3254

Dear sir/madam,

I would like to comment on Traffic Order NATTRAN/SE/S247/3254 made under 247 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

I am of the opinion that **the order is firstly not necessary to facilitate the proposed development** as this could in fact be better serviced from the existing road much like recent private developments were and would **secondly have significant detrimental effects, including on traffic flow in the area**, by removing an important access route, as well as impacting negatively on an area currently providing important leisure benefits to the community should the road be redirected.

Regardless of the fact that the proposed development itself is unacceptable to the majority of the community as it would be creating significant harm and I would **urge the Secretary of State to use their discretion and having looked at the Traffic Order in conjunction with this, refuse it on the grounds that the significant disadvantages** in terms of reduced traffic flow, negative environmental impact, reduced access to public spaces, infringement on precious and fast disappearing traffic free leisure zone, negative impact on unique heritage site of Royal Military Canal, resulting increased traffic flow into residential areas, loss of community identity by decreasing separation between towns, reduction in safe areas for children and dog walkers, increased light pollution from street lighting to previously 'dark' residential areas and wildlife along the canal and associated problems and loss of quality of life for residents, loss of beach access and reduction of heritage attraction for tourist which bring economic benefits to the community **outweigh the need for this order which, in truth, is not strictly required to serve the proposed development and is detrimental to the whole community.**

In my opinion it would merely serve to increase property prices developers could ask for the new houses at the front because they would be right on the beach. Surely it is not the function of traffic orders to serve the interests of developers, but those of the community at large, which this order most certainly does not?

Kind regard,

Gabrielle Lindemann  
Hythe Resident