

From: Peter Charlotte Rusbridge [mailto:████████████████████]
Sent: 09 May 2018 21:53
To: NATIONALCASEWORK <NATIONALCASEWORK@dft.gov.uk>
Subject: reference NATTRAN/SE/S247/3254

Reference NATTRAN/SE/S247/3254

This development is unacceptable and creates significant harm, but if the development were to be granted planning permission there is no overriding need to move the road as the proposed buildings could be served from the existing road. It is therefore not necessary for it to be stopped up and realigned for this development to take place.

I wish for the stopping up order to be considered by the Secretary of State at the same time as the planning application so that he may see the full picture.

As well as a necessity test, a merits test could be applied as well:

“In the exercise of that discretion the relevant authority is obliged to take into account any significant disadvantages or losses flowing directly from the stopping up order which have been raised, either for the public generally or for those individuals whose actionable rights of access would be extinguished by the order. In such a case you must also take into account any countervailing advantages to the public or those individuals, along with the planning benefits of, and the degree of importance attaching to, the development.

The closure of the road would affect me personally and I also have concerns about the impact the new diverted road would have on the canal, the effect on traffic on other roads etc

Regards

Peter Rusbridge