

From: JO [mailto:]
Sent: 16 May 2018 15:47
To: NATIONALCASEWORK <NATIONALCASEWORK@dft.gov.uk>
Subject: NATTRAN/SE/S247/3254 - Princess Parade (Hythe)

Dear Sir/Madam,

IMPORTANT

The decision for this request of 'closure and the re-positioning' of Princes Parade MUST also be seen alongside ALL - plan A and plan B - actual planning documents from the council - as they have already been offered an ALTERNATIVE and purposely given a planning/building site that would give a more central site for the swimming pool and would enable more of the local area residents outside of Hythe the use of the facilities it would offer.

A plus also for the Nicholls Quarry site is that it has been offered to the council at a peppercorn amount for purchase, less cost for the tax payers. Residents have agreed that this plan would be a far better site and have no objections to. The council just seem to be insistent that our only area of local outstanding beauty, scheduled monument and a has become a natural habitat for wildlife and importantly, is special for the visitors and residents of Hythe/district should just be bulldozed over.

I think that it is totally unacceptable that our council have even applied for this to be actioned - as it was a part of 'PLAN B' for the original planning application to go before the council and residents, that has yet to be heard! Plan A was NOT to MOVE anything! also not heard or passed!

We have a council that is not listening to there residents - nature surveys and ancient monuments - who incidentally time and time again over many years - have overwhelmingly objected to the council planning issues.

This road is used by residents of Shepway, Hythe and people/visitors passing through Seabrook into Hythe via this coast road - up to 2 weeks ago the residents and visitors to the area used to use it and it carried no parking restrictions - allowing full recreational facilities and direct access to the beach for the old and disabled people BUT the council erected parking meters - an exercise by the council, that greatly angered the residents, visitors and fishermen, who for years have used the beach for there yearly competitions.

To add even more insult to the residents and the use of there monies - they erected the meters on the wrong side of the road!!!!!! paying again to have this corrected! since this has been done - the road has been empty and people are using the main A259 to park along and walk through the pathways to the beach - angering the residents who live along the A259 - as they cannot park near there own houses!

For the residents of Seabrook Road A259 - these are terraced houses with a small pavement width between the road and their front doors - if the Princes Parade road were to be moved - the road would be at their BACK doors - causing even more air and noise pollution for them being SANDWICHED between BOTH roads! front and back!

The council are applying for the shut down of Princes Parade even before the other ground and investigative work is carried out - a vast amount of prep work has to be arranged and completed - to include:-

- Arrangement to decontaminate the actual site that was originally a refuse tip for rubbish that was never checked to how hazardous the waste was before it was dumped and is leaking methane? again - no results shown from this testing - has it actually been carried out!
- Deep piling a gas management system.
- Consolidation works.
- Provide the necessary services on site.
- Sustainable urban drainage system - already the main A259 Seabrook Road floods when we have heavy rain - entering residents properties that back up to the site and canal.
- Re-arranging the sea defences - sub structures.
- Eradication of knot weed on the land.

According to Mr Monk's predictions to the up front costs for all of this work - besides the 2 million pounds INITIAL costings there will be another £2 million pounds needed!!!! where from - we haven't been told about that other £2 million yet!

So having to complete all of the above BEFORE the Road is closed - I think is a complete waste of taxpayers monies and an insult, by a council that is riding rough shod over something - that could be purposely built on a new housing estate - and HAS land AGREED to build all and more, importantly costing far less than what the council want to build on Princess Parade, for far less cost to the tax payer and would be accessible for more residents of the community.

Yours Sincerely

Mrs J Adams